20

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of Charges and

**Complaint Against** 

DEVENDRAKUMAR I. PATEL, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 18-29352-1

FILED

FEB - 1 2018

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS BV:

### FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

- 1. Respondent is a physician licensed to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 11068). He was originally licensed by the Board on July 23, 2004.
- 2. Patient A was a 58-year-old male when he presented to Respondent for medical care on October 2, 2012. Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect his privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.
- 3. On October 2, 2012, Patient A presented to Respondent with signs and symptoms of an ST<sup>2</sup> elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) of the inferior wall. Despite ample medical evidence available to him, Respondent failed to properly assess and diagnose the STEMI on October 2, 2012.
- 4. Based on the medical evidence available to him, specifically, ongoing clinical and ECG changes consistent with ischemia, Respondent failed to order urgent cardiac revascularization at a facility

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time the filing of the Complaint was approved, was composed of Theodore B. Berndt, M.D., Wayne Hardwick, M.D., and Mr. M. Neil Duxbury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In electrocardiography, the "ST" segment connects the QRS complex and the T wave and has a duration of 0.005 to 0.150 sec (5 to 150 ms). ST elevation may indicate transmural myocardial infarction.

6

9

11

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

25

///

///

///

26

27 | ///

28

capable of rapid revascularization, including Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) or Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG), for Patient A on October 2, 2012.

### **COUNT I**

### NRS 630.301(4) (Malpractice)

- 5. All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 6. Malpractice is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee pursuant to NRS 630.301(4).
- 7. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as the failure to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when treating a patient.
- 8. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent committed malpractice with respect to his treatment of Patient A.
- 9. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

### WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine the sanctions it will impose if it finds Respondent violated the Medical Practice Act;
- 4. That the Board make, issue and serve upon the Respondent, in writing, its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, which shall include the sanctions imposed; and

1	5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and prope
2	premises.
3	DATED this day of February, 2018.
4	INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
5	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
6	By:
7	Robert Kilroy, Esq., General Counsel Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel
8	Donald K. White, Esq., Deputy General Counsel
9	Attorneys for the Investigative Committee
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

and proper in these

### **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF NEVADA ) : ss. COUNTY OF WASHOE )

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the foregoing First Amended Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing First Amended Complaint; and based upon information discovered during the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes the allegations and charges in the foregoing First Amended Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2018.

Wayne Hardwick, M.D.

Chairman, Investigative Committee

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

Hardwick

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

1105 Terminal Way #301

### 

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2018, I served a file-stamped copy of the FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT and PATIENT DESIGNATION, via USPS e-certified return receipt mail (9171 9690 0935 0096 2374 00) to the following:

Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D. NORTHERN NEVADA CARDIOLOGY PC 674 North Cedar Street Elko, NV 89801

DATED this 1st day of February, 2018.

Kimberly Rosling, Employee

## OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 688-2559

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

In the Matter of Charges and

6 | Complaint Against

DEVENDRAKUMAR I. PATEL, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 18-29352-2

FILED

FEB - 1 2018

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

1. Respondent is a physician licensed to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 11068). He was originally licensed by the Board on July 23, 2004.

### A. Enhanced External Counterpulsation (EECP) for the Treatment of Angina

2. EECP is a noninvasive therapy developed for the treatment of end-stage angina pectoris that is refractory to conventional therapy (i.e., surgery, angioplasty) for acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock. EECP involves the sequential compression (inflation/deflation) of cuffs wrapped around the patient's calves, thighs, and buttocks. By timing the inflation/deflation sequence to the patient's cardiac cycle, the intention of EECP is to increase diastolic aortic pressure, thereby increasing coronary perfusion pressure possibly by enhancing the development of coronary collateral circulation and reducing the workload of the heart. Treatment

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time the filing of the Complaint was approved, was composed of Theodore B. Berndt, M.D., Wayne Hardwick, M.D., and Mr. M. Neil Duxbury.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

usually consists of one-hour sessions, five days a week, for seven weeks. EECP has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for management of refractory angina.

- End-stage angina pectoris is defined as "disabling angina" (class III or class IV), 3. and is indicted for those who, in the opinion of a cardiologist or a cardiovascular surgeon, are not readily amenable to surgical intervention.
- 4. Angina is the sensation of chest pain or pressure often with radiation to the left arm or jaw that comes on with exertion or stress and is relieved with rest or medication. It can feel like smothering shortness of breath or severe indigestion. It is caused when the heart muscle outworks its blood supply.
- 5. Chronic stable angina is the occurrence of angina with predictable levels of exertion, usually lasting less than five minutes. It is reproducible and usually is predictable.

### Respondent's Treatment of Patient A

- 6. Patient A was a 71-year-old female when she presented to Respondent for medical care in November 2013. Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.
- 7. On November 8, 2013, Patient A presented to Respondent with progressively increasing malaise, fatigue, and shortness of breath at less than normal exertion. Patient A had a history of coronary artery disease, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic pain, osteoarthritis, hypothyroidism, and prior deep venous thrombosis, and lived a sedentary lifestyle: A stress test was conducted on November 13, 2013, which was abnormal. A left heart catheterization, selective coronary artery angiography, and left ventriculogram were performed at Northeastern Nevada Regional Hospital on November 10, 2013, which revealed substantial coronary artery disease. Accordingly, coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG) was performed in Salt Lake City, Utah, on December 18, 2013.
- 8. On July 18, 2014, Patient A presented to Respondent again for progressively increasing shortness of breath and fatigue at less than usual exertion over the past 5-6 years, and recent back pain and low blood pressure. Respondent recommended EECP therapy, and Patient A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

underwent EECP therapy at Respondent's medical practice during August and September 2014. Respondent billed Patient A \$600 for each session, for at least seventeen sessions, for a total of \$10,200.

- 9. Based on the medical evidence available to him, specifically, without an assessment for and diagnosis of angina or angina class (such as how far Patient A could walk before symptoms occur, or other physical assessments), without evidence of how Patient A had responded to standard therapies or treatments, such as CABG, and without assessment or changes in symptoms following CABG, the use of and recommendation of EECP was not indicated.
- 10. On information and belief, Respondent's conduct in recommending and performing EECP on Patient A was intentional, and made for his own financial benefit and pecuniary gain.

### COUNT I

### NRS 630.301(4) (Malpractice)

- 11. All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 12. Malpractice is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee pursuant to NRS 630.301(4).
- 13. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as the failure to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when treating a patient.
- 14. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent committed malpractice with respect to his treatment of Patient A by failing to use reasonable care, skill or knowledge in recommending and performing EECP on Patient A.
- 15. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

### COUNT II

### NRS 630.301(7) (Violating Patient's Trust for Financial Gain)

All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated as if fully set 16. forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	17		Engaging	in co	ondu	ct that v	iolat	es the trus	t of	a pati	ent and ex	ploits	the	relations	ship
betwee	n t	the	physician	and	the	patient	for	financial	or	other	personal	gain	is	grounds	for
discipl	inaı	ry ac	ction again	st a l	icens	see pursi	ıant	to NRS 63	0.3	01(7).					

- 18. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent violated Patient A's trust and exploited their physician-patient relationship for financial gain recommending, performing and billing for EECP.
- 19. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

### **COUNT III**

### NRS 630.301(8) (Failure to Offer Appropriate Procedures for Financial Benefit)

- 20. All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. The failure to offer appropriate procedures or studies, when the failure occurs with the intent of positively influencing the financial well-being of the practitioner, is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee pursuant to NRS 630.301(8).
- 22. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed to offer appropriate procedures to Patient A; rather, Respondent offered inappropriate procedures to Patient A by recommending, performing, and billing for EECP, and did so with the intent of positively influencing his own financial well-being.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 23. provided in NRS 630.352.

### WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);

	4
	4 5 6 7 8
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	10
S S S S S S	11
Samiy vi	12
E.K.A. dical E ay #301 89502 59	13
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 688-2559	14
FIE ( e Board 5 Term teno, N	15
OF 1 da Stat 110 R	16
New Sew	17
OFF.	18
	19
	20
	20 21 22
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

2

3

3.	That	the	Board	determine	the	sanctions	it	will	impose	if	it	finds	Responden
violated the M	<b>l</b> edical	Pra	ctice A	ct;									

- 4. That the Board make, issue and serve upon the Respondent, in writing, its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, which shall include the sanctions imposed; and
- 5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

day of February, 2018.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: \_\_

Robert Kilroy, Esq., General Counsel

Aaron Bart Frieke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel Donald K. White, Esq., Deputy General Counsel Attorneys for the Investigative Committee

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

### VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA	)	
	:	SS
COUNTY OF WASHOE	)	

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the foregoing First Amended Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing First Amended Complaint; and based upon information discovered during the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes the allegations and charges in the foregoing First Amended Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 1st day of February, 2018.

Wayne Hardwick, M.D.

Chairman, Investigative Committee Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

1105 Terminal Way #301

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2018, I served a file-stamped copy of the FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT and PATIENT DESIGNATION, via USPS e-certified return receipt mail (9171 9690 0935 0096 2374 00) to the following:

Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D. NORTHERN NEVADA CARDIOLOGY PC 674 North Cedar Street Elko, NV 89801

DATED this 1st day of February, 2018.

Kimberly Roeling, Employee

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of Charges and

**Complaint Against** 

DEVENDRAKUMAR I. PATEL, M.D.,

Respondent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 18-29352-3

FILED

DEC 1 1 2018

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

### **COMPLAINT**

The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

1. Respondent is a physician and holds an inactive license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 11068). He was originally licensed by the Board on July 23, 2004.

### A. Respondent Criminal Conduct

2. Pursuant to a Grand Jury Indictment filed on December 6, 2017 (hereinafter, the "Indictment"), in the U.S. District Court for the State of Nevada, in Criminal Case No. 3:17-cr-00114 LRH-VPC, "USA. v. Patel M.D." (hereinafter, the "Federal Case"), a federal grand jury charged Respondent with 39 crimes, as follows: violation of 21 USC 841(a)(1) - Distribution of Controlled Substances - Schedule II (count 1); violation of 21 USC 841(a)(1) - Distribution of Controlled Substances - Schedule II (counts 2-21); violation of 21 USC 841(a)(1) - Distribution of Controlled Substances - Schedule III (counts 22-25); violation of 21 USC 841(a)(1) - Distribution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Chairman, Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, and Aury Nagy, M.D.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of Controlled Substances - Schedule IV (counts 26-36); violation of 18 USC 1347 - Health Care Fraud (counts 37-39).

- 3. Pursuant to 18 USC 3559(a)(3), violation of 21 USC 841(a)(1), as alleged in Count One of the Indictment, constitutes a Class C felony.
- Respondent and his attorney in the Federal Case, Lance Maningo, Esq., along with attorneys for the United States, entered into a "Plea Agreement Under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 (c)(1)(A) and (B)," filed on November 26, 2018 ("Plea Agreement"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by this reference. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, Respondent knowingly and voluntarily agreed to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment. Specifically, Respondent admitted the following:
  - a. Count One: The elements of Distribution of Controlled Substance in violation of 21 USC 841(a)(l) and (b)(l)(C) are:
    - i. First, beginning on or about September 2015 and January 2016 and later dates, the Respondent knowingly distributed Schedule II controlled substances, to wit Oxycodone and Hydrocodone;
    - ii. Second, the Respondent knew that the controlled substances were Oxycodone and Hydrocodone or some other prohibited drug;
    - iii. Third, the Respondent distributed the controlled substances outside the usual course of professional practice and not for a legitimate medical purpose; and
    - iv. Fourth, the Respondent intended to distribute the controlled substances outside the course of his professional practice.
- 5. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, Respondent also admitted that he is, in fact and under the law, guilty of the crimes charged, and that he acknowledges that if he elected to go to trial instead of pleading guilty, the United States could prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Respondent further acknowledges that his admissions and declarations of fact set forth in the Plea Agreement satisfy every element of the charged offense.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### **COUNT I**

### NRS 630.306(1)(c) (Illegal Dispensing of Controlled Substances)

- 6. All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- Pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(c), dispensing any controlled substance to others except as authorized by law is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee.
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent 8. knowingly and intentionally dispensed controlled substances, Oxycodone and Hydrocodone, outside the usual course of his professional practice and not for a legitimate medical purpose, in violation of 21 USC 841(a)(l) and (b)(l)(C).
- 9. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

### COUNT II

### NRS 630.306(1)(p) (Unsafe or Unprofessional Conduct)

- 10. All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 11. Engaging in any act that is unsafe or unprofessional conduct in accordance with regulations adopted by the Board is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(p).
- 12. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent knowingly and intentionally dispensed controlled substances, Oxycodone and Hydrocodone, outside the usual course of his professional practice and not for a legitimate medical purpose.
  - 13. Respondent's conduct was unsafe and unprofessional.
- 14. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

111 26

27 ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### **COUNT III**

### NRS 630.301(9) (Disreputable Conduct)

- All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference 15. as though fully set forth herein.
- Conduct that brings the medical profession into disrepute is grounds for discipline 16. pursuant to NRS 630.301(9), including, without limitation, conduct that violates any provision of a code of ethics adopted by the Board by regulation based on a national code of ethics.
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent's 17. conduct, under the circumstances set forth herein, constitutes engaging in conduct that brings the medical profession into disrepute.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 18. provided in NRS 630.352.

### WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give 1. him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early 2. Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been 3. a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

111

25 111

/// 26

27

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Nevada State Board of Medical Examíners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559 5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2018.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel Attorney for the Investigative Committee

## OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

## Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

### VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA	)
	: SS.
COUNTY OF WASHOE	)

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2018.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Chairman

### EXHIBIT 1

RECEIVED

DEPUTY

FILED SERVED ON ENTERED COUNSELIPARTIES OF RECORD 1 DAYLE ELIESON **United States Attorney** NOV 2 6 20:8 SUE FAHAMI Nevada Bar Number 5634 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT KILBY MACFADDEN DISTRICT OF NEVADA Assistant United States Attorneys BY: 400 S. Virginia Street, Suite 900 Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775) 784-5438 Email: kilby.macfadden@usdoj.gov Representing the United States of America 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA -000-8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 Case No.: 3:17-cr-114 LRH-VPC Plaintiff, 10 PLEA AGREEMENT UNDER vs. 11 FED. R. CRIM. P. 11 (c)(1)(A) and (B) DEVENDRA I. PATEL, M.D., 12 Defendant. 13 14 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through DAYLE ELIESON, United 15 States Attorney, and SUE FAHAMI and KILBY MACFADDEN, Assistant United 16 States Attorneys, the Defendant, DEVENDRA I. PATEL, M.D. and the Defendant's 17 attorney, LANCE MANINGO, ESQ., submit this Plea Agreement under Fed. R. 18 Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(A) and (B). 19 I. SCOPE OF AGREEMENT 20 The parties to this Plea Agreement are the United States of America and 21 DEVENDRA I. PATEL (the Defendant). This Plea Agreement binds the Defendant

and the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada. It does not bind

any other prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority, the United States

22

Probation Office, or the Court.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

The right to remain silent at such a trial, with assurance that his 3. silence could not be used against him in any way;

The Plea Agreement sets forth the parties' agreement regarding criminal charges referenced in the Plea Agreement and applicable sentences, fines, restitution and forfeiture. It does not control or prohibit the United States or any agency or third party from seeking any other civil or administrative remedies directly or indirectly against the Defendant.

### DISPOSITION OF CHARGES AND WAIVER OF TRIAL RIGHTS II.

Guilty Plea. The Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees to plead guilty to Count One of the Criminal Indictment filed December 6, 2017:

Count One: Distribution of a Controlled Substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C).

At the time of sentencing, the Government will move to dismiss the remaining counts of the Criminal Indictment.

- Waiver of Trial Rights. The Defendant acknowledges that he has been В. advised and understands that by entering a plea of guilty he is waiving -- that is, giving up -- certain rights guaranteed to all Defendants by the laws and the Constitution of the United States. Specifically, the Defendant is giving up:
- The right to proceed to trial by jury on all charges, or to a trial 1. by a judge if the Defendant and the United States both agree;
- The right to confront the witnesses against the Defendant at 2. such a trial, and to cross-examine them;

- 4. The right to testify in his own defense at such a trial if he so chooses;
- 5. The right to compel witnesses to appear at such a trial and testify in the Defendant's behalf, and;
- 6. The right to have the assistance of an attorney at all stages of such proceedings.
- C. <u>Withdrawal of Guilty Plea</u>. The Defendant will not seek to withdraw his guilty plea after he has entered it in court.
- D. Additional Charges. The United States agrees not to bring any additional charges against the Defendant arising out of the investigation in the District of Nevada which culminated in the Criminal Indictment and this Plea Agreement and based on conduct known to the United States, except that the United States reserves the right to prosecute the Defendant for any crime of violence as defined by 18 U.S.C. § 16 in which the Defendant may have participated.

### III. ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE

Count One: The elements of Distribution of Controlled Substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C) are:

First, beginning on or about September 2015 and January 2016 and later dates, the Defendant knowingly distributed Schedule II controlled substances, to wit:

Oxycodone and Hydrocodone;

Second, the Defendant knew that the controlled substances were Oxycodone and Hydrocodone or some other prohibited drug;

Third, the Defendant distributed the controlled substances outside the usual

course of professional practice and not for a legitimate medical purpose; and

Fourth, the Defendant intended to distribute the controlled substances outside the course of his professional practice.

Ninth Cir. Model Criminal Jury Instr., Criminal 9.18; Title 21 C.F.R. § 1306.04; United States v. Feingold, 454 F.3d 1001, 1008 (9th Cir. 2006)

### IV. FACTS SUPPORTING GUILTY PLEA

- A. The Defendant will plead guilty because he is, in fact and under the law, guilty of the crimes charged.
- B. The Defendant acknowledges that if he elected to go to trial instead of pleading guilty, the United States could prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and establish its right to forfeit the specified property by preponderance of the evidence. The Defendant further acknowledges that his admissions and declarations of fact set forth below satisfy every element of the charged offense.
- C. The Defendant waives any potential future claim that the facts he admitted in this Plea Agreement were insufficient to satisfy the elements of the charged offense.
- D. Both the United States and the Defendant agree that this section of the Plea Agreement does not contain all of the relevant information known to the Defendant. The parties also agree that the facts contained in Section IV provide a sufficient factual basis for the crime to which Defendant is pleading guilty, but the facts contained in Section IV are not an exhaustive statement by the Defendant.
- E. The Defendant admits and declares under penalty of perjury that the facts set forth below are true and correct:

### At all times relevant to the Criminal Indictment:

- 1. The Defendant, DEVENDRA I. PATEL, M.D. ("Defendant"), was a licensed physician who, since 2004, practiced medicine in Nevada under Nevada License Number 11068, specializing in cardiology in Elko, Nevada.
- 2. As part of his medical practice, he prescribed Schedule II Controlled Substances, including Hydrocodone and Oxycodone, under a Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") license number BP6740662.
- 3. Defendant knew that under the Controlled Substances Act, Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a) et seq., and Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, a prescription for a Schedule II controlled substance was lawful only when written for a legitimate medical purpose by a practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.
- 4. Between approximately September 2015 and January 2016 and later dates, Defendant prescribed dosages and amounts of Oxycodone and Hydrocodone, to patients outside the usual course of his professional practice and without a legitimate medical purpose.
- 5. Defendant did so with the intent to prescribe Oxycodone and Hydrocodone outside the course of his professional practice and without a legitimate medical purpose.
- 6. All of the foregoing occurred in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere.

### V. COLLATERAL USE OF FACTUAL ADMISSIONS

The facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Agreement shall be admissible

against the Defendant under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(A) at sentencing for any purpose. If the Defendant does not plead guilty or withdraws his guilty plea, the facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Agreement shall be admissible at any proceeding, including a trial, for impeaching or rebutting any evidence, argument or representation offered by or on the Defendant's behalf. The Defendant expressly waives all rights under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 410 regarding the use of the facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Agreement.

### VI. APPLICATION OF SENTENCING GUIDELINES PROVISIONS

- A. <u>Discretionary Nature of Sentencing Guidelines</u>. The Defendant acknowledges that the Court must consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("USSG" or "Sentencing Guidelines") in determining the Defendant's sentence, but that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, and the Court has discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum term of imprisonment permitted by statute.
- B. Offense Level Calculations. The parties stipulate to the following calculation of the Defendant's offense level under the Sentencing Guidelines, acknowledge that these stipulations do not bind the Court, and agree that they will not seek to apply any other specific offense characteristics, enhancements or reductions:

The Defendant acknowledges that the statutory maximum sentence and any statutory minimum sentence limit the Court's discretion in determining the Defendant's sentence notwithstanding any applicable Sentencing Guidelines provisions.

7

C.

Group 1: Count 1: 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C)USSG Offense Level Calculation Base Offense Level<sup>1</sup> 20 § 2D1.1(c)(10) § 3B1.3 Use of Special Skill +2 22 Adjusted Offense Level (Subtotal) Contingent Reduction for -2 § 3E1.1(a) Acceptance of Responsibility Contingent Reduction for Government -1 § 3E1.1(b) Motion for Acceptance of Responsibility Final Adjusted Offense Level 19

USSG § 3E1.1(a), the United States will recommend that the Defendant receive a

two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility unless he (a) fails to

truthfully admit facts establishing a factual basis for the guilty plea when he enters

the plea; (b) fails to truthfully admit facts establishing the amount of restitution

owed when he enters his guilty plea; (c) fails to truthfully admit facts establishing

the forfeiture allegations when he enters his guilty plea; (d) provides false or

misleading information to the United States, the Court, Pretrial Services, or the

Probation Office: (e) denies involvement in the offense or provides conflicting

statements regarding his involvement or falsely denies or frivolously contests

conduct relevant to the offense; (f) attempts to withdraw his guilty plea; (g) commits

or attempts to commit any crime; (h) fails to appear in court; or (i) violates the

Reduction of Offense Level for Acceptance of Responsibility. Under

21

22

23

The Group 1 base offense level was calculated as follows: there were three prescriptions of Hydrocodone/Oxycodone for a total 10,800 milligrams of Hydrocodone/Oxycodone. This is equal to 72.36 kg of marijuana (10.8g x 6700g equals 72,360 grams). The parties stipulate to this calculation for purposes of sentencing only.

conditions of pretrial release.

Under USSG §3E1.1(b), if the Court determines that the Defendant's total offense level, before operation of § 3E1.1(a), is 16 or higher, and if the United States recommends a two-level downward adjustment pursuant to the preceding paragraph, the United States will move for an additional one-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility before sentencing because the Defendant communicated his decision to plead guilty in a timely manner that enabled the United States to avoid preparing for trial and to efficiently allocate its resources.

- D. <u>Criminal History Category</u>. The Defendant acknowledges that the Court may base his sentence in part on the Defendant's criminal record or criminal history. The Court will determine the Defendant's Criminal History Category under the Sentencing Guidelines.
- E. <u>Relevant Conduct</u>. The Court may consider any counts dismissed under this Plea Agreement and all other relevant conduct, whether charged or uncharged, in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and whether to depart from that range.
- F. Additional Sentencing Information. The stipulated Sentencing Guidelines calculations are based on information now known to the parties. The parties may provide additional information to the United States Probation Office and the Court regarding the nature, scope, and extent of the Defendant's criminal conduct and any aggravating or mitigating facts or circumstances. Good faith efforts to provide truthful information or to correct factual misstatements shall not be grounds for the Defendant to withdraw his guilty plea. The Defendant acknowledges

that the United States Probation Office may calculate the Sentencing Guidelines differently and may rely on additional information it obtains through its investigation. The Defendant also acknowledges that the Court may rely on this and other additional information as it calculates the Sentencing Guidelines range and makes other sentencing determinations, and the Court's reliance on such information shall not be grounds for the Defendant to withdraw his guilty plea.

### VII. APPLICATION OF SENTENCING STATUTES

- A. Maximum Penalty. The maximum penalty under 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a) and 841(b)(1)(C) is 20 years imprisonment, a fine of \$1,000,000, or both.
- B. Factors Under 18 U.S.C. § 3553. The Court must consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) in determining the Defendant's sentence. However, the statutory maximum sentence and any statutory minimum sentence limit the Court's discretion in determining the Defendant's sentence.
- C. <u>Parole Abolished</u>. The Defendant acknowledges that his prison sentence cannot be shortened by early release on parole because parole has been abolished.
- D. <u>Supervised Release</u>. In addition to imprisonment and a fine, the Defendant will be subject to a three-year term of supervised release. Supervised release is a period of time after release from prison during which the Defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. If the Defendant violates any condition of supervised release, the Court may order the Defendant's return to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in the Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment equal to the statutory maximum prison

1 sentence of 20 years imprisonment.

E. <u>Special Assessment</u>. The Defendant will pay a \$100.00 special assessment per count at the time of sentencing.

### VIII. POSITIONS REGARDING SENTENCE

The Government will recommend that the Court sentence the Defendant to a sentence within the Sentencing Guidelines range as determined by the Court. Notwithstanding its agreement to recommend that the Defendant be sentenced as described in this Plea Agreement, the United States reserves its right to defend any lawfully imposed sentence on appeal or in any post-conviction litigation.

The Defendant may seek a downward adjustment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553, including probation, from any sentence the Court may impose.

The Defendant acknowledges that the Court does not have to follow these recommendations.

### IX. RESTITUTION

In exchange for benefits received under this Plea Agreement, the Defendant agrees to make full restitution in an amount to be determined by the Court for all of the losses the Defendant caused by his schemes or offenses, whether charged or uncharged, pleaded to or not, and by all of his relevant conduct. 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(3).

### X. FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND DISPOSITION OF ASSETS

Before or after sentencing, upon request by the Court, the United States, or the Probation Office, the Defendant will provide accurate and complete financial information, submit sworn statements, and/or give depositions under oath

concerning his assets and his ability to pay. The Defendant will surrender assets he obtained directly or indirectly as a result of his crimes, and will release funds and property under his control in order to pay any fine, forfeiture, or restitution ordered by the Court.

### XI. THE DEFENDANT'S ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND WAIVERS

- A. <u>Plea Agreement and Decision to Plead Guilty</u>. The Defendant acknowledges that:
- (1) He has read this Plea Agreement and understands its terms and conditions;
- (2) He has had adequate time to discuss this case, the evidence, and this Plea Agreement with his attorney;
- (3) He has discussed the terms of this Plea Agreement with his attorney;
- (4) The representations contained in this Plea Agreement are true and correct, including the facts set forth in Section IV; and
- (5) He was not under the influence of any alcohol, drug, or medicine that would impair his ability to understand the Agreement when he considered signing this Plea Agreement and when he signed it.

The Defendant understands that he alone decides whether to plead guilty or go to trial, and acknowledges that he has decided to enter his guilty plea knowing of the charges brought against him, his possible defenses, and the benefits and possible detriments of proceeding to trial. The Defendant also acknowledges that he decided to plead guilty voluntarily and that no one coerced or threatened his to enter into

6

5

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

this Plea Agreement.

Waiver of Appeal and Post-Conviction Proceedings. The Defendant В. knowingly and expressly waives: (a) the right to appeal any sentence imposed within or below the applicable Sentencing Guideline range as determined by the Court; (b) the right to appeal the manner in which the Court determined that sentence on the grounds set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3742; and (c) the right to appeal any other aspect of the conviction or sentence and any order of restitution or forfeiture.

The Defendant also knowingly and expressly waives all collateral challenges, including any claims under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, to his conviction, sentence, and the procedure by which the Court adjudicated guilt and imposed sentence, except nonwaivable claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

The Defendant reserves only the right to appeal any portion of the sentence that is an upward departure or an upward variance from the Sentencing Guidelines range determined by the Court.

The Defendant acknowledges that the United States is not obligated or required to preserve any evidence obtained in the investigation of this case.

Removal / Deportation Consequences. The Defendant understands and C. acknowledges that if he is not a United States citizen, then it is highly probable that he will be permanently removed (deported) from the United States as a consequence of pleading guilty under the terms of this Plea Agreement. The Defendant has also been advised if his conviction is for an offense described in 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43), he will be deported and removed from the United States and will not be allowed to return to the United States at any time in the future. The Defendant desires to plead

guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that may result from his guilty plea, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States with no possibility of returning. The Defendant acknowledges that he has specifically discussed these removal / deportation consequences with his attorney.

### XII. ADDITIONAL ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This Plea Agreement resulted from an arms-length negotiation in which both parties bargained for and received valuable benefits in exchange for valuable concessions. It constitutes the entire agreement negotiated and agreed to by the parties. No promises, agreements or conditions other than those set forth in this agreement have been made or implied by the Defendant, the Defendant's attorney, or the United States, and no additional promises, agreements or conditions shall have any force or effect unless set forth in writing and signed by all parties or confirmed on the record before the Court.

DAYLE ELIESON, United States Attorney

LIMOTERA

KILBY MACFADDEN
Assistant United States Attorney

DATE 11/26/18
DATE 11/26/18

DATE\_MX118

LANCE MANINGO

Counsel for the Defendant

DEVENDRA I. PATEL, M.D.

Defendant

## OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the <a href="https://linear.com/linear.co

Devendrakumar I. Patel Northeastern Nevada Cardiology PC 674 N. Cedar St. Elko, NV 89801

Dated this 11th day of December, 2018.

Dawn Dellacen Stadello

Dawn DeHaven Gordillo

Legal Assistant

### OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gareway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against

DEVENDRAKUMAR I. PATEL, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case Nos. 18-29352-1

18-29352-2

18-29352-3

FILED

SEP - 6 2019

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF

### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel for the Board and attorney for the IC, and Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D. (Respondent), a licensed physician in Nevada, assisted by his attorney, Brent Vogel, Esq., of the law firm of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, hereby enter into this Settlement Agreement (Agreement) based on the following:

### A. Background

- 1. Respondent is a medical doctor currently licensed in inactive status by the Board pursuant to Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Chapter 630 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) (collectively, the Medical Practice Act). His license was originally issued on July 23, 2004 (License No. 11068).
- 2. On February 1, 2018, in Case No. 18-29352-1, the IC filed a formal First Amended Complaint (Complaint One) charging Respondent with violating the Medical Practice Act. Specifically, Complaint One alleges one (1) violation of NRS 630.301(4), Malpractice (Count I). Also on February 1, 2018, in Case No. 18-29352-2, the IC filed a formal First Amended Complaint

All agreements and admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of these matters and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, Respondent's agreements and admissions are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, state or federal civil or criminal proceeding, any state or federal court proceeding, or any credentialing or privileges matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Complaint Two) charging Respondent with violating the Medical Practice Act. Specifically, Complaint Two alleges one (1) violation of NRS 630.301(4), Malpractice (Count I), one (1) violation of NRS 630.301(7), Violating Patient's Trust for Financial Gain (Count II), and one (1) violation of NRS 630.301(8), Failure to Offer Appropriate Procedures for Financial Benefit (Count III). On December 11, 2018, in Case No. 18-29352-3, the IC filed a formal Complaint (Complaint Three) charging Respondent with violating the Medical Practice Act. Specifically, Complaint Three alleges one (1) violation of NRS 630.306(1)(c), Illegal Dispensing of Controlled Substances (Count 1), one (1) violation of NRS 630.306(l)(p), Unsafe or Unprofessional Conduct (Count II), and one (1) violation of NRS 630.301(9), Disreputable Conduct (Count III). Complaints One, Two and Three are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Complaints."

- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.
- Respondent was properly served with a copy of the Complaints, has reviewed and understands the Complaints, and has had the opportunity to consult with competent counsel concerning the nature and significance of the Complaints.
- 5. Respondent is hereby advised of his rights regarding these administrative matters, and of his opportunity to defend against the allegations in the Complaints. Specifically, Respondent has certain rights in these administrative matters as set out by the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the Nevada Open Meeting Law (OML), which is contained in NRS Chapter 241, and the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act (APA), which is contained in NRS Chapter 233B. These rights include the right to a formal hearing on the allegations in the Complaints, the right to representation by counsel, at his own expense, in the preparation and presentation of his defense, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses and evidence against him, the right to written findings of fact, conclusions of law and order reflecting the final decision of the Board, and the right to judicial review of the Board's order, if the decision is adverse to him.
- Respondent understands that, under the Board's charge to protect the public by regulating the practice of medicine, the Board may take disciplinary action against Respondent's license, including license probation, license suspension, license revocation and imposition of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

administrative fines, as well as any other reasonable requirement or limitation, if the Board concludes that Respondent violated one or more provisions of the Medical Practice Act.

7. Respondent understands and agrees that this Agreement, by and between Respondent and the IC, is not with the Board, and that the IC will present this Agreement to the Board for consideration in open session at a duly noticed and scheduled meeting. Respondent understands that the IC shall advocate for the Board's approval of this Agreement, but that the Board has the right to decide in its own discretion whether or not to approve this Agreement. Respondent further understands and agrees that if the Board approves this Agreement, then the terms and conditions enumerated below shall be binding and enforceable upon him and the Board.

### B. Terms & Conditions

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to resolve the matters addressed herein, i.e., the matters with regard to the Complaints, Respondent and the IC hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Jurisdiction. Respondent is, and at all times relevant to the Complaints has been, a physician licensed to practice medicine in Nevada subject to the jurisdiction of the Board as set forth in the Medical Practice Act.
- 2. Representation by Counsel/Knowing, Willing and Intelligent Agreement. Respondent acknowledges he is represented by counsel, and wishes to resolve the matters addressed herein with said counsel. Respondent agrees that if representation by counsel in this matter materially changes prior to entering into this Agreement and for the duration of this Agreement, that counsel for the IC will be timely notified of the material change. Respondent agrees that he knowingly, willingly and intelligently enters into this Agreement after deciding to have a full consultation with and upon the advice of legal counsel.
- 3. Waiver of Rights. In connection with this Agreement, and the associated terms and conditions, Respondent knowingly, willingly and intelligently waives all rights in connection with these administrative matters. Respondent hereby knowingly, willingly and intelligently waives all rights arising under the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the OML, the APA, and any other legal rights that may be available to him

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or that may apply to him in connection with the administrative proceedings resulting from the Complaints filed in this matter, including defense of the Complaints, adjudication of the allegations set forth in the Complaints, and imposition of any disciplinary actions or sanctions ordered by the Board. Respondent agrees to settle and resolve the allegations of the Complaints as set out by this Agreement, without a hearing or any further proceedings and without the right to judicial review.

- Acknowledgement of Reasonable Basis to Proceed. As of the time of entering 4. into this Settlement Agreement, the allegations of the Complaints remain unproven. Respondent acknowledges that the IC believes it has a reasonable basis to allege that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act. The IC acknowledges that Respondent is not admitting that the IC's claims/counts as alleged in the Complaints have merit and Respondent is agreeing to resolve this matter to avoid the costs of hearing and potential subsequent litigation. Respondent asserts that if these matters were to proceed to hearing, he has evidence, witnesses, expert witness(es) and defenses to the counts/claims alleged in the Complaints, but for the purposes of resolving these matters and for no other purpose, Respondent waives the presentation of evidence, witnesses, expert witnesses, and defenses in order to effectuate this Agreement.
- 5. Consent to Entry of Order. In order to resolve this Complaints pending against Respondent, Respondent hereby agrees that the Board may issue an order finding that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act. Accordingly, the following terms and conditions are hereby agreed upon:
- Respondent admits to Count I of Complaint Three, violation of NRS 630.306(I)(c), Illegal Dispensing of Controlled Substances.
- b. Respondent's license shall be immediately revoked. Pursuant NRS 622A.410(1), Respondent may not apply for reinstatement of his medical license for a period of three (3) years from the date of the Board's acceptance of this Agreement as an Order of the Board.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- c. Respondent will pay the costs and expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of the above-referenced matters, which imposition of costs and expenses shall be effective upon the Board's acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement, the current amount being \$10,103.88, not including any costs that may be necessary to finalize this Agreement. This Board order for the payment of costs shall be immediately stayed, until which time as Respondent reapplies for licensure, at which time, Respondent is ordered to pay the aforementioned costs and fees within sixty (60) days.
- d. This Agreement shall be reported to the appropriate entities and parties as required by law, including, but not limited to, the National Practitioner Data Bank.
  - e. Respondent shall receive a Public Letter of Reprimand.
- f. The remaining counts of the Complaints, and any other claims arising therefrom, shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 6. Release From Liability. In execution of this Agreement, Respondent understands and agrees that the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of its members, staff, counsel, investigators, experts, peer reviewers, committees, panels, hearing officers, consultants and agents are immune from civil liability for any decision or action taken in good faith in response to information acquired by the Board. NRS 630.364(2)(a). Respondent agrees to release the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of its members, staff, counsel, investigators, experts, peer reviewers, committees, panels, hearing officers, consultants and agents from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that Respondent ever had, now has, may have or claim to have, against any or all of the persons, government agencies or entities named in this paragraph arising out of, or by reason of, this investigation, this Agreement or the administration of the case referenced herein.
- 7. Procedure for Adoption of Agreement. The IC and counsel for the IC shall recommend approval and adoption of the terms and conditions of this Agreement by the Board in resolution of the Complaints. In the course of seeking Board acceptance, approval and adoption of this Agreement, counsel for the IC may communicate directly with the Board staff and the

adjudicating members of the Board.

Respondent acknowledges that such contacts and communications may be made or conducted ex parte, without notice or opportunity to be heard on his part until the public Board meeting where this Agreement is discussed, and that such contacts and communications may include, but may not be limited to, matters concerning this Agreement, the Complaints and any and all information of every nature whatsoever related to these matters. The IC and its counsel agree that Respondent and/or Counsel for the Respondent may appear at the Board meeting where this Agreement is discussed and, if requested, respond to any questions that may be addressed to the IC or the IC's counsel.

- 8. Effect of Acceptance of Agreement by Board. In the event the Board accepts, approves and adopts this Agreement, the Board shall issue a final order, making this Agreement an order of the Board, and, pending full compliance with the terms herein, the cases shall be closed and all remaining claims arising out of the Complaints shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 9. Effect of Rejection of Agreement by Board. In the event the Board does not accept, approve and adopt this Agreement, this Agreement shall be null, void and of no force and effect except as to the following agreement regarding adjudications: (1) Respondent agrees that, notwithstanding rejection of this Agreement by the Board, nothing contained in this Agreement and nothing that occurs pursuant to efforts of the IC to seek the Board's acceptance of this Agreement shall disqualify any member of the adjudicating panel of the Board from considering the Complaints and from participating in disciplinary proceedings against Respondent, including adjudication of this case; and (2) Respondent further agrees that he shall not seek to disqualify any such member absent evidence of bad faith.
- 10. <u>Binding Effect</u>. If approved by the Board, Respondent understands that this Agreement is a binding and enforceable contract upon Respondent and the Board.
- 11. <u>Forum Selection Clause</u>. The parties agree that in the event either party is required to seek enforcement of this Agreement in district court, the parties consent to such jurisdiction and agree that exclusive jurisdiction shall be in the Second Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, Washoe County.

### OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gareway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

12.	Attorneys' Fees and Costs.	The parties	agree that	t in the	event an	action is
commenced in	n district court to enforce any pro	ovision of thi:	s Agreeme	nt, the p	revailing [	party shall
be entitled to	rccover reasonable attorneys' fee	s and costs.				

13. <u>F</u>	Failure to Comply With Terms. Should Respondent fail to comply with any term
or condition of	this Agreement once the Agreement has been accepted, approved and adopted by
the Board, the	IC shall be authorized to immediately suspend Respondent's license to practice
medicine in Ne	evada pending an Order To Show Cause Hearing, which will be duly noticed.
Failure to comp	ply with the terms of this Agreement, including failure to pay any fines, costs,
expenses or fees	s owed to the Board, is a failure to comply with an order of the Board, which may
result in addition	nal disciplinary action being taken against Respondent. NRS 630.3065(2)(a).

///

///

### OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

Further, Respondent's failure to remit payment to the Board for monies agreed to be paid as a condition of this Agreement may subject Respondent to any civil and administrative collection efforts available.

Dated this 10 day of SVLY 2019

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel Attorney for the Investigative Committee

Dated this 2 day of July 2019 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP

By:

Brent Vogel, F/sq.
Attorneys for Respondent

Dated this day of 2019

Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D., Respondent

Further, Respondent's failure to ren	nit payment to the Board for monies agreed to be paid as a
condition of this Agreement may se	ubject Respondent to any civil and administrative collection
efforts available.	
	Dated this, 2019.
	INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
	By:  Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Deputy General Counsel Attorney for the Investigative Committee
	Dated this day of, 2019.  Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
	By:  Brent Vogel, Esq. Attorneys for Respondent
	Dated this day of, 2019.
	m
	Devendrakumar I. Patel, M.D., Respondent

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gatteway Drive Reno, Nevada 895521 (775) 688-2559

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	***************************************
25	
26	
27	

28

1

2

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the foregoing Settlement Agreement (Case Numbers 18-2935
1, 18-29352-2, 18-29352-3) is approved and accepted by the Nevada State Board of Medic
Examiners on the 6th day of September 2019, with the final total amount of costs due
\$10,103.88.  Dhathy fachallorda

Rachakonda D. Prabhu, M.D., President NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS