NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS



IN THE MATTER OF CHARGES AND COMPLAINT AGAINST **DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.**

ADJUDICATION

Case No: 22-31575-1

Date: December 2, 2022

INDEX

- 1. COMPLAINT
- 2. HEARING OFFICERS' FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
- 3. HEARING TRANSCRIPT
- 4. EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE
- 5. DOCUMENTS FILED INTO THE DOCKET

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

MAR - 1 2077

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Ian J. Cumings, J.D., Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint, a physician licensed to 1. practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 12002). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on August 17, 2006.

Respondent's Treatment of Patient A

- 2. Patient A was an 80-year-old year-old male when he presented to the Respondent for medical care on June 20, 2018. Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect his privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.
- Patient A presented to Respondent on June 20, 2018, for a surveillance colonoscopy 3. due to a personal history of colon polyps.

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Victor M. Muro, M.D., Chairman, Ms. April Mastroluca, Weldon Havins, M.D., J.D.

- 4. During the procedure, Respondent discovered a number of flat cecal polyps and performed an endoscopic mucosal resection on a 1 cm flat cecal polyp, in addition to a second ascending colon polyp.
- 5. Patient A developed abdominal post-operative pain. Respondent informed Patient A that there was a risk of developing post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome as a result of the procedure and that he would feel better after he passed some gas. Patient A was then discharged.
- 6. Patient A's spouse contacted Respondent on the evening of June 20, 2018, when Patient A's abdominal pain had worsened (to a 10/10 on the pain scale). Respondent failed to order an immediate abdominal radiograph to rule out colon perforation, and only considered a diagnosis of post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome and prescribed oxycontin for pain.
- 7. Patient A continued to suffer with severe pain in his abdomen and returned to the Emergency Room on the morning of June 21, 2018, whereupon Patient A underwent a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis, which showed a large amount of free air in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen.
- 8. Respondent viewed Patient A's CT scan on June 21, 2018, and failed to recognize that the large amount of free air in Patient A's abdomen indicated possible colon perforation which warranted immediate surgical evaluation.
- 9. Patient A was transferred by air ambulance to Renown Medical Center by his primary care provider due to the concerning findings on the CT scan, whereupon Patient A was taken for an exploratory laparotomy, right hemicolectomy, and partial omentectomy.
- 10. The surgical report from Renown Medical Center showed a dilated proximal colon of at least 10cm. There was splitting of the serosa for at least 9cm along the ascending colon and extensive air within the pericolonic tissue consistent with a perforated colon due to iatrogenic injury. Patient A spent eight (8) days in the hospital and was discharged on June 29, 2018.

26 || ///

27 || ///

28 | ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COUNT I

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 11. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 13. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."
- 14. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances with respect to the treatment of Patient A by failing to order an immediate abdominal radiograph to exclude the possibility of colon perforation when Patient A complained of severe pain on June 20, 2018, after the colonoscopy that Respondent performed. Furthermore, Respondent committed malpractice by his failure to recognize and appreciate the gravity of free air in the right upper quadrant which suggested colon perforation and warranted immediate surgical evaluation.
- 15. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give 1. him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been a 3. violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1

- 5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and
- 6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this ____ day of March, 2022.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

IAN J. CUMINGS, J.D. Deputy General Counsel 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: <u>icumings@medboard.nv.gov</u>
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
	: SS
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Victor M. Muro, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 12 day of March, 2022.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Chairman of the Investigative Committee

1

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * *

Case No. 22-31575-1

In the Matter of Charges and

FILED

Complaint Against

OCT 1 2 2000

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Respondent.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

TO: Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D.

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

1. Introduction

This matter was heard in the Reno office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (the "Board") on August 17, 2022. Present were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee (the "IC"), Respondent Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. ("Respondent") representing himself, and the undersigned hearing officer. The IC submitted exhibits 1-20, which were admitted by stipulation. Appearing on behalf of the IC was David Shih, M.D. who was properly sworn as was Respondent who testified on his own behalf. No other witnesses were called.

2. Allegations

The Complaint alleges a single count of Malpractice, a violation of NRS 630.301(4), which is premised upon the allegation that Respondent failed to order an immediate abdominal radiograph to exclude the possibility of colon perforation when Patient A, an 80 year old male,

complained of severe pain on June 20, 2018, after a colonoscopy performed by Respondent. IC Exhibit 1. The malpractice claim further alleges that Respondent failed to recognize and appreciate the gravity of the free air in Patient A's right upper quadrant, which suggested a colon perforation and warranted immediate surgical evaluation. <u>Id.</u> No answer was filed by Respondent although Respondent denied the allegations by way of his hearing testimony.

3. Witnesses and Testimony

In support of the IC allegations, the IC called David Shih, M.D. Dr. Shih testified as to his credentials, which are partially reflected in IC Exhibit 18. Transcript of Hearing Proceedings ("TR"), pp. 10-13. Dr. Shih was then directed to the circumstances underlying the Complaint with regard to which he testified that, based upon his review of the medical records provided by the IC, Respondent "did not act upon the standard of care." TR pp. 14-15. In so concluding, Dr. Shih testified as to the medical records, which he indicated provide as follows.

Patient A saw Respondent for a colonoscopy on June 20, 2018, at which time Respondent "found there were a cecal polyp, an ascending colon polyp, and a marked left-sided diverticulosis." TR pp. 15-16. According to the medical records, per Dr. Shih, Respondent removed the polyps via a procedure called endoscopic mucosal resection, one complication of which is perforation. TR 16-17. Dr. Shih described the procedure as entailing the injection of a solution to raise the polyp, after which a snare, coupled with electrocautery, is utilized. TR 16. The site, as treated by Respondent, was then subject to a hot biopsy with forceps where the polyp was not already completely removed. <u>Id</u>.

The medical records indicate that during the evening following the procedure, Patient A was suffering from abdominal pain that prompted a call to the hospital. TR 18. Respondent prescribed analgesics based upon the belief that Patient A had post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome. <u>Id</u>. According to Dr. Shih, Patient A's complaint should have triggered an order by Respondent for abdominal imaging, which Respondent failed to order. TR 19.

The following morning, June 21, 2018, Patient A presented at an emergency room and a CT scan was ordered by another physician. TR 20. The CT scan showed a large amount of free air in Patient A's upper right quadrant and a few foci of gas in the porta hepatitis. The transverse

colon was also mildly dilated, diverticulitis was evident, and there was also evidence of a cyst. TR 21. Per Dr. Shih, the presence of the free air would not typically be seen and is most indicative of a bowel perforation, which could be life threatening and lend itself to fecal leakage that could cause sepsis. TR 21-22.

Per Dr. Shih's review of the medical records, Respondent reviewed the CT and maintained that the free air was attributable to post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome; however, Dr. Shih was repeatedly adamant that "[b]y definition, there is no free air in the condition called post polypectomy coagulation syndrome." TR 22. The free air, according to Dr. Shih, distinguished post polypectomy coagulation syndrome from a bowel perforation, in that a bowel perforation causes free air whereas there is no free air in post polypectomy coagulation syndrome. TR 22-23.

Noting the free air as shown from the CT scan, it was Respondent's suggestion that a needle be placed in Patient A's abdomen to release the air, which, according to Dr. Shih, is not appropriate. TR 24. The medical records also indicate that Respondent had considered a gastrografin enema, which, according to Dr. Shih, could worsen a perforation. TR 24-25. What should instead have been done, according to Dr. Shih, was an urgent surgical consultation. TR 25.

Suspecting a bowel perforation, the then treating physician ordered a surgical consult. TR 25-28. TR 29-30. The surgeon ultimately removed the right colon due to damage from massive distension and extensive air within the soft tissue surrounding the colon that the right colon could not recover from. Id. Part of Patient A's omentum also needed to be removed due to the perforation. Id.

Dr. Shih opined that he believed Patient A would not have survived absent the surgery and was adamant throughout his testimony that, when Patient A reached out post- procedure, Respondent should have directed Patient A to immediately go to urgent care or an emergency room to address the likelihood of a perforation. TR 31-32. According to Dr. Shih, Respondent's failure to do so was below the standard of care and constituted malpractice. TR 32.

Respondent questioned Dr. Shih, touching upon Dr. Shih's educational timeline predating his residency (TR 33-34); the number of colonoscopies Dr. Shih has performed (TR 35); and how Dr. Shih would have treated Patient A, as to which Dr. Shih testified that he would not have done a hot biopsy touchup as it increases the risk of perforation and that he would have attributed the post-procedure complaints to a perforation (TR 35-36, 43). Dr. Shih was also clear that he would not have ordered a gastrografin enema and that it would be "contra" to do so in that it could exacerbate a tear. TR 37-38, 41.

On redirect, Dr. Shih reiterated his experience with post coagulation necrosis syndrome and the number of colonoscopies he has performed both solo and with fellows. TR 38-40. Dr. Shih also reiterated that when there is a complaint of pain after a colonoscopy, abdominal imaging should be undertaken. TR 40.

Respondent was permitted recross, during which Dr. Shih noted that the surgeon described a serosal tear, indicating that the tear was complete through the colon wall from the inside of the colon wall through the outside of the colon wall. TR 42-43. At nine (9) centimeters, Dr. Shih described the tear as big and complete. <u>Id</u>.

The IC rested its case, after which Respondent testified on his own behalf. Respondent was adamant that he believed Patient A was suffering from post coagulation necrosis syndrome and that he would not have ordered a surgical consult. TR 45. Respondent believes that there was only a superficial tear (which he called a "cat scratch"), from which air was permitted to escape via micro perforations, and that Patient A could have been treated with antibiotics and pain medication, with the needle procedure to relieve the free air. TR 45-49, 52-53, 63-66. According to Respondent, he did not believe the surgeon's perforation determination because the surgeon did not note fecal spillage (as opposed to the pathologist who did). TR 48-49. Respondent also did not believe Patient A with regard to the pain level reported by Patient A given that Patient A ate cookie; Respondent believed Patient A slept through the night or at least stayed home through the night and did not go to the emergency room until next day (TR 49, 57-58); and Respondent noted that Patient A had a history of abdominal pain (TR 59-60). Respondent also did not believe that the perforation described by the surgeon had anything to do with Patient A's symptoms and

continued to assert that the colon injury was superficial. TR 55. Respondent further testified that he thinks that there was no perforation when Patient A was transported to Renown by Care Flight, which he claimed was supported by the pathology report, which is Exhibit 17. TR 60, 62. Under cross-examination, Respondent continued to maintain that Patient A was suffering from post coagulation necrosis syndrome and not a perforation. TR 67-68.

The IC called Dr. Shih in rebuttal. Dr. Shih reiterated that the distinction between post polypectomy coagulation syndrome and a perforation is free air – free air indicating a perforation – and that the surgeon documented a tear and that the pathologist documented was transmural, meaning that the tear had gone through the whole bowel wall of the colon. TR 70, 74-76, 79-80, 82. Dr. Shih further reiterated that the most likely source of the free air in Patient A's upper right quadrant was due to either the endoscopic mucosal resection or the hot biopsy forceps. TR 72. Dr. Shih also took issue with Respondent's claim that the surgeon's failure to note fecal spillage countered the surgeon's finding of a tear given the fact that Patient A had not eaten in preparation for the colonoscopy performed by Respondent, preparation noted as adequate for the procedure; and, therefore, the two pieces of crackers that Patient A had eaten would not have rendered sufficient bowel content to extravasate. TR 72-81. To the extent fecal matter was addressed on the pathology report, Dr. Shih testified that it supports that there was a perforation with leakage otherwise it would not have been noted as present and, therefore, Respondent's reliance upon the pathology report is misguided. TR 81, 88.

4. Findings

Given the pain as reported by Patient A, the noted free air, the surgical intervention, the resulting surgical procedure, and the pathology report, there can be no doubt that Patient A was suffering from a colon perforation and that Respondent should have considered the likely chance of a perforation as opposed to being committed to an erroneous conclusion that Patient A was suffering from post coagulation necrosis syndrome. To discard the intervention of other physicians who recognized the issue and to disregard their conclusions upon such intervention, which is what one would have to do to accept Respondent's position as raised in his defense, is unreasonable. Even in light of the medical records reflecting the perforation and the explanation

thereof by Dr. Shih, Respondent remained adamant that he would not have considered the possibility of a perforation nor ordered a surgical consult, specifically stating at the close of the proceedings "I would have done everything the same way if I would have a case like that again." TR 99.

5. Recommendation

For the reasons set forth above, inclusive of the credible testimony provided by Dr. Shih, I find that the IC met its burden of proof in relation to Count 1 of the Complaint against Respondent (the only count alleged), and I respectfully recommend that the Board confirm that Respondent committed malpractice as set forth in the Complaint.

DATED this 12th day of October 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION addressed as follows:

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

DATED this / day of OCHISEV, 2022.

Signature

Print

Title

```
1
               BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
 2
                     OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
 3
     In the Matter of the Charges )
 5
     and Complaint Against:
                                   ) Case No. 21-31575-1
 6
     DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,
 7
     Respondent.
                                    )
                                    )
 8
 9
                 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
10
11
        Held at the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
12
13
                        9600 Gateway Drive
14
15
                           Reno, Nevada
16
17
                    Wednesday, August 17, 2022
18
19
20
21
     JOB NO. 908127
22
     REPORTED BY:
23
     NICOLE J. HANSEN
     NV. CCR NO. 446
24
     CAL. CSR 13909
     RPR, CRR, RMR
```

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
     The Hearing Officer:
 3
 4
          PATRICIA HALSTEAD, ESQ.
          Halstead Law Offices
 5
          615 South Arlington Avenue
          Reno, Nevada 89509
 6
 7
     For the Investigative Committee
 8
     of the Nevada State Medical
     Board of Examiners:
 9
10
          IAN CUMINGS, ESQ.
          Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
11
         9600 Gateway Drive
          Reno, Nevada 89521
12
13
14
     For the Respondent, Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D.:
15
          IN PRO PER
16
17
18
    Also Present:
19
          MARGARET BYRD
20
          Legal Assistant
          Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
21
          9600 Gateway Drive
          Reno, Nevada 89521
22
23
24
```

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS - 08/17/2022

1	I N D E X	Page 3
2		
3	THE WITNESS:	PAGE:
4	DAVID SHIH, M.D.	1.0
5	Direct examination by Mr. Cumings Cross-examination by Dr. Von Feldmann	10 33
6	Redirect examination by Mr. Cumings Recross examination by Dr. Von Feldmann	38 42
7	Rebuttal examination by Mr. Cumings	69, 87
8		
9	THE WITNESS: DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.	44
10	DIEIRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.	44
11	Direct examination by Mr. Cumings Cross-examination by Dr. Von Feldmann	66 74
12	Closs-examination by Dr. von Ferdmann	7 1
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	Page 4 RENO, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2022; 8:30 A.M00-
2	-000-
3	
4	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: We're here in the
5	matter of the charges in the complaint against Dietrich
6	Von Feldmann, M.D. Case Number 22-31575-1. I'm Patricia
7	Halstead. I'm the Hearing Officer. I'll be adjudicating
8	this matter today.
9	Dr. Von Feldmann is present, as is Ian J.
10	Cumings, on behalf of the IC, the Investigative Committee
11	of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, and this
12	matter is being recorded today via a court-certified
13	court reporter.
14	We're going to start with some preliminary
15	matters. My understanding is that there were some
16	exhibits that were discussed prior to us commencing the
17	hearing. The IC had supplied Exhibits 1 through 20,
18	which have all been stipulated to for admission.
19	Can you both confirm that is correct,
20	Mr. Cumings?
21	MR. CUMINGS: I confirm.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Mr. Von Feldmann?
23	DR. VON FELDMANN: I do.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Thank you. And
1	

Page 5 then I also wanted to note that Mr. Von Feldmann has 1 2 asked that we all speak up so that he can hear us clearly, so I'll just ask Dr. Von Feldmann if there's any 3 4 issue and you can't hear anybody including our witnesses 5 in Las Vegas, please let us know and we'll try to remember to speak up. 6 7 DR. VON FELDMANN: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Thank you. 8 9 With that, are there any other preliminary matters we need to address? 10 11 MR. CUMINGS: Yes. I just wanted to make a 12 record of some of the housekeeping matters and a brief 13 procedural history of the case up to this point. As Dr. Von Feldmann is here, we won't be 14 discussing Exhibits 3 through 10, which were only being 15 16 admitted to show the extensive effort on the part of 17 Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners' staff to serve and contact Dr. Von Feldmann at the outset of this 18 19 matter. Originally, we had difficulty contacting Dr. Von Feldmann and the hearing date for this matter has been 20 rescheduled to afford Dr. Von Feldmann the greatest 21 22 possible opportunity to obtain counsel and be prepared 23 for this hearing. Dr. Von Feldmann did obtain counsel 24 but ultimately, the attorney had to withdraw due to

1	Page 6 nonengagement on the part of Dr. Von Feldmann, and that
2	was when the hearing was reset for today.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Mr. Von Feldmann,
4	is there any of that you would like to comment on?
5	DR. VON FELDMANN: Well, she withdrew because
6	I didn't sign the contract.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Right. And
8	then
9	DR. VON FELDMANN: And I didn't sign the
10	contract because it's too expensive.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay.
12	DR. VON FELDMANN: So this meeting this
13	morning would have cost me already \$1,000 in addition to
14	all of the meetings I might have had with her before
15	today.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. And that's
17	okay. But you understand that when you represent
18	yourself that you take on that responsibility. And if
19	you're not able to meet the legal requirements of the
20	proceeding, you're still held accountable for those based
21	on your self-representation.
22	DR. VON FELDMANN: Yeah.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. And you
24	just have to say yes.

1	DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Because the court
3	reporter has to take it down. Thank you. And I will
4	note also that we didn't have your original address, and
5	so you weren't getting noticed. That has since been
6	corrected and you have received notice of the proceedings
7	to date; correct?
8	DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. CUMINGS: I'm ready for opening
11	statements.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Go ahead,
13	Mr. Cumings.
14	MR. CUMINGS: This hearing is to present
15	evidence to determine if Dr. Von Feldmann committed
16	malpractice. Dr. Von Feldmann is the Respondent in this
17	case as alleged in Count 1 on the complaint filed March
18	1, 2022. The complaint contains a single count of
19	malpractice, which is a violation of NRS 630.301-4. Dr.
20	Von Feldmann has been an active licensee of the Board
21	since August 17th, 2006.
22	Throughout this hearing, the evidence will
23	show that Dr. Von Feldmann performed a surveillance
24	colonoscopy on an 80-year-old patient, and following this

1	Page 8 procedure, Dr. Von Feldmann failed to recognize the colon
2	perforation when the patient complained of extreme pain.
3	Dr. Von Feldmann further failed when he did not order an
4	immediate abdominal radiograph to exclude colon
5	perforation when the patient complained of pain on the
6	day of the colonoscopy.
7	Lastly, the evidence will show that Dr. Von
8	Feldmann failed to recognize that his CT scan performed
9	in Mount Grant showing a large amount of free air in the
10	right upper quadrant of the patient likely indicated a
11	colon perforation and failed to interfere accordingly.
12	In sum, the testimony and evidence that will
13	be presented today will establish by a preponderance of
14	the evidence that Dr. Von Feldmann committed malpractice
15	by his failure to address and manage the patient who had
16	undergone an iatrogenic injury and respond accordingly.
17	This represents a failure to meet standard of care.
18	On behalf of the Investigative Committee, we
19	ask the Board to consider the record that will be
20	presented here and render the appropriate findings and
21	discipline. Once again, thank you all for being here
22	today. And thank you, Dr. Von Feldmann, for showing up.
23	Appreciate it.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Mr. Von Feldmann,

Page 9 would you like to give an opening statement? It's not a 1 2 chance to testify. It's just a chance to say what the evidence will show that you intend to address. You don't 3 4 have to give one. And if you choose not to give one, 5 then Mr. Cumings will call his witnesses and you'll have an opportunity to cross-examine them. 6 7 DR. VON FELDMANN: At this point, I would like to say that if I was confronted with the same 8 9 situation, I would do exactly the same as I did and as I would have done if they would have let me. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Well, thank 12 you for that. So with that, Mr. Cumings will call his first 13 witness. 14 MR. CUMINGS: The first witness for the IC is 15 Dr. Shih. Dr. Shih, please state your name and spell 16 17 your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: David Shih: S-H-I-H. 18 19 THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry to interrupt. Does he need to be sworn? 20 21 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: He does. 22 MR. CUMINGS: Oh, yes. Dr. Shih, would you 23 raise your right hand.

	Page 10
1	DAVID SHIH, M.D.,
2	having been first duly sworn, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	
5	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Thank you, Dr.
6	Shih. You can go ahead and put your hand down. I'll
7	consider you sworn in.
8	
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. CUMINGS:
11	Q Dr. Shih, could you state your name one more
12	time.
13	A David Shih.
14	Q Perfect.
15	A S-H-I-H.
16	Q All right. Are you licensed as a medical
17	doctor in the State of Nevada?
18	A Yes.
19	Q For how long have you been a licensee?
20	A Since 2017.
21	Q Are you licensed anywhere else?
22	A California.
23	Q California? Where did you go to medical
24	school at?

1	А	Page 11 Weill Medical College of Cornell University.
2	Q	Are you certified by the American Board of
3	Medical Spe	cialties?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	What specialties are you certified in,
6	Doctor?	
7	А	Gastroenterology.
8	Q	What kind of medicine do you practice?
9	А	I practice gastroenterology.
10	Q	And where do you practice medicine?
11	А	Here in Las Vegas.
12	Q	How long have you been practicing as a
13	gastroenter	ologist?
14	А	Since 2009.
15	Q	Would you please turn to Exhibit 18 that's
16	marked as y	our curriculum vitae. Now, for the record,
17	this has al	ready been admitted. Can you state for the
18	record, Dr.	Shih, what this exhibit is?
19	А	This is my curriculum vitae.
20	Q	Does this appear to be a true-and-correct
21	copy of you	r curriculum vitae?
22	А	Yes, it is.
23	Q	And do these documents accurately summarize
24	your experi	ence and education?

1	А	Page 12 Yes, it is my experience and education.
2	Q	And you prepared this document?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And you provided this document to the Board?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Is there anything that you would like to add
7	to this doo	ument or is this document complete?
8	А	This document is complete.
9	Q	I would like to go through a couple of things
10	on this doo	nument. Could you turn to reference page 510.
11	А	Yes, I'm there.
12	Q	All right. It says that you're a teacher.
13	Do you tead	th in gastroenterology or do you train other
14	physicians?	
15	А	I was an associate professor at both UCLA and
16	Cedars-Sina	i from 2009 to 2017, so yes, I do train future
17	gastroenter	ologists.
18	Q	Do you conduct any research or puplish any
19	papers?	
20	А	Yes, I conducted research and publish papers
21	up until no	DW.
21 22	up until no	A rough estimate, how many papers do you
	Q	
22	Q	A rough estimate, how many papers do you

1	Page 13 Q Okay. Have you written any chapters for any
2	medical textbooks?
3	A Yes, I have.
4	Q So you can sort of say that you've written a
5	book on these sort of cases before. Have you served as a
6	peer reviewer?
7	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Is that a
8	question?
9	Q (BY MR. CUMINGS:) Yes. Would you Strike
10	that question. I'll move on. Have you served as peer
11	reviewer for the Board before?
12	A This is my first one.
13	Q Have you testified in any civil cases before?
14	A Yes, I have.
15	Q Have you testified for the defense before?
16	A Yes, I have testified for the defense.
17	Q Are you familiar with Investigation Number
18	18-181 regarding Dr. Dietrich Von Feldmann?
19	A Yes, I'm familiar.
20	Q So based upon your training and experience,
21	do you feel that you're familiar with the standards of
22	care for which a medical practitioner should be held
23	regarding the facts of this case?
24	A I do.

1	Page 14 Q So you have experience in the subject matter
2	that you've been asked to review regarding the facts of
3	this case. Is that correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Were you provided with materials by the Board
6	in your review of this matter?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you remember what was included in those
9	materials?
10	A The medical documentation from Dr. Von
11	Feldmann, the ER visit, the transfer note to another
12	hospital, and the surgeon's operative note and the
13	pathology.
14	Q Were you asked at the time of the materials
15	were provided to review them and make an objective
16	determination whether, in your professional medical
17	opinion, there was any departure from the proper medical
18	standards of care regarding the care provided by Dr. Von
19	Feldmann?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you come to a determination?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And what was that? What was your opinion?
24	A That Dr. Von Feldmann did not act upon
1	

Page 15 standard of care. 1 Thank you, Dr. Shih. I'm going to ask you 2 0 some more specific questions regarding the facts of this 3 4 case. Can you turn to the Board's Exhibit 13 that's 5 referenced number page 59. Would you please quickly review this document and just look to me when you're 6 7 done. I'm finished. 8 Α 9 What does this document look like to you? 0 This is a colonoscopy procedure report that 10 Α 11 was performed by Dr. Von Feldmann. 12 Do you see a date on this colonoscopy report? Q 13 The date is -- it's hard to make out, but I 14 believe it's June 20th, 2018. That is correct, Dr. Shih. What do the 15 records show is the reason that the patient was seeing 16 17 Dr. Von Feldmann on June 20th, 2018? It's for a colonoscopy. 18 19 And Dr. Von Feldmann performed a colonoscopy 0 in this case? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Q What were his findings? 23 Α He found there were a cecal polyp, an 24 ascending colon polyp, and marked left-sided

1	Page 16 diverticulosis.
2	Q Did he remove any polyps?
3	A Yes, he did.
4	Q By what method did Dr. Von Feldmann remove
5	these polyps?
6	A He used a method called endoscopic mucosal
7	resection.
8	Q Can you explain for laymen how that procedure
9	is done?
10	A It is to initially inject with a solution
11	called Eleview to raise the polyp. Once the polyp is
12	raised, then a gastroenterologist would then use a snare
13	with electrocautery to remove the polyp by
14	electrocautery.
15	Q Is this what Dr. Von Feldmann referred to as
16	hot biopsy forceps?
17	A No, that is a separate thing that he did to
18	do touchup to, I suppose, destroy the remaining polyp
19	that he could not remove using the endoscopic mucosal
20	resection.
21	Q Is this commonly done?
22	A Some gastroenterologists do it, but I rarely
23	do this procedure.
24	Q Are there any risks associated with this

_	Page 17
1	method of polyp removal?
2	A There are reports that says using the hot
3	biopsy forceps may have a higher risk of complication.
4	Q What sort of complications are associated
5	with the use of hot biopsy forceps?
6	A One such would be perforation.
7	Q Was Dr. Von Feldmann concerned with any of
8	these risks?
9	A I cannot tell from this documentation.
10	Q Could you please turn to Exhibit 13, pages 47
11	through 48. And this includes page 47A.
12	A I'm there.
13	Q Could you please review this document and
14	look up to me when you're done.
15	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Can you repeat
16	which exhibit, please?
17	MR. CUMINGS: Exhibit 13, Bate pages 47
18	through 48, including 47A. I apologize.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: I was busy
20	sneezing and I didn't catch it.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm finished reading.
22	Q (BY MR. CUMINGS:) Would you turn to focus on
23	page 47A.
24	A I'm there.

18 s
k
s
ed
as
j

	Page 19
1	severe pain?
2	A My differential diagnosis would be post
3	polypectomy coagulation syndrome, perforation, and other
4	diagnosis such as splenic laceration.
5	Q What should be done in a situation where
6	there are concerns about perforation of the bowel or post
7	polypectomy necrosis or coagulation necrosis syndrome
8	rather?
9	A Abdominal imaging.
10	Q Abdominal imaging. Turning back to what
11	happened, did Dr. Von Feldmann order any imaging?
12	A No.
13	Q What did he do?
14	A He prescribed analgesics.
15	Q So following the night of the 20th here, I'd
16	like to turn your attention briefly to another exhibit.
17	Could you please turn to Exhibit 19, pages 524 to 526.
18	A I'm there.
19	Q What does this document appear to be?
20	A This is a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis
21	for patient (name.)
22	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Are we stating the
23	patient's name on the record?
24	MR. CUMINGS: No. Dr. Shih, could you please

```
Page 20
 1
     refrain from using the patient's name. Just refer to him
     as Patient A, if you refer to him at all.
 3
                 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: And can you
 4
     address that in the record?
 5
                 THE COURT REPORTER:
                                      Yes.
                 THE WITNESS: Okay. I will.
 6
 7
                 (BY MR. CUMINGS:) Thank you very much. Can
            0
     you tell from this document what the date of the order
 8
 9
     was?
                The date of the CAT CT scan was June 21st,
10
            Α
11
     2018.
12
                 So this is the following morning after
            Q
13
     Dr. Von Feldmann performed a colonoscopy; correct?
14
            Α
                 Correct.
                 Can you tell from this document who ordered
15
            Q
16
     the CT scan?
17
                 I believe it's -- Can I use a name?
            Α
18
            0
                 Yes.
19
            Α
                 Okay. Dr. Ventura.
                 All right. Dr. Ventura. So Dr. Von Feldmann
20
            0
     was not the ordering physician for this CT, was he?
21
22
            Α
                 No.
23
                 Can you summarize what the findings of the CT
            Q
24
     are?
```

1	Page 21 A The summary, it's listed under impression,
2	which I'll read.
3	Large amount of free air in the right upper
4	quadrant. Additionally, there are a few foci of gas in
5	the porta hepatis. Evaluation for portal venous gas is
6	limited due to the lack of IV contrast, although not
7	likely intravascular. Mildly dilated transverse colon,
8	measuring up to 6.3 centimeters. No discrete transition
9	point is noted. Diverticulitis without evidence of acute
10	diverticulitis. 13.8 centimeter indeterminate low
11	hypodense lesion, likely representing a cyst. Comparison
12	with prior image would be helpful.
13	Q Can you explain what that means to a
14	layperson in regards to a large amount of free air in the
1 -	
15	right upper quadrant?
16	right upper quadrant? A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or
16	A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or
16 17	A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or the free air would not typically be seen unless there
16 17 18	A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or the free air would not typically be seen unless there were few instances. One such instance would be a bowel
16 17 18 19	A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or the free air would not typically be seen unless there were few instances. One such instance would be a bowel perforation which again, the clinical context after a
16 17 18 19 20	A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or the free air would not typically be seen unless there were few instances. One such instance would be a bowel perforation which again, the clinical context after a patient had a colonoscopy would be the highest on my
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Large amount of free air in the abdomen or the free air would not typically be seen unless there were few instances. One such instance would be a bowel perforation which again, the clinical context after a patient had a colonoscopy would be the highest on my differential list.

	Page 22
1	bowel causing life-threatening sepsis.
2	Q I would like to turn back to Exhibit 13, page
3	47A. It's where we're at previously.
4	A Yes.
5	Q Does Dr. Von Feldmann and his response to the
6	Board mention the CT that we just reviewed, Exhibit 19?
7	A Yes, he did review the CT on his reply.
8	Q Does he make note of the large amount of free
9	air?
10	A Yes, he did make a note.
11	Q What did Dr. Von Feldmann interpret this
12	large amount of free air to mean?
13	A He, in his word, free air under his diaphragm
14	and that he indeed had post polypectomy coagulation
15	necrosis syndrome which had allowed the air to escape
16	through the weakened wall.
17	Q Does this occur, in your experience, that
18	postpolypectomy coagulation necrosis can lead to free air
19	in the right upper quadrant?
20	A By definition, there is no free air in the
21	condition called post polypectomy coagulation syndrome.
22	Q So it would more likely indicate a bowel
23	perforation?
24	A That is the distinction between bowel

Page 23 1 perforation and post polypectomy coagulation syndrome. They are similar except in bowel perforation, there's free air. No free air in post polypectomy coagulation 4 syndrome. 5 0 So did Dr. Von Feldmann think there was colon perforation in this case? 6 He did not consider it on this documentation. 7 What course of treatment would Dr. Von 8 9 Feldmann have recommended according to his response to the Board? 10 Are you saying -- Are you talking about the 11 12 post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome or --13 Yes, sir. Q 14 Α -- about --I'm looking at -- to refresh your 15 recollection here, I'm looking at the last paragraph on 16 17 that page. 18 Α Okay. Go ahead and review. 19 0 20 Α I see. 21 Go ahead and review that paragraph to 0 22 yourself and then look up again when you're done. 23 Α I'm finished. 24 Q Thank you, Dr. Shih. I'm going to restate

1	that question. What course of treatment would Dr. Von
2	Feldmann have recommended according to his response?
3	A He says sticking a needle into his abdomen.
4	Q Is that something that would release the free
5	air?
6	A Yes, but it's not done
7	Q Is that
8	A typically done.
9	Q an appropriate comment to make to a
10	patient?
11	A I do not know the context, but it is not
12	appropriate.
13	Q He mentions a gastrografin enema. What is
14	that?
15	A It's to use a water-soluble contrast dye
16	administered via rectally by the enema to evaluate the
17	colon.
18	Q And that would be done to and in conjunction
19	with imaging or would that be done
20	A With imaging, yes, fluoroscopy imaging.
21	Q Would this adequately treat a patient with a
22	perforated colon at that stage?
23	A No. If anything, it's contraindicated if
24	there's a bowel perforation because he could worsen the
1	

Page 25 1 perforation. 2 0 So based on the CT alone at this point, you would diagnose a perforated colon? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 0 So what should be done to treat a perforated colon? 6 7 Nothing by mouth, NPO, IV hydration, Α antibiotics and urgent surgical consultation. 8 9 So is there a concern about patient outcome 0 in the case of bowel perforation without surgical 10 11 intervention? 12 In rare instances, perhaps a patient can be Α treated conservatively, but if there is no improvement 13 after 24 hours, a surgical consultation needs to be 14 obtained. In this case, the patient had pain. The CT 15 showed large amount of free air in the colon. This is 16 17 not a minor case, and a surgical consultation needs to be obtained urgently. 18 19 Did Dr. Von Feldmann initiate a transfer of 20 the patient? 21 Α No. 22 Q Do you recall who initiated the transfer of the patient? 23 24 Α Dr. Ventura, the primary care provider.

		Page 26
1	Q	And do you recall where the patient was
2	transferred	to?
3	A	I believe the hospital is called Renown
4	Regional Hos	spital.
5	Q	So according to medical records you reviewed,
6	did Dr. Von	Feldmann order imaging?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Did Dr. Von Feldmann refer Patient A for a
9	higher leve	l of care?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Did Dr. Von Feldmann recognize that the colon
12	that the	free air in the right upper quadrant would
13	likely be in	ndicative of colon perforation?
14	А	No.
15	Q	I would like to next look at your records
16	from Renown	and have you walk us through what was
17	discovered a	after Patient A was transferred. Do you
18	recall how 1	Patient A arrived from Mount Grant?
19	A	I believe by air transport.
20	Q	So Care Flight?
21	А	Care Flight.
22	Q	Would you turn to Exhibit 17, page 89.
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Could you review this and look up when you're

1	done.	Page 27
2	А	I'm finished.
3	Q	Thank you, Dr. Shih. What does this document
4	appear to b	e?
5	A	This documentation is an emergency provider's
6	history and	physical.
7	Q	And what did the ER provider note in this
8	section? C	ould you
9	А	He noted
10	Q	I'm sorry.
11	A	I'm sorry. Please finish your question.
12	Q	Specifically in regards to HPI. What does
13	HPI mean?	
14	A	History of present illness.
15	Q	And what did the provider note in that
16	section?	
17	A	The provider noted that the patient was
18	transferred	from Mount Grant Hospital for perforated
19	viscus as d	ocumented by a CAT scan. The patient had
20	pain, nause	a but no vomiting. The patient had no bowel
21	movement, a	nd the pain is better after receiving
22	morphine.	
23	Q	So according to that, they had already
24	diagnosed a	colon perforation at Mount Grant before they

1	Page 28
2	A They highly suspected.
3	Q So as a gastroenterologist, seeing something
4	like that should jump out to you that colon perforation
5	should be a concern?
6	A It would be the highest on my differential
7	diagnosis.
8	Q Let's turn a few pages forward. Can you turn
9	to page 132 through 133. And just as before, please
10	review these documents and let know when you're done.
11	Thank you, Doctor.
12	A I'm finished.
13	Q Thank you, Dr. Shih. What does this record
14	appear to be?
15	A This is a postoperative report.
16	Q Can you tell when the date of service was on
17	this report?
18	A June 21, 2018.
19	Q So that's the day following the colonoscopy;
20	correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Who was the author of this document?
23	A Dr. Robert Nachtsheim. I'm sorry if I
24	pronounce the name incorrectly.

1	Page 29 Q I think I would have done just as well as you
2	did on that. Is he a surgeon?
3	A Yes, he's a surgeon.
4	Q In examining page 132, what was his
5	indication for a surgery for the patient?
6	A Iatrogenic perforation of the colon.
7	Q Iatrogenic. What does that mean?
8	A latrogenic means complication that is done in
9	a medical setting by either a medical professional or a
10	medical facility.
11	Q And that was Dr. Nachtsheim's preoperative
12	diagnosis?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Was he the physician who operated on the
15	patient?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Can you tell from this document what
18	procedures he performed?
19	A He performed an exploration laparotomy, a
20	right hemicolectomy and a partial omentectomy.
21	Q Can you explain for a layperson what this
22	involves? What are these procedures?
23	A He cut open a patient's abdomen, explored it
24	to see what needs to be done. He determined that the

1	Page 30 right colon needed to be removed and part of the omentum
2	needed to be removed due to perforation.
3	Q So would you characterize these operations as
4	part diagnostic and part to treat the patient?
5	A Yes, both diagnostic and therapeutic.
6	Q Looking at the same page, that's page 132,
7	what was Dr. Nachtsheim's findings?
8	A So the surgeon, when he did his laparotomy,
9	saw that the right colon was quite distended with a
10	splitting of the serosa. And on the previous page 131,
11	he noted that the splitting of the serosa was nine
12	centimeter.
13	There were no contamination with the feces,
14	but he did note that the colon, the right colon, did not
15	appear to have a survivable insult due to massive
16	distension and extensive air within the soft tissues
17	surrounding the colon. Due to this, due to what he
18	observed, he made the clinical decision to remove the
19	right colon.
20	Q So it's the surgeon's opinion then would
21	you characterize the surgeon's opinion that had Patient A
22	not been taken into surgery, he would not have survived?
23	A It's hard to say for a hundred percent, but
24	this is what everyone would have done because of

1	Page 31 consequence would be dire including death. Yes, in my
2	opinion, I believe the patient would not have survived if
3	the surgeon did not take him for a surgery.
4	Q So he mentioned a splitting of the serosa.
5	What is a splitting of the serosa exactly?
6	A It's a perforation. It's a tear.
7	Q And how long did he note that tear was again?
8	A On page 131, he noted it was nine centimeter.
9	Q I happen to have a ruler here with me just
10	for a little bit of demonstrative effect here. Nine
11	centimeters is about right here. Would you characterize
12	that to be about nearly four inches? I don't know if you
13	can see that.
14	A More specifically, 3.5 something inches.
15	Q So about nearly the size of a pen?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you would say this is a very large tear?
18	A It is large.
19	Q In your professional medical opinion after
20	reviewing all of the facts in this case, the medical
21	records and utilizing your own experience, what should
22	Dr. Von Feldmann have done after the patient complained
23	of severe pain following colonoscopy?
24	A Ask the patient to go to either an urgent
i	

1	Page 32 care or an emergency room. When the patient has ten out
2	of ten pain, you get an urgent imaging to make sure
3	there's no bowel perforation.
4	Q Would you opine that Dr. Von Feldmann
5	committed malpractice in this case?
6	A He did not comply with standard of care, so
7	he committed malpractice.
8	Q Could there be any other reason for such a
9	large volume of air in the right upper quadrant of
10	Patient A which is evident from the radiology report and
11	the findings from Renown that we've previously discussed?
12	A There are other medical reasons, but in this
13	clinical setting, the reason is bowel perforation.
14	Q Does the failure to recognize the free air in
15	the patient's abdominal cavity contribute to your finding
16	of malpractice?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. CUMINGS: Thank you. I have no further
19	questions at this time.
20	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Dr. Von Feldmann,
21	did you have any questions that you would like to ask Dr.
22	Shih about the testimony that he's provided?
23	DR. VON FELDMANN: Sure.
24	

1	Page 33
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY DR. VON FELDMANN:
3	Q So basic question is: As far as your
4	education is concerned, it says in your curriculum
5	vitae
6	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: And just for the
7	record, we have to say what exhibit that is, so I believe
8	that's Exhibit 18.
9	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) That is, I guess 18.
10	Eighteen. It says internal medicine internship July 2003
11	through June 2004. Is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And then it says underneath internal medicine
14	residency: July 2004 through June 2005?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q Okay. Is that all you did as far as internal
17	medicine residency is concerned?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Wasn't the rule that you had to do three
20	years instead of just two to be able to be eligible for
21	internal medicine more certification?
22	A That is not exactly correct. For exceptional
23	residents, you can do what's called a short track meaning
24	that if you scored 99 percent on your medical board as
1	

1	Page 34 well as complete all of the required core curriculum, you
2	could do a short track. It is stated under ABIM.
3	Therefore, I chose to do a short track because I have
4	scored 99 percent on all of my examinations as well as
5	completed my residency training, and as an applicant for
6	GI fellowship, I was the number-one applicant during that
7	year, and I got a pick of any gastroenterology fellowship
8	program to go to.
9	Q That was different when I went through
10	residency in the '70s. I had two and a half years of
11	residency plus my fellowship in GI, and they did not
12	allow me to take the internal medicine boards.
13	A You would have to be picked by a program
14	director to be selected to the short track program.
15	Q They didn't offer anything to me, so okay.
16	So how many colonoscopies have you performed in your
17	career so far?
18	A Approximately 20,000.
19	Q And how many of those were performed by
20	residents and fellows under your supervision?
21	A Approximately 8,000.
22	Q So you would say that you performed 12,000
23	yourself?
24	A Yes, conservatively.

1	Q	Page 35 How many perforations have you had during
2	your 8,000	colonoscopies?
3	А	8,000 was done approximately with a fellow.
4	12,000 was	approximately done by myself personally. I
5	have one pe	rforation.
6	Q	Do you perform EMRs yourself?
7	А	Yes. Yes.
8	Q	How often did you encounter postcoagulation
9	necrosis sy	ndromes?
10	А	Very rarely.
11	Q	How many would you say?
12	А	I'd probably count on my single digit, maybe
13	five.	
14	Q	What would you have done with this cecal
15	polyp?	
16	А	It's a one-centimeter polyp, so I would have
17	done as you	have done which is to do an EMR to try to
18	remove this	polyp. If I cannot remove this polyp
19	completely,	I would not have done a hot biopsy touchup.
20	Q	What do you think the pain the patient
21	complains o	f was due to?
22	А	The differential diagnosis is perforation
23	versus post	polypectomy coagulation syndrome.
24	Q	And how much would the air in the right upper

1	Page 36 quadrant have contributed to his pain?
2	A The air in the right upper quadrant indicates
3	there's a perforation. And in this perforation, that
4	caused the pain.
5	Q The perforation itself would have caused
6	pain?
7	A Perforation itself as well as the
8	inflammation due to the electrocautery burn.
9	Q Can you imagine that the patient would have
10	had much less pain after removal of the air from the
11	right upper quadrant, the pain radiating to the right
12	shoulder?
13	A The pain that radiates the pain that's in
14	the abdomen that radiated to the right shoulder is
15	indicative of a bowel perforation, and surgical
16	consultation is the right thing to do.
17	Q Have you ever ordered a gastrografin enema
18	for any of your
19	A I have.
20	Q And what was the indication for that?
21	A Gastrografin enema can be used for several
22	indications. One such indication can be used as a
23	screening, colon cancer screening modality.
24	Q You ordered that for bleeding, you say?

1	Page 37 A No, that's not what I said. I do not order
2	gastrografin enema for bleeding.
3	Q So I didn't understand you. So what was the
4	indication for the gastrografin enema?
5	A One of the indications can be for colon
6	cancer screening.
7	MR. CUMINGS: He said one indication can be
8	for colon cancer screening.
9	Is that appropriate?
10	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: That's fine.
11	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) How many times did
12	you refer one of your patients to surgery for free air in
13	the abdomen?
14	A One. The one time that I had a perforation.
15	Q And you did not get a gastrografin enema on
16	that particular patient before you sent him to the
17	surgeon?
18	A Yes, because ordering a gastrografin enema in
19	the setting of free air and possible perforation is a
20	contraindication.
21	Q Is it contra?
22	A Meaning we're not supposed to do it.
23	Q He said it's a contraindication?
24	MR. CUMINGS: Contraindication meaning we're

1	Page 3 not supposed to do it, is what he said.
2	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay.
3	So what's your specialty in gastroenterology?
4	A Yes, I'm Board certified in gastroenterology.
5	Q What did he say?
6	MR. CUMINGS: He said he's Board certified in
7	gastroenterology.
8	DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes, but don't you have
9	some subspecialty interest in the field of
10	gastroenterology?
11	THE WITNESS: I'm interested in colon cancer
12	screening. I'm interested in immunology of the
13	gastrointestinal tract, I'm interested in inflammatory
14	conditions of the gastroenterology tract as well as many
15	other interests.
16	DR. VON FELDMANN: Okay. That's all I have.
17	MR. CUMINGS: May I redirect?
18	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: You may.
19	
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. CUMINGS:
22	Q Thank you. Dr. Shih, I'm going to ask you a
23	few questions related to what Dr. Von Feldmann asked you.
24	He asked you how many times have you had postcoagulation

T 20
Page 39 necrosis syndrome. How many times was that?
A I estimate five.
Q And you've done approximately 12,000
conservatively, as you put it, 12,000 solo colonoscopies?
DR. VON FELDMANN: Eight.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Just to be clear,
if you don't agree, Dr. Von Feldmann, if you don't agree
with something he says, then you say "objection" so we
know so that the witness doesn't answer. And then you
can state on the record why you disagree with him and
then I can rule on that.
In this case, you objected because you
disagreed with the representation that he did 12,000
solo, which was incorporated in his question. And your
position was that he testified that he did 8,000 solo.
And my ruling on that would be that the testimony has
been given and that it's recorded. I will note your
objection and refer to the record as to who was correct
on that when I review this matter for proceeding.
DR. VON FELDMANN: So I object, and I
understood that you did 8,000 yourself and the rest were
done by residents and fellowships under your supervision.
HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: And just for the

```
Page 40
     record, I recall it being 12- but, Dr. Shih, could you
 1
 2
     just clarify for the record for Mr. Von Feldmann's
     benefit in relation to his objection?
 3
 4
                 THE WITNESS: I did 8,000 with fellows and
 5
     12,000 myself.
 6
                 DR. VON FELDMANN:
                                    So I'm sorry.
                 (BY MR. CUMINGS:) So that's 20,000 total?
 7
            0
                 Approximately.
 8
            Α
 9
                 So of that 20,000 total that you
            0
     approximately have done, you said you've maybe seen post
10
11
     coagulation necrosis syndrome five times?
12
            Α
                 That's correct.
                 Would you characterize that as a rare
13
            Q
14
     diagnosis?
                 It can be rare.
15
            Α
16
                 So it's not something that comes to your mind
            Q
17
     first thing if there's new pain presented?
                 Whenever there is pain, I always get an
18
19
     abdominal imaging if it is not what I expected.
                 Now when Dr. Von Feldmann asked you about how
20
            0
     you would have taken care of the patient in this case
21
22
     with the one-centimeter polyp, you stated that you would
     not utilize hot biopsy. Why would you not utilize a hot
23
24
     biopsy?
```

1	Page 41 A Because I already made a electrocoagulation
2	burn, an additional burn, I would not do because I worry
3	about higher risk of perforation. Therefore, I would not
4	do it.
5	Q What happens when there's a burn like that
6	with the snare?
7	A The bowel wall is already somewhat weakened.
8	Additional burn will further weaken the bowel wall.
9	Q So would you characterize this additional
10	burn as the probable cause of a perforation in this case?
11	A It is one of the possibilities.
12	Q In looking at the enema that was requested,
13	why would you not perform an enema after a possible risk
14	of colon perforation?
15	A Enema itself can cause colon perforation. It
16	is a rare risk. If the bowel if there's already a
17	tear and you put additional things into it, you can cause
18	a bigger mechanical tear. That is why it is a
19	contraindication to perform an enema if a bowel
20	perforation is suspected. You do not want to make the
21	perforation worse.
22	Q So as a gastroenterologist, it's very
23	important then to understand these contraindications when
24	a case like this presents where you have to manage it.

1	Page 42
	Would you characterize it
2	A You have to know the indications and
3	contraindications in everything that you do.
4	MR. CUMINGS: Thank you, Dr. Shih. I have no
5	more questions at this time.
6	DR. VON FELDMANN: Can I have another
7	question?
8	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: We usually don't
9	allow that.
10	DR. VON FELDMANN: Okay. It's good.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay.
12	MR. CUMINGS: He can.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: I will give leeway
14	since Mr. Cumings is not opposed to you doing that. So
15	it's called recross, but it's not usual, but go ahead.
16	
17	RECROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY DR. VON FELDMANN:
19	Q What do you think was the significance of the
20	tear in the ascending on the serosal side of the
21	ascending colon which the surgeon described and which was
22	not described on the pathology specimen?
23	A I believe the pathology specimen also
24	described a tear. The serosa is on the outside of the

Page 43 bowel wall, so the surgeon described the tear on a 1 That tells me that the tear is a complete tear 2 from inside of the colon all the way to the outside of 3 4 the colon, and the length is 9 centimeter, which is a 5 little bit larger than 3.5 inches. I consider that big, complete tear. 6 So you believe that the tear which the 7 surgeon describes was 9 centimeters long perforation? 8 9 He documented it on his procedure note in surgery. I believe there's no reason for the surgeon to 10 11 document otherwise. 12 DR. VON FELDMANN: That's it. 13 MR. CUMINGS: The IC rests its case-in-chief. 14 If Dr. Von Feldmann would like to present his case now, I think that -- I don't believe I need to call you as a 15 16 witness in this case, so --17 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Are you intending to release Dr. Shih or do you prefer he stay here for 18 potential rebuttal? 19 20 MR. CUMINGS: I'd like for him to stay for 21 potential rebuttal, if that's okay. 22 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Yes, of course. 23 Dr. Shih, did you hear and understand what 24 just took place?

	Dago 11
1	Page 44 THE WITNESS: I need to stay for the
2	potential rebuttal.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Yes. Thank you.
4	THE WITNESS: So stay.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Thank you. Okay.
6	So, Dr. Von Feldmann, this is your chance to
7	testify. I'm going to have you raise your right hand and
8	be sworn before you testify.
9	
10	DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,
11	having been first duly sworn, was
12	examined and testified as follows:
13	
14	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Thank you.
15	So it's not normal for you to ask yourself questions, so
16	I'm going to ask you to go ahead and just state what you
17	want to tell me for the record.
18	DR. VON FELDMANN: Can you ask me all the
19	questions again what she asked Dr. Shih?
20	MR. CUMINGS: Can I ask you? No. So you
21	have to testify on your behalf now. Typically, if you
22	were represented, your attorney would call you as a
23	witness and ask you questions, but now you have to
24	narratively sort of tell your side of the story and try

Page 45 to tie it back to the exhibits in the record. 1 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: 2 Right. Mr. Cumings has chosen not to ask you questions as part 3 4 of his case. Otherwise, he would have questions that he 5 would ask you, but he's decided not to do that. So this is your chance just to tell your side of the case and for 6 7 you to tell me what you want me to know for me to make a 8 decision. DR. VON FELDMANN: First of all, I would like 9 to restate what I stated at the beginning, that I would 10 11 do exactly the same as what I did or wanted to do which 12 they didn't let me do because against my advice without 13 further workup, they referred the patient to Renown. 14 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Is there anything else you want to tell me? 15 16 DR. VON FELDMANN: I don't think that the 17 tear which the surgeon described had anything to do with the perforation. The surgeon did not describe how deep 18 that tear was. Normally when there is a tear in the 19 colon due to what we call barotrauma: B-A-R-O, it's on 20 the inside of the colon. 21 22 There is a term for that called catscratch 23 colon, and these tears are usually superficial. 24 usually do not see tears on the outside of the colon

Page 46 because the patients usually do not go to surgery. 1 2 have seen several patients with postcoagulation syndrome. I remember a case we had at another 3 4 institution just a few weeks before. The patient had --5 patient went to the emergency room. He had pain. did a CAT scan on this patient, showed free air. I asked 6 7 the radiologist to please do a gastrografin enema which 8 he refused. 9 I don't know why he refused it and he didn't tell me why, but there was a surgeon involved in his care 10 11 at the same time, and I had a long discussion with that 12 surgeon and I was surprisingly able to convince him that 13 we should treat this patient conservatively. That means without surgery for the time being. And he said: Let's 14 do it. So the patient was admitted to the hospital on 15 16 antibiotics and probably pain medication. 17 He became asymptomatic within one to two days and was discharged three days later asymptomatic and 18 never had a problem again. So most people would have 19 said this patient had a perforation. So in the 20 literature, five to 15 percent of patients who have free 21 22 air in the abdomen do not need surgery. 23 I'd like to lodge an objection MR. CUMINGS: 24 to that. There was no document or peer-reviewed study

1	Page 47 that was provided to refer or corroborate that statement.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: I'll take that as
3	an opinion.
4	MR. CUMINGS: Okay.
5	DR. VON FELDMANN: There are, according to
6	the literature, quite a few other conditions which lead
7	to free air in the abdomen and some of them have pain
8	too.
9	I have learned on many postgraduate meetings
10	that when there is a suspicion for perforation after
11	colonoscopy that gastrografin enema is the diagnostic
12	procedure to consider. That's why I suggested this to
13	Dr. Ventura when I saw the patient in the emergency room
14	the next day. But Dr. Ventura didn't want to go that way
15	because he told me he was going out of town in the
16	afternoon. He didn't want to have any problems with this
17	patient, so that's why he transferred the patient to
18	Renown rather than going with my suggestion to take this
19	patient to Fallon Hospital because they have fluoroscopy
20	which we don't have at Mount Grant General Hospital. And
21	in my opinion, that would not have shown any perforation.
22	And if the radiologist would have called me
23	and told me there's no evidence of perforation, I would
24	have asked him to do a paracentesis on this patient which

Page 48 means sticking in a needle into the abdomen to suck the 1 2 air out because I believed that the pain which the patient complained of in the right upper quadrant 3 4 radiating to the right shoulder was due to the free air 5 in that area. The patient, according to the records, also 6 7 complained of some pain in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen which I believe was due to the 8 9 postcoagulation syndrome. I did not use a hot biopsy forceps on the polyp which I removed from the cecum. 10 The 11 polypectomy site looked to me fabulous. 12 Very often when we do EMR and there is a 13 possibility of perforation, we usually see something in the crater caused by the EMR. There is very often a 14 small black spot which we call target lesion. If we see 15 16 something like that, then we would consider to use some 17 clips to close the polypectomy site so that there is no leakage. 18 19 The surgeon described in his operative report that there was no evidence of spillage. There was no 20 fecal matter in the peritoneal cavity in spite of the 21 22 fact that the pathologist described abundance of fecal 23 matter in the cecal area together with air. So if that 24 would have been a nine-centimeter long perforation, that

Page 49

- 1 definitely would have been spillage.
- I was convinced that this patient had
- 3 postcoagulation necrosis syndrome. This can lead to free
- 4 air in the abdomen. The area of the cecum is very thin.
- 5 It's about up to three millimeters in thickness when the
- 6 colon is not distended by air, but when it is distended
- 7 by air, then it probably is even less and it's even less
- 8 after we did an EMR. So I thought that the air must have
- 9 leaked through this area because of the thinness of the
- 10 colon after EMR.
- But thinking about this further, I believe
- 12 that this patient had what we call a microperforation in
- 13 the area of the polypectomy. Microperforations close
- 14 without any intervention. The patient or the patient's
- 15 wife stated that he had pain level of ten out of ten
- 16 after the colonoscopy.
- 17 First of all, the scale of pain is very
- 18 subjective. I never use it. So ten out of ten would
- 19 mean cutting the leg off without any anesthesia. That
- 20 would be ten out of ten. So it didn't keep the patient
- 21 from eating cookies and drinking something. So somebody
- 22 that had pain ten out of ten would not do that. So and
- 23 the nurse's note after the colonoscopy at the time they
- 24 discharged him stated that he was stable. I talked to

```
Page 50
 1
     the nurse who was in the endoscopy -- postendoscopy room,
 2
     and she said that -- stable means the vital signs were
     normal and the patient was without significant pain.
 3
 4
                 It's not unusual to have some discomfort
 5
     after colonoscopy which is usually due to air in the
     colon. And this procedure was lengthy because I did not
 6
 7
     only approach the polyp in the cecum with EMR.
     another polyp in the proximal ascending colon which was
 8
 9
     in a very difficult location, and I decided not to remove
     this polyp completely because it would have been so
10
11
     difficult.
                 And that's where I used the hot biopsy.
12
                 I know that from the meetings I went to,
13
     particularly a meeting I attended in Chicago just a few
     weeks before that the country's most prominent
14
     colonoscopist, whose name is Douglas Rex, he very often
15
16
     uses a hot biopsy forceps to do some touchup work, and
17
     that's what I used on the second polyp which was not
     totally removed.
18
19
                 And I also believe that the patient,
20
     according to pathology report, had postcoagulation
     necrosis syndrome in the area too. Postcoagulation
21
22
     necrosis syndrome always means that there is an
23
     irritation of the serosa, which is the outer surface of
     the intestine. But there was no evidence of any
24
```

Page 51 1 peritonitis anywhere else. 2 So microperforation in a patient with 3 postpolypectomy coagulation necrosis is similar to a 4 patient who has diverticulosis and develops 5 diverticulitis. This is usually due to microperforation, and those patients in the vast majority are treated 6 7 without surgery. And I had no reason to assume that this 8 patient had anything else besides postcoagulation 9 necrosis syndrome because a polypectomy site in the cecum looked very, very good. There was no evidence of 10 11 perforation. 12 I discussed this with one of the world's best 13 therapeutic endoscopists in the world in San Francisco whose name is Kenneth Bimmoeller, which is spelled: 14 B-I-M-M-O-E-L-L-E-R. He says that microperforation can 15 16 be caused just by sticking a needle through the colonic 17 wall which we basically always do when we do EMR to raise the polyp with normal saline or what we used here Eleview 18 so we go beyond the polyp and very often goes beyond the 19 serosa, and then we pull it back and inject. And as soon 20 as we see a blip, then we know that we are where we want 21 22 to be, namely in the submucosa and then we inject more. 23 So he said microperforation can be caused by just a needle. And there was a considerable amount of 24

Page 52 pressure in the right end of the colon because the 1 2 procedure took longer than I expected, so there was 3 opportunity for the air to escape through that area. 4 Normally, we use CO2 for colonoscopy, but 5 this was not available at the institution where I did the colonoscopy. So that's why the air was hanging around 6 7 there for a considerable amount of time. So the CO2 would probably have been absorbed much faster but the 8 9 patient probably would have had more pain because CO2, in the peritoneal cavity, is very irritant. That's what the 10 11 surgeons used for laparoscopy, but when I did 12 laparoscopy, I didn't use propofol, which we use for 13 sedation in colonoscopy. I used very little sedation, so it would have been impossible to use CO2 with minimal 14 sedation. So if we would have used CO2, the patient 15 16 would have had more pain in the right upper quadrant. So 17 I believe that this patient had microperforations which sealed probably almost immediately. 18 Gastrografin has been used many times in this 19 situation. There is no contraindication to that 20 according to the literature and what I learned on the 21 22 meetings. I am convinced that the gastrografin study 23 would not have shown an extravasation of the contrast 24 material if they would have gone along with my

Page 53 suggestions. And I believe that if that would not have 1 2 shown any extravasation, I would have asked the 3 radiologist to suck the air out of the right upper 4 quadrant with a needle under ultrasound or CT guidance. 5 But that probably would have made the patient almost asymptomatic right away. 6 7 In my opinion, this patient should not have gone to surgery. The patient, like the other patient I 8 9 was describing before, would have gone home within a few days with antibiotics and clear liquids by mouth and 10 11 maybe some pain medication if necessary. This patient is 12 not plausible -- was not plausible as far as his pain 13 level was concerned. I apparently was called by the wife sometime 14 in the p.m. after the colonoscopy stating to me that he 15 16 was still in pain, and I told her that this was due to 17 postcoagulation syndrome which I explained to the patient already immediately after the procedure and I prescribed 18 some analgesics. I don't know how they got that 19 prescription because I was not in the hospital anymore, 20 21 but maybe somebody took it over to the emergency room and 22 told the wife to pick it up from there. So I don't know 23 where the patient took this pain medication, but the 24 records described that he was able to quote, "sleep off"

Page 54 That means he didn't have any significant pain 1 the pain. 2 during the night. And I also would have expected the patient to go to the emergency room if that would not 3 have been the case but he didn't. He waited until the 4 5 next morning. If he would have gone to the emergency room 6 7 during the night, they would have called me and I 8 certainly would have gone to the emergency room to check the patient out. And I would have ordered a CT scan at 9 that time. I didn't think that the CT scan was indicated 10 11 after the colonoscopy because it usually doesn't show any 12 postcoagulation necrosis syndrome. Sometimes it shows a 13 little bit of maybe thickening of the wall, but on the CT scan which they ordered next morning in the emergency 14 room, there was absolutely nothing to be seen in the area 15 16 of the polypectomies. 17 The distension of the colon described on CT was not in the area of the right side of the colon or 18 It was described in the transverse colon which we 19 didn't approach with anything, and that was not ten 20 21 centimeters as the surgeon described. It was only 6.5 22 centimeters. Why it was in the transverse colon, I don't 23 know, but it was probably due to there still being a significant amount of air in the colon, but it was 24

1	Page 55 insignificant.
2	And I also believe that the tear which was
3	described by the surgeon in the ascending colon had
4	nothing to do with the patient's symptoms and that it was
5	not a perforation. He did not even describe how deep
6	that tear was, and I am convinced that the tear was very
7	superficial as they usually are in the so-called
8	catscratch colon on the inside of the colon. The
9	pathology report did not describe any perforation. The
10	records also say that Dr. Shih or Dr. Shih claims that I
11	didn't take care of my records properly.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: I don't believe
13	that's
14	MR. CUMINGS: That's not I didn't use that
15	as an allegation or a charge.
16	DR. VON FELDMANN: But it was originally,
17	it was held against me.
18	MR. CUMINGS: By whom?
19	DR. VON FELDMANN: Maybe by you.
20	MR. CUMINGS: No, sir.
21	DR. VON FELDMANN: No? But it's in there.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Well
23	DR. VON FELDMANN: But he claims that I
24	didn't take care of my records.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS - 08/17/2022

Page 56 1 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Just so you know, 2 that's something that I'm not going to consider. So the records aren't before me. 3 DR. VON FELDMANN: I don't know how he got to 4 5 that conclusion. MR. CUMINGS: I don't believe that he made 6 7 that conclusion, sir. DR. VON FELDMANN: But it's in here. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Again, Dr. Von Feldmann, I'm not going to consider any 10 allegation about your records. 11 12 DR. VON FELDMANN: Okay. Okay. But I wanted 13 to straighten that out. 14 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Well, as far as I'm concerned, your records were appropriate. So 15 16 I don't think you need to defend yourself. 17 DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes. But it's in here, and he claimed that. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. DR. VON FELDMANN: And wanted to state that I 20 was not asked for giving a consultation when I saw the 21 22 patient in the emergency room. So if I was not asked for giving a consultation, I don't have to write a note. 23 24 That was up to the PCP, and he didn't. He didn't write

1	Page 57 anything.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay.
	- -
3	MR. CUMINGS: Would you like to take a few
4	minutes, Dr. Von Feldmann?
5	DR. VON FELDMANN: What?
6	MR. CUMINGS: Would you like to take a few
7	minutes?
8	DR. VON FELDMANN: I don't need it.
9	MR. CUMINGS: Okay.
10	DR. VON FELDMANN: So what else? So I'm not
11	sure what kind of pain the pain level the patient really
12	had because he had apparently slept through the night.
13	And when I saw the patient in the emergency room the next
14	morning, he was not in any significant distress.
15	When he arrived at Renown, the H&P written by
16	mid-level person that means probably by an APRN, said
17	the patient was in no significant distress. And I told
18	the patient after the procedure that he should not eat
19	anything besides clear liquids until a.m., and in the
20	a.m., he could advance to more normal diet if he had no
21	pain. And I intended to call the patient in the morning
22	to make sure that he was okay. And he ate cookies while
23	he allegedly had pain ten out of ten which
24	MR. CUMINGS: Did they tell you Sorry.
1	

Page 58 1 I'd like to lodge an objection. I'd like to have that 2 referred to in the record about the patient eating. I don't recall seeing that in his operative report or any 3 4 of the documents. 5 DR. VON FELDMANN: Can you speak up, sir. Ι 6 didn't hear you. 7 I'd like to make an objection. MR. CUMINGS: I'd request that you refer to the record in that whether 8 9 he ate or not. 10 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Yeah. He's asking 11 where in the record he says he ate cookies and after the 12 procedure. 13 DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes. HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: And I know it's 14 been mentioned earlier without objection, but I'll note 15 16 that it's on -- I'll also note that it's on Exhibit 17 at 17 page 89. 18 MR. CUMINGS: Okay. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: It says he ate two cookies and some juice after his colonoscopy yesterday 20 21 recorded at Renown. 22 DR. VON FELDMANN: I don't think that 23 somebody with a pain level of ten out of ten would eat 24 cookies. The history and physical from Renown prior to

Page 59 the operation also stated that the patient had some form 1 2 of chronic abdominal pain. I believe that the patient was not particularly compliant because he was supposed to 3 4 come for surveillance colonoscopy long before he had this 5 colonoscopy because of all of the polyps which he had before. So the polyp in the cecum would not have been as 6 7 large, and it was probably larger than one centimeter 8 which I stated. So and besides that, the patient had 9 many more significant polyps in the ascending colon which I did not consider to remove at that time because the 10 11 procedure took too long already. 12 And I also believe that the patient probably 13 was served well by having hemicolectomy because of all of these additional polyps. But it did not have to be done 14 at that time. But it should have been done maybe later 15 16 on after extensive discussion with the patient. But he 17 would have needed quite a few additional EMRs which would have led maybe to more post polypectomy coaqulation 18 necrosis. So I believe he would have been a candidate 19 for a hemicolectomy at a later time. 20 21 The patient stated after the colonoscopy that 22 he had never had this kind of pain before after 23 colonoscopy, which I apparently also performed, at least the one nine or ten years before. He did not have the 24

1	pain because he didn't have an EMR and he did not have
2	all of these additional polyps in the ascending colon
3	which if he would have shown up earlier would have been
4	easy to remove. So I believe that probably would have
5	it's significant that the patient had some form of
6	chronic abdominal pain before and nobody knew why.
7	I gave at the time when the patient was
8	taken by air to Renown before they left the emergency
9	room, I gave the wife a note to give to the doctors at
10	Renown to consider a gastrografin enema before they would
11	take the patient to surgery, and they would not have
12	found a perforation. And I was proven right by the
13	pathology report.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: What exhibit is
15	the pathology report?
16	MR. CUMINGS: I think Exhibit 19 is what
17	we're referring to, and that's the CT report from Mount
18	Grant. Is that what you're referring to, Dr. Von
19	Feldmann?
20	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: When you say the
21	pathology report, which exhibit are you referring to,
22	Doctor?
23	DR. SHIH: I can answer that question if you
24	need. It's pages 19, page 162 to 163.

	Page 61
1	DR. VON FELDMANN: Radiology report.
2	MR. CUMINGS: Are you referring to the Renown
3	records, Dr. Shih? Are you referring to the number at
4	the bottom of the right page?
5	DR. SHIH: Yes. I believe Dr. Von Feldmann
6	was talking about the pathology report not radiology.
7	Pathology report is on Section 19, page 162 and 163.
8	MR. CUMINGS: That's Exhibit 17 as pages 161
9	and 162.
10	DR. SHIH: Yes, that is correct. Seventeen.
11	Yes.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: When you say
13	pathology report, I just want to make sure that I know
14	what you're referencing.
15	DR. VON FELDMANN: Okay. Let's see here.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: So if you go to
17	the bottom, there's numbers
18	DR. VON FELDMANN: Which page?
19	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: See these numbers
20	at the bottom? 162 through 163.
21	MR. CUMINGS: It says: NSBME in front of it.
22	DR. VON FELDMANN: That's page number
23	which page number?
24	MR. CUMINGS: 161 through 162.

Page 62 1 DR. VON FELDMANN: I don't have that on here. 2 I have page number five. 3 MR. CUMINGS: No. We're looking at these 4 So yours are out of order. ones. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Let me show you on Is this what you're talking about when you talk 6 mine. 7 about the pathology report? And for the record, that's Exhibit 17, page 162. And please don't write on it 8 9 because that's my copy. 10 DR. VON FELDMANN: Yeah, yeah, yeah. 11 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Just want to make 12 sure. 13 DR. VON FELDMANN: Hemicolectomy, histology section. Transmural defect with adjacent loculated 14 purulent material. It does not say anything about a 15 16 perforation. Histology showed area of mucosal necrosis. Marked acute and chronic. I don't know why that should 17 be chronic after one day. And the polyps which I treated 18 19 were benign. 20 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So is this the pathology report then? 21 22 DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes. 23 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: This document? 24 Okay. So for the record, that's Exhibit 17. That was

1	page 162. Page 63
2	DR. VON FELDMANN: It says nothing about a
3	perforation.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay.
5	DR. VON FELDMANN: So the perforation, the
6	microperforation in which the patient probably had was
7	closed, which it always does. And as I said before, it's
8	similar to diverticulitis on the left side of the colon
9	which is probably, in most cases, due to microperforation
10	of a diverticulum because the wall of the diverticulum is
11	very thin and it heals over, and these patients don't go
12	to surgery in most cases unless they have complications
13	from diverticulitis.
14	So let's see. And it's also important to
15	mention that this patient did not have fever or elevation
16	of white blood cell count prior to surgery. If there
17	would have been a major peritonitis, the patient probably
18	would have had fever and elevation of the white blood
19	cell count. I did not get a CT scan in the p.m. after
20	the colonoscopy because I was totally convinced that
21	there was no perforation; that there was just a
22	postcoagulation necrosis syndrome because the polypectomy
23	site and the cecum just looked too good. Unfortunately,
24	we could not get those color pictures. That would have

Page 64 1 been very helpful. 2 So if they would have called me during the night, they had all of my -- they had my cell phone 3 4 number. If the wife would have called me, I would have 5 told them to go to the emergency room, and I would have called and I would have seen the patient in the emergency 6 7 room, and I would have ordered a CT scan at that time 8 which would not have shown anything. 9 And there was not -- on the CT scan which they got at Mount Grant General Hospital in the morning, 10 11 there was not even a wall thickening or anything on the 12 outside of the cecum seen on CT scan. And normally, we 13 do not see anything in postcoagulation necrosis on CT That's why I did not order it earlier. And in my 14 book, this patient would not have stayed in the hospital 15 16 for nine days. He would have stayed in the hospital for 17 maybe three days and then would have been discharged. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Let's take a little break. I don't know if you're done with what 19 you're just testifying to, but we're going to take a 20 little break, we're going to come back, and I'll give you 21 22 a chance to add anything else that you'd like to add and then after that, Mr. Cumings will question you. 23 24 DR. VON FELDMANN: After that what?

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS - 08/17/2022

Page 65 1 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Mr. Cumings, after 2 that, Mr. Cumings is allowed to ask you questions. DR. VON FELDMANN: Good. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Let's take about 4 5 an -- It's 10:22. Let's come back at 10:30. 6 (Recess.) 7 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: We're back on the record in Case Number 22-31575-1, in the matter of the 8 9 charges and complaint against Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D., Respondent. 10 11 When we took a break, Dr. Von Feldmann was in 12 the midst of presenting his presentation. There was a I don't know if he's finished. I informed him 13 that we would take a break and he could recommence that 14 if he chose to do so. 15 16 Dr. Von Feldmann, is there anything you want 17 to add to what you've already testified to? And if so, I remind you that you remain under oath. 18 19 DR. VON FELDMANN: I would say that I have performed at least 40,000 colonoscopies and I have spent 20 most of my career in endoscopy and therapeutic endoscopy. 21 22 Therapeutic endoscopy means all kinds of therapy we do through the scope. So I think that I have plenty of 23 24 experience to judge this kind of situation.

1	Page 66 And I would say two ways to approach this
2	somewhat unusual and complicated case. There are two
3	ways to approach this. What one would be to think inside
4	of the box or outside of the box. So I would say that I
5	thought somewhat outside of the box, but thinking inside
6	of the box would be somebody comes to the emergency room
7	after colonoscopy with pain and air or gas shows up on CT
8	scan, the reflex is surgery. That's why a surgeon did
9	not consider any further workup before.
10	And I don't know whether the wife of the
11	patient gave my note to the surgeon which says
12	gastrografin enema. That's what they should have done.
13	I think that's all I have to say. I would say again that
14	I would do exactly the same as I did and wanted to do if
15	they would have let me.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Thank you, Dr. Von
17	Feldmann. Now Mr. Cumings is going to ask you some
18	questions.
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. CUMINGS:
22	Q I'll try to be brief, Dr. Von Feldmann. What
23	did you rely on to determine that there was a microtear
24	instead of a full perforation?

1	Page 67 A Full perforation means that the surgeon
2	described it in the pathology report. And the way the
3	polypectomy site looked, I had no reason to do that.
4	Q Do you recall Dr. Shih testifying in regards
5	to your response to the Board that the patient's wife
6	called you that night when analgesics were prescribed?
7	A Say that again.
8	Q Do you recall Dr. Shih, he testified that in
9	reading your allegation letter, that you had stated that
10	the patient's wife had called you that night after the
11	colonoscopy?
12	A Sometime. Sometime in the p.m. I don't know
13	when that was, but I certainly was not in the hospital
14	anymore.
15	Q At that point, why didn't you refer the
16	patient to the ER?
17	A Because I thought that the patient had
18	postcoagulation necrosis syndrome and the pain after that
19	lasts for a while, can last for several days. That's why
20	I told the patient not to eat anything but clear liquids,
21	clear liquids before next morning unless the pain
22	continued.
23	Q Did you consider colon perforation in your
24	differential diagnosis before you prescribed the

Page 68 analgesics for the patient? 1 2 For me, it was very clear that this patient had this postcoagulation necrosis syndrome and not a 3 4 perforation. 5 0 And my last question for you is: Do patients typically complain of severe pain with catscratch colon? 6 7 Α No. MR. CUMINGS: They don't? Okay. I think 8 9 that's all of the questions I have for Dr. Von Feldmann. HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Dr. Von Feldmann, 10 11 is there anything you would like to add? 12 DR. VON FELDMANN: No. 13 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So with that, I'm just going to ask you if you formally want to 14 close your side of the case and just submit it on what 15 16 you've told me. 17 DR. VON FELDMANN: Say that again. HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: So this is the 18 19 point where I ask you if you're done presenting your 20 case. 21 DR. VON FELDMANN: Well, I think I'm sure 22 that I've forgot something, but this is basically what I 23 have to say. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Thank you.

1	Page 69
	Are you going to have rebuttal?
2	MR. CUMINGS: Yes, I will have rebuttal.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So that
4	means that based on what you said, he is going to present
5	the final word on his case. He gets to do that because
6	he has the burden of proof. And then once we go through
7	that, you will have an opportunity to make a closing
8	statement and then the matter will be submitted to me to
9	make a decision. So with that, he's going to present his
10	rebuttal case.
11	MR. CUMINGS: I would like to call Dr. Shih
12	as a rebuttal witness.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay.
14	
15	REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. CUMINGS:
17	Q Dr. Shih, how are you doing, sir?
18	A I'm good.
19	Q Good. Thank you for bearing through with us
20	here. I want to turn your attention first to the
21	pathology report that was discussed that was page 162 and
22	163. The date the pathology report was reported was
23	6-27. What was the final diagnosis?
24	A The final diagnosis showed there is polyps,

Page 70

- 1 diverticulosis, and on the specimen, there is transmural
- 2 defect one centimeter from the IC valve. Transmural
- 3 defect to me, it's a tear perforation of the colon that
- 4 occurred one centimeter away from the IC valve.
- 5 Additionally, there is also an abscess next to the tear
- 6 of the transmural defect that is .5 by .5 by .5
- 7 centimeter. That tells me that the tear caused the
- 8 abscess which is an infection.
- 9 Q Is it typically the job of the pathologist or
- 10 the surgeon to report whether there is a tear?
- 11 A I'm not a pathologist nor a surgeon, but I
- 12 think it's typical in my experience.
- 13 Q That the surgeon would document whether
- 14 there's a tear in the colon?
- 15 A The surgeon as in this case would document
- 16 that there is a tear, yes, as well and the pathologist
- 17 would document whether it's transmural or not. And
- 18 transmural, what that means is the defect, whatever it
- is, has gone through the whole bowel wall of the colon.
- 20 That's what transmural means.
- 21 Q Does that need to be explicitly documented as
- in the O.R. report from the surgeon as Dr. Von Feldmann
- 23 has suggested?
- 24 A Not necessarily.

1	Q	Page 71 Are you familiar with the term catscratch
2	colon?	
3	А	It's an old term that I don't teach my
4	fellows.	
5	Q	But you're aware of it?
6	А	I'm sorry?
7	Q	But you're aware of it? You've heard of that
8	term before	∍ ?
9	А	It's a very old term that I'm aware of, but
10	we don't us	se it anymore.
11	Q	What
12	А	Or I don't use it. I don't use that term.
13	Q	Is there a new term that's utilized instead?
14	А	To reflect catscratch colon. I mean, number
15	one, I do r	not know what exactly that is. I refer to it
16	objectively	y as a post polypectomy site biopsy site. I
17	just I don	t use it because I do not know what it means.
18	Q	Are you familiar with barotrauma?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	How does barotrauma occur?
21	А	By putting excessive gas.
22	Q	Can barotrauma cause the sort of pain that
23	was reporte	ed to be experienced in this case?
24	А	It can cause pain, but not to the degree that

1	Page 72 patient says: Ten out of ten.
2	Q Can barotrauma cause a nine-centimeter tear
3	in a colon?
4	A If you put enough air, it can perforate.
5	Q But you would have to?
6	A If you do not stop and keep on putting air
7	in, it can perforate and cause a nine-centimeter tear.
8	Q But he would have to be the one to put air
9	into the patient at that point; correct?
10	A Yes, using the colonoscope to keep on putting
11	air after air after air. It is not something that is
12	done. It should not be done.
13	Q In regards to whether the
14	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Just to clarify,
15	if I may, you're not alleging that that's what defendant
16	did in this case, are you?
17	Q (BY MR. CUMINGS:) No, no.
18	So what was the most likely source of the
19	free air in the patient's right upper quadrant?
20	A The most likely source is due to either the
21	endoscopic mucosal resection or the hot biopsy forceps.
22	Q Dr. Von Feldmann noted that there was no
23	fecal contamination that the surgeon found. Would you
24	expect a large amount of fecal contamination in a patient

Page 73

- 1 that just had a colonoscopy and a large amount of
- 2 preparation for that colonoscopy?
- 3 A No, because the patient has not eaten for
- 4 approximately three days. The bowel content has been
- 5 washed out by the bowel prep. And as Dr. Von Feldmann
- 6 wrote in his colonoscopy report, the bowel prep was
- 7 adequate meaning there is no residual feces. So if the
- 8 patient only ate two pieces of crackers, there would not
- 9 be any bowel content and there wouldn't be any feces to
- 10 extravasate.
- 11 Q And you said it had been three days since the
- 12 patient had likely eaten for good preparation?
- 13 A So when the patient showed up at Renown, it
- 14 is documented by the history and physical that it has
- 15 been three days since the patient last ate a full meal.
- 16 And from the testimony this morning, it appears to me
- 17 that the patient has drank water and maybe couple of
- 18 pieces of cracker from the time that he did the bowel
- 19 prep, which is one day before, I would assume that's June
- 20 19th, to when he presented to Renown was June 21st. 19,
- 21 20, 21. That's three days.
- MR. CUMINGS: I think that's all of the
- 23 questions that I have for Dr. Shih.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Dr. Von Feldmann,

1	Page 74 did you have any follow-up questions for Dr. Shih?
2	
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	
5	DR. VON FELDMANN: I didn't understand
6	everything he said, but I would like to know what tear he
7	was alluding to.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Maybe you could be
9	more specific about the context. Do you mean the tear
10	that's alleged to have led to the surgery?
11	DR. VON FELDMANN: Yes, or to the pathology
12	report.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Dr. Shih, do you
14	understand the question?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I completely understand.
16	I believe there is a tear in this patient for several
17	reasons. Number one, there is free air. Large amount of
18	free air. Number two: The surgeon wrote there is a
19	nine-centimeter tear in the serosal side. Number three:
20	The pathologist report on 17, page 162 to 163 under
21	gross, says there's a transmural tear with necrotic
22	tissue. And in the histology, there's a transmural
23	defect, both of which are in the area where Dr. Von
24	Feldmann performed the surgery.

1	Page 75 So the tear occurred because there is a free
2	air. The surgeon noted that air and said 9 centimeter
3	tear on the serosal side, and the pathologist wrote there
4	is a transmural defect, all of which are consistent that
5	there is a tear.
6	DR. VON FELDMANN: The pathology did not
7	describe a transmural necrosis where the surgeon
8	described the nine-centimeter tear. The surgeon did not
9	even describe how deep that tear was.
10	THE WITNESS: It's on the serosal side. And
11	I'll read what the pathologist actually wrote.
12	The cecum has focal area of necrotic mucosa
13	with a transmural defect identified one centimeter from
14	the ileocecal valve with an adjacent 0.5 times 0.5 times
15	0.5 centimeter abscess cavity.
16	A transmural defect is a tear, and what I
17	read is on page 163. And that's under the gross
18	description. That's what the pathologist saw grossly.
19	And on the previous page, which is 162, the
20	histology says: Area of mucosal necrosis. Mucosal
21	necrosis means tissues that are dying or dead, and that
22	is in the area that they he saw the tear grossly. And
23	under the right final diagnosis right colon, it says, on
24	the second line under that, it says transmural defect.

1	Page 76 Again, there is a defect that's transmural. Transmural
2	means through across a whole depth of the wall. That is
3	a tear.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Any other
5	follow-up questions, Dr. Von Feldmann?
6	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) Postcoagulation
7	necrosis always means transmural burn. That means a
8	defect which is all the way through the wall of the colon
9	to the serosa, and that causes a local peritonitis. So I
10	would like to ask Dr. Shih whether he thinks that the
11	tear described by the surgeon was of significance.
12	A Yes, it is. And you're correct that
13	postcoagulation syndrome means inflammation that's
14	transmural but with an exception of no free air. Once
15	you have free air, it is a perforation. That is the
16	definition. That is a distinction between a perforation
17	and a post polypectomy coagulation syndrome. I cannot be
18	any more clear than that.
19	Q So but my question was: Do you think that
20	the tear described on the outside of the ascending colon
21	described by the surgeon was of significance?
22	A Yes.
23	Q In what sense?
24	A The surgeon goes in, he sees the outside of

	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS - 00/17/2022
1	Page 77 the colon. The outside of the colon is represented by
2	the serosa. He saw a nine-centimeter tear on the
3	outside. That's what he saw. And therefore, it's
4	significant. He reports what he saw which is a tear. A
5	tear is a perforation on the outside. And on the inside,
6	there is a transmural defect by the pathologist. So
7	inside, there's a transmural defect; outside there's a
8	tear. And what connect it's so obvious.
9	Q Now why did the surgeon not describe how deep
10	that tear was?
11	A It's not the surgeon's job to describe the
12	tear because he operates, he sees things on the outside.
13	He does not look inside until he takes out the specimen.
14	And on the outside, there is a nine-centimeter tear that
15	the patient that the surgeon saw.
16	Q So you believe that the nine-centimeter tear
17	was a perforation?
18	A Yes, in this situation where there is a free
19	large amount of free air as well as a transmural
20	defect described by the path ologist. You have to take
21	everything in the appropriate context. In this clinical
22	context, it is the logical conclusion that there is a
23	tear. The reason that nobody did a gastrografin enema is

that is not indicated. Nobody would do this. This ER

24

1	Page 78 called the surgeon right away. The surgeon even in his
2	notes says: No additional imaging is necessary. There's
3	a tear.
4	Q A nine-centimeter tear in the ascending colon
5	in an area where I didn't work with any instrument can be
6	very superficial due to pressure inside of the colon, and
7	it's very unusual that we would be aware, become aware of
8	a tear on the serosa side of the colon because we usually
9	don't look there. I believe that the tear described by
10	the surgeon was very superficial like the tears on the
11	inside of the colon which we call catscratch colon.
12	These are linear.
13	MR. CUMINGS: I object. There's no question
14	there.
15	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Let him finish.
16	MR. CUMINGS: Okay.
17	DR. VON FELDMANN: These are longitudinal
18	tears just like it was described on the outside of the
19	colon, and they have to do with pressure on the inside of
20	the colon.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Are you asking Dr.
22	Shih if he agrees with that or not? Because you have to
23	ask a question.
24	DR. VON FELDMANN: No, I just wanted to

Page 79 1 explain. 2 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So the reason -- the basis for the objection is that you don't 3 4 get to explain. You only get to ask questions. So if 5 you want to explain something, you could do it in your closing argument. So I let you finish because I didn't 6 7 know if you were going to present that and then ask Dr. Shih if he agreed with that or not. 8 9 DR. VON FELDMANN: I wanted to say that the catscratch colon has nothing to do with taking biopsies 10 11 or removing polyps. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. 13 DR. VON FELDMANN: And was just due to 14 barotrauma. 15 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So you can 16 say that in closing, but if you want to present that now, 17 then the proper way is to ask if Dr. Shih agrees with that or not. 18 19 (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) Do you agree with that? Catscratch is barotrauma and consists of 20 longitudinal sometimes quite long erosions on the inside 21 22 of the colon usually in the ascending colon that can be 23 nine centimeters long? 24 Α My reply is that I do not know what the

Page 80 catscratch is because I do not use this term. 1 But in 2 this situation, if there's a tear on the serosal side on the outside and there's free air, then there's a 3 4 perforation. That I completely stand by. There is a 5 perforation documented by the CT scan of free air documented by the surgeon saying there's a tear on the 6 7 serosal side which is the outside and by the 8 pathologist's stating there's a transmural defect. 9 Whatever superficial thing that occurred on the inside of the colon of the luminal side would not 10 11 cause a nine-centimeter tear on the serosal side. 12 does not happen. 13 Don't you think that the perforation which is 0 nine centimeters long would have allowed the contents on 14 the inside of the colon to escape through such a long 15 16 tear? 17 Α I believe your question was addressed The patient has not eaten anything other than 18 two small crackers. There's no input into the bowel. 19 Therefore, there's nothing to extravasate. 20 21 Additionally, your colonoscopy report says 22 that the bowel prep was adequate. Not much feces. 23 Therefore, there's nothing to extravasate. Therefore, 24 there's nothing to -- you cannot expel something if it's

```
Page 81
 1
     not there. That's just as simple as I can explain it.
 2
                 The pathology report describes there's plenty
            0
     of fecal matter on the right side of the colon plus air.
 3
                 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Do you agree with
 4
 5
     that, Dr. Shih?
                 THE WITNESS: I mean, Dr. Von Feldmann, I
 6
 7
     mean the pathologist stated that. And by saying that,
     you agree there is a perforation that the pathologist
 8
 9
     says there's a perforation. Otherwise, why would feces
     be spilled everywhere in the abdomen?
10
11
                 So earlier, you asked me why the surgeon
12
     didn't see the feces because there's nothing to
13
     extravasate. But if the pathologist saw under the
     microscope that there's feces, then I really think you're
14
     doing yourself a disservice by pointing that out
15
16
     indicating there is a perforation with leakage
17
     extravasation of the feces outside.
                 What the pathologist said was the feces on
18
19
     the outside again indicates there's a tear. Otherwise,
     it wouldn't be feces on the outside. I completely agree
20
     with your statement that the pathologist said there's
21
22
     feces on the outside, and that indicates a tear.
                 Does the pathologist anywhere mention the
23
            0
24
     tear in the ascending colon on the microscopic
```

Page 82 1 description or histology that there was any transmural 2 defect in the area --3 Α Yes. 4 -- of the nine-centimeter tear? He doesn't 5 even describe the tear. If you're finished, the pathologist did 6 Α 7 document grossly there is a transmural defect one centimeter away from the IC valve. That's the ascending 8 9 colon. Microscopically under histology, there's also a transmural defect in that area. Transmural defect means 10 11 a tear in the appropriate clinical setting such as this 12 one. 13 One centimeter from the ileocecal valve also 0 14 could mean polypectomy site in the cecum. And he only describes a transmural defect in the area of the 15 polypectomies and not anywhere else in the ascending 16 17 colon and certainly not for the length of nine centimeter. 18 I believe that the tear on the outside of the 19 20 colon which was described only by the surgeon was due to barotrauma, and it was just the same as in catscratch 21 22 colons on the inside of the ascending colon. These tears are superficial and there was no evidence of transmural 23 24 and the pathologist --

1	Page 83 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. I don't
2	mean to interrupt, but remember you have to ask a
3	question. You can't testify at this point. So you can
4	present a hypothetical and ask Dr. Shih if he agrees. So
5	if that's what you believe happened, you need to say
6	hypothetically, if this happened, would you agree with
7	the hypothetical result that would apply to these facts?
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: So based on what
10	you said, Dr. Shih, you understand what he just
11	expressed?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: And do you agree
14	with what he's expressed so far?
15	THE WITNESS: I do not.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So he
17	doesn't agree with you. So you can ask him why?
18	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) So my question to you
19	is: On the pathology report, is it not mentioned that
20	there was plenty of fecal matter in the cecum and on the
21	right side of the colon.
22	MR. CUMINGS: I'd like to lodge another
23	objection.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	Page 84 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Go ahead.
2	MR. CUMINGS: This has already been asked at
3	least three times before. It's already been answered by
4	Dr. Shih at least three times before. It's repetitive at
5	this point.
6	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) But I have to ask him
7	again if there's plenty of fecal matter in the pathology
8	specimen, so why did that not leave the colon through a
9	nine-centimeter perforation?
10	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Maybe I can
11	clarify for purposes of the record for my own benefit so
12	that maybe we can move past this and I can understand
13	what Dr. Von Feldmann is trying to get at.
14	I hear Dr. Shih saying there was nothing to
15	pass through because he was cleared for the colonoscopy.
16	The fact that there was fecal matter on the outside of
17	where the perforation was shows that whatever fecal
18	matter remained did pass through.
19	What I hear you saying is that there was
20	sufficient fecal matter that it would have leaked through
21	more than just showing maybe a minute amount on the
22	outside of the perforation. I don't know that you guys
23	are in agreement about the amount of fecal matter it
24	would have taken to show up on the pathology report if

Page 85 1 that was a slight amount. I'm understanding that you're saying is that 2 you believe that to be interpreted that there was a large 3 4 amount, and if there was a large amount, it would have 5 leaked through and not been a small amount outside of the perforation. 6 7 Did I state that correctly, Dr. Shih, from your perspective? 8 9 THE WITNESS: I think any feces on the outside in the abdominal wall, it's a perforation 10 11 whether it's a small amount or a large amount. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: And did I state 13 that correctly from your perspective --DR. VON FELDMANN: 14 No. HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: -- that there 15 16 should have been more? 17 DR. VON FELDMANN: No. The surgeon described that there was no spillage, so the fecal matter was 18 inside of the colon. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. But I think 20 this has been asked and answered. And with that 21 22 clarification, I would ask that we move on unless there's 23 another question separate from that one that you have in 24 that regard.

1	Page 86 Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) No. Do you agree
2	that the pathologist described the transmural defect only
3	in the areas of the polypectomies? Or did he describe a
4	transmural defect in the ascending colon distal to the
5	polypectomy site where the surgeon described the long
6	tear? Did the pathologist describe any tear there?
7	MR. CUMINGS: That's compound.
8	THE WITNESS: I believe I answered that
9	already. The pathologist described a transmural defect.
10	To me, that means a tear.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Were you asking
12	about the location of the tear in comparison to where you
13	undertook your procedures?
14	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) Did the pathologist
15	describe a transmural defect in any other area of the
16	colon other than where the polypectomies were performed?
17	A So the surgical specimen is a right
18	hemicolectomy meaning that the cecum and the ascending
19	colon is removed. The transmural defect is in that area.
20	MR. CUMINGS: I'd like to
21	THE WITNESS: And that is the area where you
22	performed endoscopic mucosal resection and hot biopsy.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Did you have an
24	objection or something you wanted to say?

	Page 87
1	MR. CUMINGS: Not at this time. No.
2	Q (BY DR. VON FELDMANN:) So you believe that
3	the tear was significant?
4	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: He's asked and
5	answered that several times.
6	DR. VON FELDMANN: But I want him to state it
7	again.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Well, we don't do
9	that because well, we just don't allow that. We don't
10	have the same questions to be asked over and over.
11	DR. VON FELDMANN: Okay.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: It's for
13	procedural reasons so that we don't drag things out. Do
14	you have any other questions for Dr. Shih that you
15	haven't already asked?
16	DR. VON FELDMANN: No, I don't think so.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Reply?
18	
19	FURTHER EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. CUMINGS:
21	Q Dr. Shih, is there any amount of fecal matter
22	located outside the serosa that would normally be present
23	absent a perforation in any normal circumstance?
24	A No. If there's feces on the outside, then

	Page 88
1	there's a perforation.
2	Q What occurs if there's feces in that area?
3	A Then that means there's a perforation.
4	Q Would there be signs of an infection at that
5	point?
6	A Yes, there will be signs of infection, and as
7	in this case, there's a .5 centimeter abscess. This is
8	an infection.
9	Q So a highly abnormal situation, you would
10	agree?
11	A Not highly. It is abnormal.
12	Q And for there to be in that area as described
13	by the pathologist what is it, one centimeter from the
14	ileocecal valve? That's where Dr. Von Feldmann was
15	operating?
16	A That's the best that I can piece together.
17	He removed polyp in the cecum and the ascending colon.
18	That piece is taken out by the surgeon and the defect is
19	in that piece.
20	Q And the amount of fecal matter observed by
21	the pathologist was done so likely under a microscope?
22	A I'm not a pathologist, but I would assume
23	that's what they do.
24	MR. CUMINGS: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Shih. I

1	Page 89 have no further questions.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Is that the end of
3	your rebuttal case?
4	MR. CUMINGS: Yes.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So that is
6	the close.
7	THE WITNESS: May I be excused? Sorry. May
8	I be excused?
9	MR. CUMINGS: Yes.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Yes. You're being
11	excused by Mr. Cumings. Thank you for coming today, Dr.
12	Shih. I'm sure it's appreciated.
13	MR. CUMINGS: Thank you very much, Dr. Shih.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: I just leave the
16	notebook here, okay?
17	MR. CUMINGS: That's fine, Dr. Shih. Thank
18	you.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. So if you'd
20	like, we can take a break. Otherwise, we're going to
21	move on to closing arguments.
22	DR. VON FELDMANN: Move on.
23	MR. CUMINGS: I'm ready to deliver closing
24	arguments.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS - 08/17/2022

1	Page 90 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: All right. And I
2	just want to remind you both that I'm a lawyer not a
3	doctor, so let's make this pretty basic for me. Okay?
4	MR. CUMINGS: Do my best.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: All right. Thank
6	you.
7	DR. VON FELDMANN: If you have any questions
8	for me to explain to you, I will be glad to do that.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Well, I
10	don't have any questions at this time. I just want to
11	make sure that when you're doing your closing, you close
12	as though you're talking to someone who is not a doctor
13	so that I make sure that I follow what you're saying.
14	I've got a good handle on it, I think, but just I want to
15	make sure I have a great handle on it.
16	Go ahead, Mr. Cumings.
17	MR. CUMINGS: On behalf of the Investigative
18	Committee, we'd like to thank you, Officer Halstead, for
19	your time and you as well Madame Court Reporter and Dr.
20	Shih, and I'd also like to thank you, Von Feldmann, for
21	coming today and defending your case.
22	As I mentioned in my opening statement, we
23	are here today to present evidence so that the Board can
24	determine whether Dr. Von Feldmann violated the Medical

Page 91 Practice Act. 1 2 You heard testimony from Dr. Shih, the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners' expert in this case, 3 4 about the care provided by Dr. Von Feldmann. Dr. Von 5 Feldmann failed to consider the possibility of a colon perforation following Patient A's complaint of pain. 6 7 Additionally, you heard testimony from Dr. Von Feldmann that he failed to obtain immediate imaging following 8 Patient A's complaint of pain which occurred the night of 9 June 20th, 2018, by way of the patient's wife. 10 11 There was a documented -- a nine-centimeter 12 documented serosal tear by multiple physicians including 13 the reading radiologist that read the CT report from Mount Grant, Dr. Ventura, the ER physician, the operating 14 physician and the pathologist. 15 16 Dr. Von Feldmann failed to recognize that 17 free air in the patient's abdomen was likely due to colon perforation which required an immediate intervention. 18 Specifically, with regards to Count 1, Dr. Von Feldmann 19 admitted that he did not refer the patient to a surgical 20 consult or obtain imaging following the patient's 21 22 complaints of pain. 23 In sum, the testimony presented today is 24 established by a preponderance of the evidence that

Page 92 Dietrich Von Feldmann has committed malpractice as 1 defined in NAC 630.040 which is a failure to use the 2 3 reasonable care --4 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Slow down for the 5 court reporter. I'm sorry. Which is a failure 6 MR. CUMINGS: 7 to use reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily 8 used under similar circumstances as alleged in Count 1 by the Nevada Medical Board. The exhibits admitted here 9 today along with the testimony given at this hearing 10 11 support the allegation of malpractice. 12 On behalf of the Investigative Committee, we 13 ask the Board and the Hearing Officer to consider the record that was presented here today and render the 14 appropriate findings and discipline. Thank you very 15 16 much. 17 HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Your turn, Dr. Von Feldmann, to go ahead and it's called a closing argument, 18 19 so you get to wrap up the testimony from your perspective and why you think that I should rule in your favor. 20 21 DR. VON FELDMANN: First of all, I do not 22 believe that I committed malpractice. Then I would like 23 to come back to this tear which was only described by the 24 surgeon and by no nobody else. It was not seen on CT

Page 93 Dr. Ventura didn't see it. The pathologist didn't 1 scan. 2 describe it. I believe that this tear was totally 3 4 insignificant and it was not a perforation and that was 5 not the area where the air escaped. The air escaped through the areas of postcoagulation necrosis syndrome, 6 7 and in the area of -- in these areas, the pathologist did not describe any perforation. I believe that the air 8 escaped from the inside of the colon through 9 microperforation. 10 11 And as I stated before, microperforation can 12 be very small perforations. And the air, when there is 13 enough pressure, can escape through these microperforations during the procedure and then probably 14 close very soon after the procedure, but the air stays in 15 16 the abdominal cavity because the air is not absorbed that 17 fast like CO2 is. But as I said, we didn't have CO2 at Mount 18 19 Grant General Hospital at that time to use for 20 colonoscopy. We always use it nowadays everywhere. as I also stated before, the patient would have had more 21 22 pain because the CO2 is very, very irritating to the peritoneum. So I did not understand Dr. Shih, what he 23 was talking about the tears. There was no tear anywhere. 24

Page 94 There was just a microperforation. Otherwise, the 1 2 pathology report would have described it. The pathology report didn't even describe the tear which the surgeon 3 4 So if that would have been a perforation in the 5 area of the long tear, there would have been inflammatory changes in the area which was not described by the 6 7 pathologist. 8 And if somebody has a tear of nine 9 centimeters perforation of nine centimeters, this is a giant perforation. And I've never heard of a giant 10 11 perforation like that after a colonoscopy. This is just 12 due to barotrauma that could occur quite often. We don't 13 know because we don't look at the outside of the colon during the colonoscopy. It's only seen at the time of 14 surgery or on pathology specimen. 15 16 So the postcoaqulation necrosis syndrome 17 always means that there is a transmural necrosis from the mucosa which is the inside of the colon respectively from 18 the base of the polypectomy to the outside of the colon 19 which is called visceral peritoneum of serosa. 20 21 So in my book, the air leaked through the 22 postcoagulation necrosis where the patient had, in my 23 book, microperforations which closed within a very short 24 time after the procedure due to blood or other fluids or

Page 95 white blood cells. That's why I believe that the 1 2 gastrografin enema would not have shown any extravasation of the contrast material. That's why I believe that the 3 4 pain the patient complained of at probably intermittently 5 was due to the air collected in the right side in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen which also caused the 6 7 pain in the patient's shoulder. And the pain which the patient apparently 8 9 also pointed out at one time at least, according to the records, that he had pain in the right lower quadrant of 10 11 the abdomen. And that was certainly due to the 12 postcoagulation necrosis syndrome. Postcoagulation 13 necrosis syndrome causes the same symptoms as a perforation. 14 If I would have had any more suspicion of a 15 16 perforation, I would have ordered a CT scan or if I would 17 have seen anything through the scope which suggested that there could have been a perforation, we would have closed 18 the polypectomy site with clips. 19 20 I believe you could think inside of the box 21 which surgeons usually do. That means pain after a 22 procedure and free air, surgery. That's the way it goes. 23 That's why I didn't want the patient to go to a surgeon right away without additional workup or you can think 24

1	Page 96 outside of the box which you have to do in the case like
2	this and not send the patient to surgery.
3	In my book, this patient would not have gone
4	to surgery. This patient would not have stayed in the
5	hospital for nine days. The patient, like the other
6	patient which I described before, went home
7	asymptomatically after three days with free air in the
8	abdomen and pain, so it was a similar situation.
9	Reading through Dr. Shih's curriculum vitae,
10	which is enormous, I don't find I don't see how he
11	finds the time to do 12,000 colonoscopies all by himself.
12	There is not a single time in his extensive abstracts or
13	book chapters or whatever, there is not a single mention
14	of colonoscopy, polypectomy, postcoagulation necrosis
15	syndrome, free air in the diagram or in the abdominal
16	cavity or paracentesis for free air in the abdominal
17	cavity to relieve the patient's pain. I believe that the
18	patient's pain was due to the air mainly. That's why he
19	had pain in the shoulder because the air was in the area
20	of the right upper quadrant. It's like having a
21	gallbladder attack very often leads to pain in the
22	shoulder.
23	The abscess which was described by the
24	pathologist was very small. The abscess I don't know

Page 97 what he meant by an abscess. It could be just an 1 accumulation of white blood cells which would be an 2 3 abscess. And we know that anybody who has 4 postcoagulation necrosis has inflammation of the wall of 5 the colon and also of the serosal side of the colon which is called localized peritonitis. So that's very similar 6 7 to having for somebody who has diverticulosis, which is in the western world, usually on the left side. If that 8 person develops diverticulitis, which is in my book 9 fairly rare, this is caused -- by the literature also --10 11 by microperforation of the diverticulum because the 12 diverticulum has a very thin wall which does not have what the rest of the intestines has muscle. It has only 13 the inside of the colon which is called mucosa and the 14 submucosa which is in between the musculature of the 15 16 colon wall and the mucosa. So and that's missing in the 17 diverticulum, and that makes the wall very thin. And the wall of the cecum is in anybody the thinnest part of the 18 colon. And particularly, it's one to three millimeters 19 when it's not distended by air. And when you do 20 polypectomy, then it's even thinner because we remove the 21 22 mucosa and the submucosa. 23 And in very many cases of EMR, which stands for endoscopic mucosal resection, we burn -- we have to 24

1	Page 98 burn into the muscularis of the colon, and sometimes it
2	goes all the way through the serosa. I've seen quite a
3	few of those, and they were all treated conservatively.
4	And I've seen plenty of patients with
5	catscratch colon. Catscratch colon is just due to
6	barotrauma, and it consists of linear erosions in the
7	ascending colon. And if it occurs on the inside of the
8	colon, it also can occur on the outside of the colon
9	without any consequences.
10	And I think that that tear of nine centimeter
11	which the surgeon described was totally insignificant and
12	not a perforation. And I believe that through a
13	nine-centimeter perforation, there would be plenty of
14	spillage into the peritoneal cavity which was not
15	described by the surgeon. And there was plenty of liquid
16	stuff inside of the colon in the area where the patient
17	had the microperforation.
18	Microperforation means very small and nothing
19	can get through there. That's why I wanted to have a
20	gastrografin enema. The gastrografin would not have been
21	showing any spillage of the contrast into the peritoneal
22	cavity. That would have spoken against significant
23	perforation, but air can leak through the tiniest holes
24	which closes immediately.

1	Page 99 So I don't think that Dr. Shih is an expert
2	in therapeutic colonoscopy because he has his interest
3	is not in colonoscopies. His interests is in
4	inflammatory bowel disease like Crohn's disease or
5	ulcerative colitis and in immunology and genetics.
6	That's what all his references are about.
7	If he was an expert in therapeutic
8	colonoscopy, he would have written about that. And I
9	don't even understand how he can find the time for 12,000
10	colonoscopies with all of this research work he did in
11	the lab, etcetera. He must be extremely busy with
12	getting all of these references together.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Anything else
14	you'd like to add?
15	DR. VON FELDMANN: No, I don't. There's
16	probably something I could add, but I don't know of
17	anything right now.
18	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Thank you.
19	DR. VON FELDMANN: I just want to reiterate
20	that I would have done everything the same way if I would
21	have a case like that again.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Thank you
23	so much for explaining that to me very clearly and
24	articulately. I appreciate that.

1	Page 100 Did you have any reply argument you wanted to
2	present?
3	MR. CUMINGS: I think that it was addressed
4	several times that there was fecal material outside of
5	where the alleged tear was. Dr. Von Feldmann said as
6	much. I think Dr. Shih spoke on that very extensively
7	that if there's fecal material there, there's a tear.
8	Regardless of that, the crux of the case
9	should be whether a CT should have been ordered that
10	night, and a CT wasn't ordered when the patient
11	complained of new and worsening pain, and that should
12	have been at the very minimum that was done, so I believe
13	that's the conclusion of everything I had to present.
14	Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Thank you both.
16	DR. VON FELDMANN: So can I add something?
17	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Normally, you
18	wouldn't, but I will give you the chance to do so
19	briefly.
20	DR. VON FELDMANN: There was, according to
21	the surgeon, no spillage.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Yes, I recall you
23	saying that. Okay. Thank you, everyone.
24	DR. VON FELDMANN: To go over something else,
1	

1	Page 101 I want to say why I did not order a CT scan when the wife
2	called me because I was convinced in my vast experience
3	that there was no perforation, that there was just
4	postcoagulation necrosis syndrome that would not have
5	shown up on CT scan.
6	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Thank you
7	both. I appreciate everything that's been presented
8	today. I'm going to take this under submission, and the
9	court reporter is going to give me a copy of the
10	transcript from today, and then I have 60 days to draft a
11	recommendation to the
12	DR. VON FELDMANN: How many days?
13	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Sixty days. I
14	know it's a long time to wait. I will do it sooner if
15	possible. I usually don't get to do it sooner because
16	I'm busy, but I know that everyone waits for these
17	things.
18	DR. VON FELDMANN: Would it help you if I
19	would try to print out some references from the
20	literature? I couldn't do that.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: No, we don't do
22	that anymore. Right now, the case has been presented and
23	all I can consider is what we talked about here today.
24	DR. VON FELDMANN: I couldn't print out

1	Page 102 anything because a few months ago, I closed my office and
2	I don't have the equipment at home.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Right. I
4	understand, but thank you for your time today, and we
5	certainly appreciate you being here and the explanations
6	you've provided.
7	Anything else before we go off the record?
8	MR. CUMINGS: (Indicating.)
9	HEARING OFFICER HALSTEAD: Okay. Then I will
10	not talk to you about the merits of this matter any
11	further from here, and I appreciate everyone's time
12	today.
13	MR. CUMINGS: Thank you very much for showing
14	up today, Dr. Von Feldmann.
15	(The hearing concluded at 11:37 a.m.)
16	-000-
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

```
Page 103
 1
     STATE OF NEVADA
     COUNTY OF WASHOE )
 2
 3
 4
              I, Nicole J. Hansen, Certified Court Reporter,
 5
     State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
 6
              That prior to being examined, the witness in the
     foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to testify to
 7
     the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
 9
              That said proceedings were taken before me at
     the time and places therein set forth and were taken down
10
     by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
11
12
     typewriting under my direction and supervision;
13
              I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
14
     nor related to, any party to said proceedings, not in
15
     anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
16
               In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed
17
     my name.
18
19
     Dated:
            August 19, 2022
2.0
       licole I. Hansen
21
22
     Nicole J. Hansen
23
     NV. CSR No. 446, RPR, CRR, RMR
24
     CA. CSR 13,909
```

Page 104 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE 1 Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the 3 protection and security of patient health information. Notice is herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access, maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/ 10 11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing 12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws. 13 No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties' 15 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will 16 17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates, 18 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and 19 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and 20 21 applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is 22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of 23 transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws. 25 © All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)

Index: \$1,000..69

\$	131 30:10	19th 73:20	21 28:18	48 17:11,18
Ψ	31:8		73:21	
A 4 aaa a 4a		2		5
\$1,000 6:13	132 28:9 29:4 30:6		21st 20:10 73:20	
0	133 28:9	20 4:17 73:21	22-31575-1	5 70:6 88:7
	133 20.9	20 000 24:40	4:6 65:8	510 12:10
0.5 75:14,15	15 46:21	20,000 34:18 40:7,9		310 12.10
			24 25:14	524 19:17
1	161 61:8,24	200 12:24		
	162 60:24	2003 33:10	3	526 19:17
1 4:17 7:17,18	61:7,9,20,24	2000 00.10		59 15:5
91:19 92:8	62:8 63:1 69:21 74:20	2004 33:11,14	3 5:15	
10 3:4 5:15	75:19	2005 33:14	3.5 31:14 43:5	6
40.00.05.5	163 60:24	2000 00.11		
10:22 65:5	61:7,20 69:22	2006 7:21	33 3:5	6-27 69:23
10:30 65:5	74:20 75:17	2009 11:14	38 3:5	6.3 21:8
	17 4:1 26:22	12:16		0.0 21.0
11:37 102:15	58:16 61:8		4	6.5 54:21
12,000 34:22	62:8,24 74:20	2017 10:20 12:16		
35:4 39:3,4,	17th 7:21	12.10	40,000 65:20	60 101:10
14 40:5 96:11 99:9		2018 15:14,17		630.040 92:2
	18 11:15 33:8,	20:11 28:18 91:10	42 3:6	
12- 40:1	3	31.10	44 3:9	630.301-4
	18-181 13:18	2022 4:1 7:18		7:19
13 15:4 17:10, 17 22:2			47 17:10,17	66 3:11
	19 19:17 22:6	20th 15:14,17 18:17 19:15		30 0.11
13.8 21:10	60:16,24 61:7 73:20	91:10	47A 17:11,18, 23 22:3	69 3:6
			200 220 1110	

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: 70s..after

7	A	absent 87:23	active 7:20	62:14 75:14
70s 34:10	A's 91:6,9	absolutely 54:15	actually 75:11	adjudicating 4:7
74 3:11	a.m. 4:1 57:19,20 102:15	absorbed 52:8 93:16	acute 21:9 62:17	administered 24:16
8,000 34:21	abdomen 19:20 21:16	abstracts 96:12	add 12:6 64:22 65:17 68:11 99:14,	admission 4:18
35:2,3 39:16, 22 40:4	24:3 29:23 36:14 37:13 46:22 47:7	abundance 48:22	16 100:16 addition 6:13	admitted 5:16 11:17 46:15 91:20 92:9
80 18:24 21:23	48:1,8 49:4 81:10 91:17 95:6,11 96:8	according 18:20 23:9 24:2 26:5	additional 41:2,8,9,17	advance 57:20
80-year-old 7:24	abdominal 8:4 19:9,10 32:15 40:19	27:23 47:5 48:6 50:20 52:21 95:9	59:14,17 60:2 78:2 95:24	advice 45:12
87 3:6	59:2 60:6 85:10 93:16 96:15,16	100:20 accordingly	Additionally 21:4 70:5 80:21 91:7	afford 5:21
89 26:22 58:17	ABIM 34:2	8:11,16	address 5:10	after 18:16,24 20:12 21:19 25:14 26:17
8:30 4:1	able 6:19 33:20 46:12	accountable 6:20	7:4 8:15 9:3 20:4	27:21 31:19, 22 36:10 41:13 47:10
9	53:24 abnormal	accumulation 97:2	addressed 80:17 100:3	49:8,10,16,23 50:5 53:15,18 54:11 57:18
9 43:4,8 75:2	88:9,11	accurately 11:23	adequate 73:7 80:22	58:11,20 59:16,21,22 62:18 63:19
99 33:24 34:4	abscess 70:5, 8 75:15 88:7 96:23,24 97:1,3	across 76:2	adequately 24:21	64:23,24 65:1 66:7 67:10,18 72:11 93:15
	37.1,3	act 14:24 91:1	adjacent	94:11,24

Index: afternoon..apparently

				mapparency
95:21 96:7 afternoon	92:18 air 8:9 21:3,	96:11 98:2,3 99:6,10,12 101:23	53:18 59:11 65:17 84:2,3 86:9 87:15	anesthesia 49:19
47:16	14,16,17 22:9,12,13,	allegation	also 5:1 7:4	another 14:11 19:16 42:6
again 8:21 18:9 21:19 23:22 31:7	15,18,20 23:3 24:5 25:16 26:12,19	55:15 56:11 67:9 92:11	42:23 48:6 50:19 54:2 55:2,10 58:16	46:3 50:8 83:22 85:23
44:19 46:19 56:9 66:13 67:7 68:17 76:1 81:19	30:16 32:9,14 35:24 36:2,10 37:12,19 46:6,22 47:7 48:2,4,23	alleged 7:17 74:10 92:8 100:5	59:1,12,23 63:14 70:5 82:9,13 90:20 93:21 95:6,9 97:5,10 98:8	antibiotics 25:8 46:16 53:10
84:7 87:7 99:21	49:4,6,7,8 50:5 52:3,6 53:3 54:24	allegedly 57:23	although 21:6	anybody 5:4 97:3,18
against 4:5 45:12 55:17 65:9 98:22	60:8 66:7 72:4,6,8,11, 19 74:17,18 75:2 76:14,15	alleging 72:15	always 40:18 50:22 51:17 63:7 76:7	anymore 53:20 67:14 71:10 101:22
ago 102:1	77:19 80:3,5 81:3 91:17 93:5,8,12,15,	allow 34:12 42:9 87:9	93:20 94:17	anything 12:6 24:23 34:15
agree 39:8 79:19 81:4,8, 20 83:6,13,17	16 94:21 95:5,22 96:7, 15,16,18,19	allowed 22:15 65:2 80:14	American 11:2	45:14,17 51:8 54:20 57:1,19 62:15 64:8,
86:1 88:10	97:20 98:23	alluding 74:7	amount 8:9 21:3,14,16 22:8,12 25:16	11,13,22 65:16 67:20 68:11 80:18
agreed 79:8	all 4:18 5:2 6:14 8:21 10:16 12:12	almost 52:18 53:5	51:24 52:7 54:24 72:24 73:1 74:17	95:17 99:13, 17 102:1,7
84:23	20:2,20 31:20 33:16 34:1,4 38:16 43:3	alone 25:2	77:19 84:21, 23 85:1,4,5, 11 87:21	anywhere 10:21 51:1
agrees 78:22 79:17 83:4	44:18 45:9 49:17 59:5,13 60:2 64:3	along 52:24 92:10	88:20	81:23 82:16 93:24
ahead 7:12 10:6 23:19,21 42:15 44:16	65:22 66:13 68:9 73:22 75:4 76:8	already 6:13 11:17 27:23	analgesics 18:15 19:14 53:19 67:6	apologize 17:18
84:1 90:16	90:1,5 92:21	41:1,7,16	68:1	apparently

Index: appear..before

53:14 57:12 59:23 95:8	35:3,4 39:3 40:8,10 73:4	59:9 60:2 76:20 78:4	attorney 5:24 44:22	base 94:19
appear 11:20 19:19 27:4 28:14 30:15	APRN 57:16	79:22 81:24 82:8,16,22 86:4,18 88:17 98:7	August 4:1 7:21	based 6:20 13:20 18:22 25:2 69:4 83:9
appeared 18:3	area 48:5,23 49:4,9,13 50:21 52:3 54:15,18 62:16 74:23	associate 12:15	author 28:22 available 52:5	basic 33:3 90:3
appears 73:16	75:12,20,22 78:5 82:2,10, 15 86:15,19, 21 88:2,12	associated 16:24 17:4 21:22	aware 71:5,7, 9 78:7	basically 51:17 68:22
applicant 34:5,6	93:5,7 94:5,6 96:19 98:16	assume 51:7 73:19 88:22	away 53:6 70:4 78:1 82:8 95:24	basis 79:3 Bate 17:17
apply 83:7	areas 86:3 93:6,7	assumption 18:11	B	bearing 69:19
appreciate 8:23 99:24 101:7 102:5, 11	argument 79:6 92:18 100:1	asymptomatic 46:17,18 53:6	B-A-R-O 45:20	became 46:17
appreciated 89:12	arguments 89:21,24	asymptomatic ally 96:7	B-I-M-M-O-E- L-L-E-R 51:15	become 78:7
approach 50:7 54:20	around 52:6	ate 57:22 58:9,11,19 73:8,15	back 19:10 22:2 45:1	before 6:14 13:5,11,13,15 27:24 28:9 37:16 44:8
66:1,3 appropriate	arrived 26:18 57:15	attack 96:21	51:20 64:21 65:5,7 92:23	46:4 50:14 53:9 56:3 59:4,6,22,24
8:20 24:9,12 37:9 56:15 77:21 82:11 92:15	articulately 99:24	attended 50:13	barotrauma 45:20 71:18, 20,22 72:2 79:14,20	60:6,8,10 63:7 66:9 67:21,24 71:8 73:19 84:3,4
approximatel y 34:18,21	ascending 15:24 42:20, 21 50:8 55:3	attention 19:16 69:20	79.14,20 82:21 94:12 98:6	93:11,21 96:6 102:7

Index: beginning..care

beginning 45:10	benign 62:19	bleeding 36:24 37:2	22:1,22,24 23:2 24:24	California 10:22,23
begins 18:6	besides 51:8 57:19 59:8	blip 51:21	25:10 27:20 32:3,13 36:15 41:7,8,16,19 43:1 70:19	call 9:5,13 18:19 43:15
behalf 4:10 8:18 44:21 90:17 92:12	best 51:12 88:16 90:4	blood 63:16, 18 94:24 95:1 97:2	73:4,5,6,9,18 80:19,22 99:4	44:22 45:20 48:15 49:12 57:21 69:11 78:11
	better 27:21		box 66:4,5,6	70.11
being 4:12 5:15 8:21		board 4:11 5:17 7:20	95:20 96:1	called 16:6,11
40:1 46:14 54:23 89:10 102:5	between 22:24 76:16 97:15	8:19 11:2 12:4 13:11 14:5 22:6 23:10 33:24	break 64:19, 21 65:11,14 89:20	18:10 22:21 26:3 33:23 42:15 45:22 47:22 53:14
believe 15:14 20:17 26:3,19 31:2 33:7	beyond 51:19 big 43:5	38:4,6 67:5 90:23 91:3 92:9,13	brief 5:12 66:22	54:7 64:2,4,6 67:6,10 78:1 92:18 94:20 97:6,14 101:2
42:23 43:7, 10,15 48:8 49:11 50:19	bigger 41:18	Board's 15:4	briefly 19:16 100:19	calling 18:24
52:17 53:1 55:2,12 56:6 59:2,12,19	Bimmoeller 51:14	boards 34:12	burden 69:6	can't 5:4 83:3
60:4 61:5 74:16 77:16 78:9 80:17 82:19 83:5	biopsies 79:10	book 13:5 64:15 94:21, 23 96:3,13 97:9	burn 36:8 41:2,5,8,10 76:7 97:24	cancer 36:23 37:6,8 38:11
85:3 86:8			98:1	candidate
87:2 92:22 93:3,8 95:1,3,	biopsy 16:16 17:3,5 35:19	both 4:19		59:19
20 96:17 98:12 100:12	40:23,24 48:9 50:11,16 71:16 72:21	12:15 30:5 74:23 90:2 100:15 101:7	busy 17:19 99:11 101:16	cannot 17:9 35:18 76:17 80:24
believed 48:2	86:22	bottom 61:4,	C	00.24
benefit 40:3 84:11	bit 31:10 43:5 54:13	17,20 bowel 19:6	C02 52:4,7,9, 14,15 93:17,	care 8:17 13:22 14:18 15:1 25:24
	black 48:15	21:18,23	18,22	26:9,20,21

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: career..closes

32:1,6 40:21 46:10 55:11,	68:6 71:1,14 78:11 79:10,	12:16	chapters 13:1 96:13	56:18
24 91:4 92:3, 7	20 80:1 82:21 98:5	cell 63:16,19 64:3	characterize 30:3,21 31:11	claims 55:10, 23
career 34:17 65:21	cause 41:10, 15,17 71:22, 24 72:2,7	cells 95:1 97:2	40:13 41:9 42:1	clarification 85:22
case 4:6 5:13 7:17 13:23 14:3 15:4,20	80:11 caused 36:4,	centimeter 21:10 30:12	charge 55:15	clarify 40:2 72:14 84:11
23:6 25:10, 15,17 31:20 32:5 39:13	5 48:14 51:16,23 70:7 95:6 97:10	31:8 43:4 59:7 70:2,4,7 75:2,13,15 82:8,13,18	charges 4:5 65:9	clear 39:7 53:10 57:19
40:21 41:10, 24 43:14,16 45:4,6 46:3	causes 76:9 95:13	88:7,13 98:10	check 54:8 Chicago	67:20,21 68:2 76:18
54:4 65:8 66:2 68:15,20 69:5,10 70:15	causing 22:1	centimeters 21:8 31:11 43:8 54:21,22	50:13	cleared 84:15
71:23 72:16 88:7 89:3 90:21 91:3	cavity 32:15 48:21 52:10	79:23 80:14 94:9	chose 9:4 chose 34:3	clearly 5:3 99:23
96:1 99:21 100:8 101:22	75:15 93:16 96:16,17 98:14,22	certainly 54:8 67:13 82:17 95:11 102:5	65:15	clinical 21:19 30:18 32:13 77:21 82:11
case-in-chief 43:13	cecal 15:23	certification	chosen 45:3 chronic 59:2	clips 48:17
cases 13:5,13 63:9,12 97:23	35:14 48:23	33:21	60:6 62:17,18	95:19
CAT 20:10	cecum 48:10 49:4 50:7 51:9 54:19	certified 11:2, 5 38:4,6	circumstance 87:23	close 48:17 49:13 68:15 89:6 90:11
27:19 46:6 catch 17:20	59:6 63:23 64:12 75:12 82:14 83:20	chance 9:2 44:6 45:6 64:22 100:18	circumstance s 92:8	93:15 closed 63:7
catscratch	86:18 88:17 97:18	changes 94:6	civil 13:13	94:23 95:18 102:1
45:22 55:8	Cedars-sinai		claimed	closes 98:24

Index: closing..conclusion

closing 69:7 79:6,16 89:21,23	55:3,8 59:9 60:2 63:8 67:23 68:6	52:4,6,13 53:15 54:11 58:20 59:4,5,	90:18 92:12 commonly	35:19 50:10 74:15 80:4 81:20
90:11 92:18 co-authored 12:23	70:3,14,19 71:2,14 72:3 75:23 76:8,20 77:1 78:4,6,8,	21,23 63:20 66:7 67:11 73:1,2,6 80:21 84:15	16:21 comparison	compliant 59:3
coagulation 18:12 19:3,7	11,19,20 79:10,22 80:10,15 81:3,24 82:9,	93:20 94:11, 14 96:14 99:2,8	21:11 86:12 complain 68:6	complicated 66:2
22:14,18,21 23:1,3,12 35:23 40:11 51:3 59:18	17,20,22 83:21 84:8 85:19 86:4, 16,19 88:17	colons 82:22 color 63:24	complained 8:2,5 31:22	complication 17:3 29:8
76:17 colitis 99:5	91:5,17 93:9 94:13,18,19 97:5,14,16,19 98:1,5,7,8,16	come 14:21 59:4 64:21 65:5 92:23	48:3,7 95:4 100:11 complaining	complications 17:4 18:1 63:12
collected 95:5	colonic 51:16	comes 40:16 66:6	18:24	comply 32:6
College 11:1	colonoscope 72:10	coming 89:11 90:21	35:21 complaint 4:5	86:7 concern 25:9
colon 8:1,4, 11 15:24 21:7 23:5 24:17,22 25:3,6,16	colonoscopie s 34:16 35:2 39:4 65:20	commencing 4:16	7:17,18 65:9 91:6,9	28:5 concerned
26:11,13 27:24 28:4 29:6 30:1,9, 14,17,19	96:11 99:3,10 colonoscopis t 50:15	comment 6:4 24:9	complaints 91:22	17:7 18:23 33:4,17 53:13 56:15
36:23 37:5,8 38:11 41:14, 15 42:21	colonoscopy 7:24 8:6	committed 7:15 8:14	complete 12:7,8 34:1 43:2,6	concerns 19:6
43:3,4 45:20, 21,23,24 49:6,10 50:6, 8 52:1 54:17,	15:10,12,18, 19 20:13 21:20 28:19	32:5,7 92:1, 22	completed 34:5	concluded 102:15
18,19,22,24	31:23 47:11 49:16,23 50:5	Committee 4:10 8:18	completely	conclusion

Index: condition..crackers

56:5,7 77:22 100:13	consider 8:19 10:7 23:7	24	32:15	correctly 85:7,13
condition 22:21	43:5 47:12 48:16 56:2,10 59:10 60:10 66:9 67:23	content 73:4, 9	contributed 36:1	corroborate 47:1
conditions 38:14 47:6	91:5 92:13 101:23	contents 80:14	conversation 18:14	cost 6:13
conduct 12:18	considerable 51:24 52:7	context 21:19 24:11 74:9 77:21,22	convince 46:12	counsel 5:22, 23
conducted 12:20	consistent 75:4	continued 67:22	convinced 49:2 52:22 55:6 63:20 101:2	count 7:17,18 35:12 63:16, 19 91:19 92:8
confirm 4:19, 21	consists 79:20 98:6	contra 37:21	cookies	country's 50:14
confronted 9:8	consult 91:21	contract 6:6,	49:21 57:22 58:11,20,24	couple 12:9 73:17
conjunction 24:18	consultation 25:8,14,17 36:16 56:21, 23	contraindicat ed 24:23	copy 11:21 62:9 101:9	course 23:8 24:1 43:22
connect 77:8	contact 5:18	contraindicati on 37:20,23, 24 41:19 52:20	core 34:1 Cornell 11:1	court 4:13 7:2 9:19 20:5 90:19 92:5
consequence 31:1	contacted 18:16	contraindicati	correct 4:19 7:7 14:3	101:9
consequence s 98:9	contacting 5:19	ons 41:23 42:3	15:15 20:13, 14 28:20 33:11,15,22	court-certified 4:12
conservativel y 25:13 34:24	contains 7:18	contrast 21:6 24:15 52:23	39:19 40:12 61:10 72:9 76:12	cracker 73:18
39:4 46:13 98:3	contaminatio n 30:13 72:23,	95:3 98:21 contribute	corrected 7:6	crackers 73:8 80:19
		-		

Index: crater..develops

crater 48:14	55:14,18,20 56:6 57:3,6,9,	9:18 10:1,13	defendant 72:15	42:21,22,24 43:1 45:17
Crohn's 99:4	24 58:7,18 60:16 61:2,8, 21,24 62:3	day 8:6 28:19 47:14 62:18	defending	48:19,22 53:24 54:17, 19,21 55:3
Cross-	64:23 65:1,2	73:19	90:21	67:2 75:8
examination	66:17,21 68:8			76:11,20,21
3:5,11 74:3	69:2,11,16	days 46:17,18	defense	77:20 78:9,18
,	72:17 73:22	53:10 64:16,	13:15,16	82:20 85:17
	78:13,16	17 67:19		86:2,5,9
cross-	83:22 84:2	73:4,11,15,21		88:12 92:23
examine 9:6	86:7,20 87:1,	96:5,7	defined 92:2	94:2,6 96:6,
	20 88:24	101:10,12,13		23 98:11,15
crux 100:8		101.10,12,13	definitely	23 90.11,13
CIUX 100.0	89:4,9,11,13,		49:1	
	17,23 90:4,	dead 75:21	49.1	describes
CT 8:8 19:20	16,17 92:6			43:8 81:2
20:10,16,21,	100:3 102:8,	141-04-4	definition	82:15
23 22:6,7	13	death 31:1	22:20 76:16	
25:2,15 53:4				
54:9,10,13,17	curriculum	decided 45:5		describing
60:17 63:19	11:16,19,21	50:9	degree 71:24	53:9
64:7,9,12,13	33:4 34:1	00.0		
66:7 80:5	96:9		deliver 89:23	description
91:13 92:24	90.9	decision	4011701 00.20	75:18 82:1
95:16 100:9,		30:18 45:8		73.10 02.1
1	cut 29:23	69:9	demonstrativ	
10 101:1,5			e 31:10	destroy 16:18
		da an 45,40		1
Cumings 3:4,	cutting 49:19	deep 45:18		l
5,6,11 4:10,		55:5 75:9	departure	determination
20,21 5:11	cyst 21:11	77:9	14:17	14:16,21
7:10,13,14				
9:5,13,15,22		defect 62:14	depth 76:2	determine
10:10 13:9	D	70:2,3,6,18		7:15 66:23
17:17,22		74:23 75:4,		90:24
19:24 20:7		13,16,24	describe	30.24
32:18 37:7,24	date 5:20 7:7	76:1,8 77:6,7,	45:18 55:5,9	
1	15:12,13	20 80:8 82:2,	75:7,9 77:9,	determined
38:6,17,21	20:8,10 28:16	· ·	11 82:5 86:3,	29:24
40:7 42:4,12,	69:22	7,10,15 86:2,	6,15 93:2,8	
14 43:13,20	03.22	4,9,15,19	94:3	dovolono
44:20 45:3		88:18		develops
46:23 47:4	David 3:3		dooribed	51:4 97:9
		defend 56:16	described	

Index: diagnose..due

diagnose 25:3	11	discussed 4:16 32:11	diverticulum 63:10 97:11,	27:1 28:10 29:1,8,24
diagnosed	difficulty 5:19	51:12 69:21	12,17	30:24 31:22 35:3,4,14,17,
27:24	digit 35:12	discussing 5:15	doctor 10:17 11:6 28:11	19 39:3,23 40:10 59:14, 15 64:19
diagnosis 19:2,4 28:7	dilated 21:7	discussion	60:22 90:3,12	66:12 68:19 72:12 88:21
29:12 35:22 40:14 67:24 69:23,24	dire 31:1	46:11 59:16	doctors 60:9	99:20 100:12
75:23	Direct 3:4,11 66:20	disease 99:4	document 12:2,4,7,8,10 15:6,9 17:13	Douglas 50:15
diagnostic 30:4,5 47:11	director	disservice 81:15	19:19 20:8,15 27:3 28:22	down 7:3 10:6 18:6
diagram	34:14	distal 86:4	29:17 43:11 46:24 62:23 70:13,15,17	92:4
96:15	disagree 39:11	distended 30:9 49:6	82:7	draft 101:10
diaphragm 22:13	disagreed	97:20	documentatio n 14:10 17:9	drag 87:13
diet 57:20	39:14	distension 30:16 54:17	23:7 27:5	drank 73:17
Dietrich 3:9 4:5 13:18 44:10 65:9	discharged 18:17 46:18 49:24 64:17	distinction 22:24 76:16	documented 27:19 43:9 70:21 73:14 80:5,6 91:11,	drinking 49:21
92:1	discipline 8:21 92:15	distress 57:14,17	12	due 5:24 21:6 30:2,15,17 35:21 36:8
different 34:9	discomfort	diverticulitis	documents 11:23 28:10 58:4	45:20 48:4,8 50:5 51:5
differential 19:2 21:21 28:6 35:22	50:4	21:9,10 51:5 63:8,13 97:9	done 9:10	53:16 54:23 63:9 72:20 78:6 79:13
67:24	discovered 26:17	diverticulosis	15:7 16:9,21 17:14 19:5	82:20 91:17 94:12,24
difficult 50:9,		16:1 51:4	23:22 24:6,8,	95:5,11 96:18

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: duly..examination

duly 10:2 44:11	Eight 39:5	59:17	91:14	18:11
during 34:6 35:1 54:2,7	Eighteen 33:10	encounter 35:8	erosions 79:21 98:6	everyone 30:24 100:23 101:16
64:2 93:14 94:14	either 29:9 31:24 72:20	end 52:1 89:2	escape 22:15 52:3 80:15 93:13	everyone's 102:11
dye 24:15	electrocauter y 16:13,14	endoscopic 16:6,19 72:21 86:22 97:24	escaped	everything
dying 75:21	36:8	endoscopists	93:5,9	42:3 74:6 77:21 99:20
E	electrocoagul ation 41:1	51:13	establish 8:13	100:13 101:7
earlier 58:15 60:3 64:14	elevation 63:15,18	endoscopy 50:1 65:21,22	established 91:24	everywhere 81:10 93:20
80:18 81:11	Eleview 16:11	enema 24:13, 16 36:17,21	estimate	evidence 7:15,22 8:7, 12,14 9:3
easy 60:4	51:18 eligible 33:20	37:2,4,15,18 41:12,13,15, 19 46:7 47:11	12:22 39:2 etcetera	21:9 47:23 48:20 50:24 51:10 82:23
58:23 67:20	emergency	60:10 66:12 77:23 95:2 98:20	99:11	90:23 91:24
eaten 73:3,12 80:18	27:5 32:1 46:5 47:13 53:21 54:3,6,	enormous	evaluate 24:16	evident 32:10
eating 49:21 58:2	8,14 56:22 57:13 60:8 64:5,6 66:6	96:10 enough 72:4	Evaluation 21:5	exactly 9:9 31:5 33:22 45:11 66:14
education	, ,	93:13	even 49:7	71:15
11:24 12:1 33:4	EMR 35:17 48:12,14 49:8,10 50:7	equipment 102:2	55:5 64:11 75:9 78:1 82:5 94:3	examination 3:4,5,6,11 10:9 33:1
effect 31:10	51:17 60:1 97:23	ER 14:11 27:7 67:16 77:24	97:21 99:9	38:20 42:17 66:20 69:15 87:19
effort 5:16	EMRS 35:6		evening	-

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: examinations..Feldmann

	•	•	•	
examinations 34:4	60:14,16,21 61:8 62:8,24	53:17	extremely 99:11	fast 93:17
examined 10:3 44:12	exhibits 4:16,	explaining 99:23	F	faster 52:8
10:3 44:12	17 5:15 45:1 92:9	explanations		favor 92:20
Examiners 4:11	expect 72:24	102:5	fabulous 48:11	fecal 21:24 48:21,22
Examiners' 5:17 91:3	expected 40:19 52:2	explicitly 70:21	facility 29:10	72:23,24 81:3 83:20 84:7, 16,17,20,23
examining	54:2	exploration 29:19	fact 48:22 84:16	85:18 87:21 88:20 100:4,7
29:4	expel 80:24			feces 30:13
except 23:2	expensive 6:10	explored 29:23	facts 13:23 14:2 15:3 31:20 83:7	73:7,9 80:22 81:9,12,14, 17,18,20,22
exception 76:14	experience 11:24 12:1	expressed 83:11,14	failed 8:1,3,8, 11 91:5,8,16	85:9 87:24 88:2
exceptional 33:22	13:20 14:1 22:17 31:21 65:24 70:12 101:2	extensive 5:16 30:16 59:16 96:12	failure 8:15, 17 32:14	feel 13:21 Feldmann
excessive 71:21	experienced	extensively 100:6	92:2,6 fairly 97:10	3:5,6,9,11 4:6,9,22,23 5:1,3,7,14,18,
exclude 8:4	71:23			20,21,23 6:1,
excused	expert 91:3 99:1,7	extravasate 73:10 80:20, 23 81:13	Fallon 47:19	3,5,9,12,22 7:1,8,15,16, 20,23 8:1,3,8,
89:7,8,11	explain 16:8	23 01.13	familiar 13:17,19,21	14,22,24 9:7 13:18 14:11,
exhibit 11:15, 18 15:4	21:13 29:21 79:1,4,5 81:1	extravasation 52:23 53:2 81:17 95:2	71:1,18	19,24 15:11, 17,19 16:4,15 17:7 18:1,16
17:10,16,17 19:16,17 22:2,6 26:22	90:8	extreme 8:2	far 33:3,16 34:17 53:12 56:15 83:14	19:11 20:13, 20 22:5,11
33:7,8 58:16	explained	GAUGING 0.2	00.10 00.14	23:5,9 24:2

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: Feldmann's..gas

25:19 26:6,8,	40:2	15:22 20:23	focus 17:22	51:13
11 31:22	70.2	30:7 32:11	10003 17.22	31.13
32:4,20,23		92:15		
1 ' '	fellow 35:3	92.13	follow 90:13	free 8:9 21:3,
33:2,9 37:11				14,16,17
38:2,8,16,23	fellows 34:20	finds 96:11	fallow up	22:8,12,13,
39:5,8,21			follow-up	18,20 23:3
40:6,20 42:6,	40:4 71:4	fin = 07.40	74:1 76:5	24:4 25:16
10,18 43:12,		fine 37:10		26:12 32:14
14 44:6,10,18	fellowship	89:17	following	37:12,19
45:9,16 47:5	34:6,7,11		7:24 18:2	46:6,21 47:7
55:16,19,21,	, ,	finish 27:11	19:15 20:12	48:4 49:3
23 56:4,8,10,		78:15 79:6	28:19 31:23	72:19 74:17,
12,17,20	fellowships	10.10.10.0	91:6,8,21	18 75:1
57:4,5,8,10	39:23		01.0,0,21	76:14,15
58:5,13,22		finished 15:8		70:14,15 77:18,19
60:19 61:1,5,	fever 63:15,	17:21 23:23	follows 10:3	80:3,5 91:17
15,18,22	18	27:2 28:12	44:12	95:22 96:7,
62:1,10,13,22	10	65:13 82:6		·
63:2,5 64:24			forceps 16:16	15,16
65:3,9,11,16,	few 21:4,18	first 9:13,15	•	
19 66:17,22	28:8 38:23	· ·	17:3,5 48:10	front 61:21
68:9,10,12,	46:4 47:6	10:2 13:12	50:16 72:21	
17,21 70:22	50:13 53:9	40:17 44:11		f II 00 0 f
72:22 73:5,24	57:3,6 59:17	45:9 49:17	forgot 68:22	full 66:24
74:5,11,24	98:3 102:1	69:20 92:21		67:1 73:15
75:6 76:5,6			f 50 4	
78:17,24		five 35:13	form 59:1	further 8:3
79:9,13,19	field 38:9	39:2 40:11	60:5	32:18 41:8
81:6 83:18		46:21 62:2		45:13 49:11
84:6,13	filed 7:17		formally	66:9 87:19
85:14,17			68:14	89:1 102:11
86:1,14 87:2,	# Loo - oo	Flight 26:20,		33.1.132.11
6,11,16 88:14	final 69:5,23,	21		
89:22 90:7,	24 75:23		forward 28:8	future 12:16
20,24 91:4,5,		fluids 94:24		
1 ' ' '	find 96:10	1	found 15:23	G
7,16,19 92:1, 18,21 99:15,	99:9		60:12 72:23	
1 ' '	00.0	fluoroscopy]	
19 100:5,16,		24:20 47:19		
20,24 101:12,	finding 32:15		four 31:12	gallbladder
18,24 102:14		focal 75:12		96:21
	findings 8:20	10001 10.12	Francisco	
Feldmann's				gas 21:4,5
		foci 21:4		3 2,0
L	=	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_

66:7 71:21	99:12	91:14 93:19	11,13 43:17, 22 44:3,5,14	80:12
gastroenterol ogist 11:13	GI 34:6,11	great 90:15	45:2,14 47:2 55:12,22 56:1,9,14,19	happened 19:11 83:5,6
16:12 28:3 41:22	giant 94:10	greatest 5:21	57:2 58:10, 14,19 60:14,	happens 41:5
gastroenterol ogists 12:17 16:22	give 9:1,4 12:24 42:13 60:9 64:21 100:18 101:9	gross 74:21 75:17	20 61:12,16, 19 62:5,11, 20,23 63:4 64:18 65:1,4,	hard 15:13 30:23
gastroenterol ogy 11:7,9	given 39:18 92:10	grossly 75:18,22 82:7	7 66:16 68:10,13,18, 24 69:3,13 72:14 73:24	having 10:2 44:11 59:13 96:20 97:7
12:13 34:7 38:3,4,7,10, 14	giving 56:21,	guess 33:9	74:8,13 76:4 78:15,21	heals 63:11
gastrografin	23	guidance 53:4	79:2,12,15 81:4 83:1,9, 13,16 84:1,10	hear 5:2,4
24:13 36:17, 21 37:2,4,15, 18 46:7 47:11	glad 90:8	guys 84:22	85:12,15,20 86:11,23	43:23 58:6 84:14,19
52:19,22 60:10 66:12 77:23 95:2	goes 51:19 76:24 95:22 98:2	н	87:4,8,12,17 89:2,5,10,15, 19 90:1,5,9, 18 92:4,17	heard 71:7 91:2,7 94:10
98:20	gone 52:24 53:8,9 54:6,8	H&p 57:15	99:13,18,22 100:15,17,22	hearing 4:4,7, 17,22,24 5:8,
gastrointestin al 38:13	70:19 96:3	half 34:10	101:6,13,21 102:3,9	20,23 6:2,3,7, 11,16,23 7:2,
gave 60:7,9 66:11	good 18:4 42:10 51:10 63:23 65:3 69:18,19	Halstead 4:4, 7,22,24 5:8 6:3,7,11,16, 23 7:2,9,12	hand 9:23 10:6 44:7	9,12,14,22 8:24 9:11,21 10:5 13:7 17:15,19
General 47:20 64:10 93:19	73:12 90:14	8:24 9:11,21 10:5 13:7 17:15,19	handle 90:14, 15	19:22 20:3 32:20 33:6 37:10 38:18
genetics 99:5	Grant 8:9 26:18 27:18, 24 47:20 60:18 64:10	19:22 20:3 32:20 33:6 37:10 38:18 39:7,24 42:8,	hanging 52:6 happen 31:9	39:7,24 42:8, 11,13 43:17, 22 44:3,5,14 45:2,14 47:2
getting 7:5		00.1,2T T 2.0,		

Index: held..incorrectly

55:12,22 56:1,9,14,19 57:2 58:10,	20 62:13 86:18	home 53:9 96:6 102:2	hypothetically 83:6	immediately 18:2 52:18 53:18 98:24
14,19 60:14, 20 61:12,16, 19 62:5,11, 20,23 63:4	hepatis 21:5 here 4:4 5:14	hospital 14:12 18:10 26:3,4 27:18	I	immunology 38:12 99:5
64:18 65:1,4, 7 66:16 68:10,13,18, 24 69:3,13	8:20,21 11:11 19:15 23:16 31:9,10,11 43:18 51:18	46:15 47:19, 20 53:20 64:10,15,16 67:13 93:19	lan 4:9 iatrogenic	important 41:23 63:14
72:14 73:24 74:8,13 76:4 78:15,21	56:8,17 61:15 62:1 69:20 89:16 90:23	96:5 hot 16:16	8:16 29:6,7,8 IC 4:10,17	impossible 52:14
79:2,12,15 81:4 83:1,9, 13,16 84:1,10	92:9,14 101:23 102:5, 11	17:2,5 35:19 40:23 48:9 50:11,16 72:21 86:22	9:15 43:13 70:2,4 82:8	impression 21:1
85:12,15,20 86:11,23 87:4,8,12,17 89:2,5,10,15,	higher 17:3 26:9 41:3	hours 18:24 25:14	identified 75:13	improvement 25:13
19 90:1,5,9 92:4,10,13,17 99:13,18,22 100:15,17,22	highest 21:20 28:6	housekeeping 5:12	ileocecal 75:14 82:13 88:14	inches 31:12, 14 43:5
100:13,17,22 101:6,13,21 102:3,9,15	highly 28:2 88:9,11	HPI 27:12,13	illness 27:14	included 14:8
held 6:20 13:22 55:17	himself 96:11	hundred 30:23	image 21:12 imagine 36:9	includes 17:11
help 101:18	histology 62:13,16 74:22 75:20	hydration 25:7	imaging 19:9, 10,11 24:19,	including 5:4 17:18 31:1 91:12
helped 18:15 helpful 21:12	82:1,9 history 5:13	hypodense 21:11	20 26:6 32:2 40:19 78:2 91:8,21	incorporated 39:15
64:1	27:6,14 58:24 73:14	hypothetical 83:4,7	immediate 8:4 91:8,18	incorrectly 28:24
y 29:20 59:13,	holes 98:23			

Index: increased..June

increased 21:22	38:13 94:5 99:4	21:18 25:12	33:10,13,16, 21 34:12	4:10 8:18 90:17 92:12
indeterminate 21:10	informed 65:13	instead 33:20 66:24 71:13	internship 33:10	involved 46:10
indicate 22:22	initially 16:10	institution 46:4 52:5	interpret 22:11	involves 29:22
indicated 8:10 54:10	initiate 25:19	instrument 78:5	interpreted 85:3	irritant 52:10
77:24 indicates	initiated 25:22	insult 30:15	interrupt 9:19 83:2	irritating 93:22
36:2 81:19,22	inject 16:10 51:20,22	intend 9:3	intervention	irritation 50:23
indicating 81:16 102:8	injury 8:16	intended 57:21	25:11 49:14 91:18	issue 5:4
indication 29:5 36:20,22	input 80:19	intending 43:17	intestine 50:24	IV 21:6 25:7
37:4,7 indications	inside 43:3 45:21 55:8 66:3,5 77:5,7,	interest 38:9 99:2	intestines 97:13	J
36:22 37:5 42:2	13 78:6,11,19 79:21 80:10, 15 82:22 85:19 93:9	interested 38:11,12,13	into 24:3 30:22 41:17	job 70:9 77:11
indicative 26:13 36:15	94:18 95:20 97:14 98:7,16	interests 38:15 99:3	48:1 72:9 80:19 98:1, 14,21	judge 65:24
infection 70:8 88:4,6,8	insignificant 55:1 93:4 98:11	interfere 8:11	intravascular 21:7	juice 58:20 July 33:10,14
inflammation 36:8 76:13 97:4	instance 21:18	intermittently 95:4	Investigation 13:17	jump 28:4
inflammatory	instances	internal	Investigative	June 15:14,

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: keep..list

17 18:17 20:10 28:18	52:11,12	lead 22:18 47:6 49:3	leg 49:19	12:6,9 15:9 19:16 22:2
33:11,14 73:19,20 91:10	laparotomy 29:19 30:8	leads 96:21	legal 6:19	26:15 28:4 32:21 41:5,24 43:14,20 45:9
к	large 8:9 21:3,14,16	leak 98:23	length 43:4 82:17	46:23 48:16 53:8 57:3,6 58:1,7 64:22
keep 49:20 72:6,10	22:8,12 25:16 31:17,18 32:9 59:7 72:24 73:1 74:17	leakage 21:24 48:18 81:16	lengthy 50:6 lesion 21:11	68:11 69:11 74:6 76:10 78:10,18 83:22 86:20
Kenneth 51:14	77:19 85:3,4, 11	leaked 49:9 84:20 85:5 94:21	48:15 less 36:10	89:20 90:18, 20 92:22 93:17 94:11
kind 11:8	larger 43:5 59:7	learn 18:19	49:7	96:1,5,20 99:4,14,21
57:11 59:22 65:24	Las 5:5 11:11	learned 47:9 52:21	let 5:5 9:10 28:10 45:12 62:5 66:15 78:15 79:6	likely 8:10 21:7,11 22:22 26:13 72:18,
kinds 65:22 knew 60:6	last 9:17 23:16 67:19 68:5 73:15	least 59:23 65:20 84:3,4 95:9	letter 67:9	20 73:12 88:21 91:17
knowledge	Lastly 8:7	leave 84:8	level 26:9 49:15 53:13	limited 21:6
92:7	lasts 67:19	89:15	57:11 58:23	line 75:24
	later 18:10,14 46:18 59:15, 20	led 59:18 74:10	licensed 10:16,21	linear 78:12 98:6
lab 99:11	lawyer 90:2	leeway 42:13	licensee 7:20 10:19	liquid 98:15
laceration 19:4	laymen 16:8	left 60:8 63:8 97:8	life- threatening	liquids 53:10 57:19 67:20, 21
lack 21:6	layperson 21:14 29:21	left-sided 15:24	22:1	list 21:21
laparoscopy	Z I . 14 ZJ.Z I		like 6:4 9:1,8	

Index: listed..medicine

listed 21:1	longitudinal 78:17 79:21	majority 51:6	52:24 62:15 95:3 100:4,7	meaning 33:23 37:22, 24 73:7 86:18
literature 46:21 47:6 52:21 97:10 101:20	looked 48:11 51:10 63:23 67:3	make 5:11 14:15 15:13 22:8,10 24:9 32:2 41:20 45:7 57:22	materials 14:5,9,14	means 21:13 29:8 46:13 48:1 50:2,22
little 31:10 43:5 52:13 54:13 64:19,	looking 23:15,16 30:6 41:12 62:3	58:7 61:13 62:11 69:7,9 90:3,11,13,15	matter 4:5,8, 12 5:19,20 14:1,6 39:20 48:21,23 65:8	54:1 57:16 65:22 67:1 69:4 70:18,20 71:17 75:21
21 local 76:9	low 21:10	makes 97:17	69:8 81:3 83:20 84:7, 16,18,20,23 85:18 87:21	76:2,7,13 82:10 86:10 88:3 94:17
localized 97:6	lower 48:7 95:10	malpractice 7:16,19 8:14 32:5,7,16	88:20 102:10	95:21 98:18 meant 97:1
located 87:22	luminal 80:10	92:1,11,22	matters 4:15 5:9,12	measuring
location 50:9 86:12	M	manage 8:15 41:24	may 17:3 38:17,18	21:8
loculated 62:14	M.A. 18:13	many 12:22 34:16,19 35:1,11 37:11	72:15 89:7 maybe 35:12	mechanical 41:18
lodge 46:23 58:1 83:22	M.D. 3:3,9 4:6 10:1 44:10 65:10	38:14,24 39:1 47:9 52:19 59:9 97:23 101:12	40:10 53:11, 21 54:13 55:19 59:15, 18 64:17	medical 4:11 5:17 10:16,23 11:1,3 13:2, 22 14:10,16,
logical 77:22	Madame 90:19	March 7:17	73:17 74:8 84:10,12,21	17 26:5 29:9, 10 31:19,20 32:12 33:24
long 10:19 11:12 31:7 43:8 46:11	made 30:18 41:1 53:5	marked 11:16 15:24 62:17	meal 73:15	90:24 91:3 92:9
48:24 59:4,11 79:21,23 80:14,15 86:5 94:5 101:14	56:6 mainly 96:18	massive 30:15	mean 22:12 27:13 29:7 49:19 71:14 74:9 81:6,7	medication 46:16 53:11, 23
longer 52:2	major 63:17	material	82:14 83:2	medicine

11:8,10 33:10,13,17,	microperforat ions 49:13	minimum 100:12	46:19 50:14 63:9,12 65:21	muscle 97:13
21 34:12	52:17 93:14 94:23	minor 25:17	72:18,20	muscularis 98:1
meet 6:19 8:17	microscope 81:14 88:21	minute 84:21	Mount 8:9 26:18 27:18, 24 47:20	musculature 97:15
meeting 6:12 50:13	microscopic 81:24	minutes 57:4, 7	60:17 64:10 91:14 93:18	must 49:8
meetings 6:14 47:9	Microscopical	missing 97:16	mouth 25:7 53:10	99:11 N
50:12 52:22 mention 22:6 63:15 81:23 96:13	ly 82:9 microtear 66:23	modality 36:23	move 13:10 84:12 85:22 89:21,22	NAC 92:2
mentioned	mid-level 57:16	months 102:1	movement 27:21	Nachtsheim 28:23
31:4 58:15 83:19 90:22	midst 65:12	more 10:11 15:3 22:22 31:14 33:21 42:5 51:22	much 20:7 35:24 36:10 52:8 80:22	Nachtsheim's 29:11 30:7
mentions 24:13	might 6:14 18:12	52:9,16 57:20 59:9,18 74:9 76:18 84:21	89:13 92:16 99:23 100:6 102:13	name 9:16,17 10:11 19:21,
merits 102:10	Mildly 21:7	85:16 93:21 95:15	mucosa	23 20:1,17 28:24 50:15 51:14
method 16:4, 6 17:1	millimeters 49:5 97:19	morning 6:13 20:12 54:5,14	75:12 94:18 97:14,16,22	namely 51:22
microperforat ion 49:12 51:2,5,15,23	mind 40:16	57:14,21 64:10 67:21 73:16	mucosal 16:6,19 62:16 72:21 75:20	narcotics 18:13
63:6,9 93:10, 11 94:1 97:11 98:17,18	mine 62:6 minimal	morphine 27:22	86:22 97:24 multiple	narratively 44:24
	52:14	most 18:23	91:12	nausea 27:20

Index: nearly..obvious

necessarily 70:24	needle 24:3 48:1 51:16,24 53:4 needs 25:14,	74:19 75:8 77:2,14,16 78:4 80:11	notes 78:2	nurse's 49:23
necessarily 70:24	48:1 51:16,24 53:4	77:2,14,16 78:4 80:11	notes /8:2	nurse's 49:23
necessarily 70:24 necessary	53:4	78:4 80:11		
70:24			-	4
70:24 necessary	manda OF.44		nothing 25:7	О
70:24 necessary	noodo 05,44	82:4 84:9	54:15 55:4	
necessary	needs 25:14. I	91:11 98:13	63:2 79:10	
•	17 29:24		80:20,23,24	O.D. 70:00
•	-	nobody 60:6	81:12 84:14	O.R. 70:22
=0 44 =0 0		77:23,24	98:18	
53:11 78:2	Nevada 4:1,	92:24		oath 65:18
	11 5:17 10:17			
necrosis	91:2 92:9		notice 7:6	- la la at 100:04
18:12 19:7		nonengagem		object 39:21
	never 46:19	ent 6:1	noticed 7:5	78:13
23:12 35:9	49:18 59:22			
39:1 40:11	94:10	normal 44:15	_	objected
49:3 50:21,22	J U	50:3 51:18	nowadays	39:13
51.3 9 5/.12		57:20 87:23	93:20	
59:19 62:16	new 40:17	07.120 07.120		
63:22 64:13	71:13 100:11		NPO 25:7	objection
67:18 68:3		normally	5 _5	39:9,19 40:3
	next 26:15	45:19 52:4		46:23 58:1,7,
76:7,23,21	47:14 54:5,14	64:12 87:22	NRS 7:19	15 79:3 83:23
94:16,17,22	57:13 67:21	100:17		86:24
95:12,13	70:5		NSBME 61:21	
96:14 97:4	. 0.0	note 5:1 7:4		objective
101:4		14:11,12 18:1		14:15
101.1	night 19:15	22:8,10 27:7,	number 4:6	
	54:2,7 57:12	15 30:14 31:7	13:17 15:5	
necrotic	64:3 67:6,10	39:18 43:9	61:3,22,23	objectively
74:21 75:12	91:9 100:10	49:23 56:23	62:2 64:4	71:16
		58:15,16 60:9	65:8 71:14	
need 5:10	nine 30:11	66:11	74:17,18,19	observed
9:20 43:15	31:8,10 59:24			30:18 88:20
44:1 46:22	64:16 79:23	_	number-one	
56:16 57:8	80:14 82:17	notebook	34:6	abtain 5:00
60:24 70:21	94:8,9 96:5	89:16		obtain 5:22,
83:5	98:10			23 91:8,21
30.0		noted 21:9	numbers	
	_	27:9,17 30:11	61:17,19	obtained
	nine-	31:8 72:22		25:15,18
2 59:17	centimeter	75:2	nurse 50:1	<u> </u>
	48:24 72:2,7	, 0.2		abulana 77:0
				obvious 77:8

Index: occur..outside

			Index: c	occuroutside
occur 22:17 71:20 94:12	7 66:16 68:10,13,18,	one 9:4 10:11 13:12 17:6	operating 88:15 91:14	100:9,10
98:8	24 69:3,13 72:14 73:24 74:8,13 76:4	21:18 35:5 36:22 37:5,7, 12,14 41:11	operation 59:1	ordering 20:21 37:18
occurred 70:4 75:1 80:9 91:9	78:15,21 79:2,12,15 81:4 83:1,9,	46:17 51:12 59:7,24 62:18 66:3 70:2,4	operations	ordinarily 92:7
occurs 88:2 98:7	13,16 84:1,10 85:12,15,20 86:11,23 87:4,8,12,17	71:15 72:8 73:19 74:17 75:13 82:7, 12,13 85:23	30:3 operative	original 7:4
off 49:19 53:24 102:7	89:2,5,10,15, 19 90:1,5,9, 18 92:4,13,17	88:13 95:9 97:19	14:12 48:19 58:3	originally 5:19 55:16
offer 34:15	99:13,18,22 100:15,17,22	one- centimeter	opine 32:4	otherwise 43:11 45:4
office 102:1	101:6,13,21 102:3,9	35:16 40:22	opinion 14:17,23	81:9,19 89:20 94:1
Officer 4:4,7, 22,24 5:8 6:3,	often 35:8 48:12,14	ones 62:4	30:20,21 31:2,19 47:3, 21 53:7	outcome 25:9
7,11,16,23 7:2,9,12 8:24	50:15 51:19 94:12 96:21	only 5:15 50:7 54:21 73:8 79:4	opportunity	outer 50:23
9:11,21 10:5 13:7 17:15,19 19:22 20:3	old 18:24 21:23 71:3,9	82:14,20 86:2 92:23 94:14 97:13	5:22 9:6 52:3 69:7	outset 5:18
32:20 33:6 37:10 38:18 39:7,24 42:8, 11,13 43:17,	ologist 77:20	open 29:23	opposed 42:14	outside 42:24 43:3 45:24 64:12 66:4,5
22 44:3,5,14 45:2,14 47:2 55:12,22	omentectomy 29:20	opening 7:10 9:1 90:22	order 8:3 19:11 20:8 26:6 37:1	76:20,24 77:1,3,5,7,12, 14 78:18
56:1,9,14,19 57:2 58:10, 14,19 60:14,	omentum 30:1	operated 29:14	62:4 64:14 101:1	80:3,7 81:17, 19,20,22 82:19 84:16, 22 85:5,10
20 61:12,16, 19 62:5,11, 20,23 63:4 64:18 65:1,4,	once 8:21 16:11 69:6 76:14	operates 77:12	ordered 20:15 36:17, 24 54:9,14 64:7 95:16	87:22,24 94:13,19 96:1 98:8 100:4
			l	

Index: over..perforation

		_		<u> </u>
over 53:21 63:11 87:10	papers 12:19, 20,22	21,22 91:15 93:1,7 94:7	11,17,23 54:3,9 56:22	peer-reviewed 46:24
100:24	20,22	96:24	57:11,13,17,	40.24
own 31:21 84:11	paracentesis 47:24 96:16	pathologist's 80:8	18,21 58:2 59:1,2,8,12, 16,21 60:5,7,	pelvis 19:20
04.11		00.0	11 63:6,15,17	pen 31:15
P	paragraph 18:6,9,20 23:16,21	pathology 14:13 42:22,	64:6,15 66:11 67:16,17,20 68:1,2 72:1,9,	people 46:19
p.m. 53:15 63:19 67:12	part 5:16 6:1 30:1,4 45:3	23 50:20 55:9 60:13,15,21 61:6,7,13 62:7,21 67:2	24 73:3,8,12, 13,15,17 74:16 77:15 80:18 91:6,9,	percent 30:23 33:24 34:4 46:21
pages 17:10, 17 19:17 28:8 60:24 61:8	97:18 partial 29:20	69:21,22 74:11 75:6 81:2 83:19 84:7,24 94:2,	20 93:21 94:22 95:4,8, 23 96:2,3,4,5, 6 98:16	Perfect 10:14
pain 8:2,5	particular 37:16	15	100:10	perforate 72:4,7
18:11,21 19:1 25:15 27:20, 21 31:23 32:2 35:20 36:1,4, 6,10,11,13 40:17,18	particularly 50:13 59:3 97:19	patient 7:24 8:2,5,10,15 15:16 18:17, 21,23 19:21 20:2 21:20,23	patient's 19:23 20:1 29:23 32:15 49:14 55:4 67:5,10 72:19	perforated 24:22 25:3,5 27:18
46:5,16 47:7 48:2,7 49:15, 17,22 50:3	pass 84:15,18	24:10,21 25:9,12,15, 20,23 26:1,8,	91:10,17,21 95:7 96:17,18	perforation 8:2,5,11 17:6 19:3,6 21:19,
52:9,16 53:11,12,16,	past 84:12	17,18 27:17, 19,20 29:5,15 30:4,21 31:2,	patients 37:12 46:1,2, 21 51:6 63:11	23 22:23 23:1,2,6 24:24 25:1,10
23 54:1 57:11,21,23 58:23 59:2,22	path 77:20	22,24 32:1,10 35:20 36:9 37:16 40:21	68:5 98:4	26:13 27:24 28:4 29:6
60:1,6 66:7 67:18,21 68:6	pathologist 48:22 70:9, 11,16 74:20	45:13 46:4,5, 6,13,15,20	Patricia 4:6	30:2 31:6 32:3,13 35:5, 22 36:3,5,7,
71:22,24 91:6,9,22 93:22 95:4,7,	75:3,11,18 77:6 81:7,8, 13,18,21,23	47:13,17,19, 24 48:3,6 49:2,12,14,20	pause 65:13	15 37:14,19 41:3,10,14,
8,10,21 96:8, 17,18,19,21 100:11	82:6,24 86:2, 6,9,14 88:13,	50:3,19 51:2, 4,8 52:9,15,	PCP 56:24	15,20,21 43:8 45:18 46:20 47:10,21,23
100.11		17 53:5,7,8,	peer 13:6,10	

Index: perforations..practice

48:13,24 51:11 55:5,9	peritoneum 93:23 94:20	piece 88:16, 18,19	88:17	37:19 41:13 101:15
60:12 62:16 63:3,5,21 66:24 67:1,23 68:4 70:3	peritonitis 51:1 63:17 76:9 97:6	pieces 73:8, 18	polypectomie s 54:16 82:16 86:3,16	post 18:12 19:2,6 22:14, 21 23:1,3,12
76:15,16 77:5,17 80:4, 5,13 81:8,9, 16 84:9,17,22 85:6,10 87:23	person 57:16 97:9	place 43:24 plausible	polypectomy 18:3,12 19:3, 7 22:14,21 23:1,3,12	35:23 40:10 59:18 71:16 76:17
85:6,10 87:23 88:1,3 91:6, 18 93:4,8 94:4,9,10,11 95:14,16,18	personally 35:4	53:12 plenty 65:23 81:2 83:20	35:23 48:11, 17 49:13 51:9 59:18 63:22 67:3 71:16	postcoagulati on 35:8 38:24 46:2 48:9 49:3 50:20,21
95:14,16,18 98:12,13,23 101:3	perspective 85:8,13 92:19	84:7 98:4,13, 15	76:17 82:14 86:5 94:19 95:19 96:14 97:21	51:8 53:17 54:12 63:22 64:13 67:18
perforations 35:1 93:12	phone 18:19 64:3	plus 34:11 81:3	polyps 16:2,5 59:5,9,14	68:3 76:6,13 93:6 94:16,22 95:12 96:14 97:4 101:4
perform 35:6 41:13,19 performed	physical 27:6 58:24 73:14 physician	point 5:13 9:7 18:22 21:9 25:2 67:15 68:19 72:9	60:2 62:18 69:24 79:11	postendosco py 50:1
7:23 8:8 15:11,19 20:13 29:18,	20:21 29:14 91:14,15	83:3 84:5 88:5	portal 21:5	postgraduate 47:9
19 34:16,19, 22 59:23 65:20 74:24 86:16,22	physicians 12:14 91:12	pointed 95:9 pointing 81:15	position 39:16	postoperative 28:15
perhaps 25:12	pick 34:7 53:22	polyp 15:23, 24 16:11,13,	possibilities 41:11	postpolypect omy 22:18 51:3
peritoneal 48:21 52:10	picked 34:13 pictures 63:24	18 17:1 35:15,16,18 40:22 48:10 50:7,8,10,17	possibility 48:13 91:5	potential 43:19,21 44:2
98:14,21	00.24	51:18,19 59:6	possible 5:22	practice 11:8,

Index: practicing..putting

			-	
9,10 91:1	7:14 27:14 43:14 69:4,9 79:7,16 83:4	21:12 58:24 63:16	proceeding 6:20 39:20	14:5,15,18 32:22 47:1 91:4 102:6
practicing 11:12	87:22 90:23 100:2,13	probable 41:10	proceedings 7:6	provider
practitioner 13:22	presentation 65:12	probably 12:24 35:12	professional 14:16 29:9	25:24 27:7, 15,17
prefer 43:18	presented 8:13,20 40:17	46:16 49:7 52:8,9,18 53:5 54:23	31:19 professor	provider's 27:5
preliminary 4:14 5:9	73:20 91:23 92:14 101:7, 22	57:16 59:7,12 60:4 63:6,9, 17 93:14 95:4	12:15	proximal 50:8
preoperative 29:11	presenting	99:16	program 34:8,13,14	publish 12:20
prep 73:5,6, 19 80:22	65:12 68:19 presents	problem 46:19	prominent 50:14	published 12:23
preparation	41:24	problems 47:16	pronounce 28:24	pull 51:20
73:2,12	pressure 52:1 78:6,19 93:13	procedural 5:13 87:13	proof 69:6	puplish 12:18
prepared 5:22 12:2	pretty 90:3	procedure	proper 14:17	purposes 84:11
preponderanc e 8:13 91:24	previous 30:10 75:19	8:1 15:10 16:8,23 18:2 43:9 47:12 50:6 52:2	79:17 properly	purulent 62:15
prescribed 18:13 19:14 53:18 67:6,24	previously 22:3 32:11	53:18 57:18 58:12 59:11 93:14,15	55:11 propofol	put 10:6 39:4 41:17 72:4,8
prescription	primary 25:24	94:24 95:22	52:12	putting 71:21 72:6,10
53:20 present 4:9	print 101:19, 24	procedures 29:18,22 86:13	proven 60:12 provided 12:4	
produit T.O	prior 4:16		p. 6 11464 12.7	

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: quadrant..referred

	1	•	1	1
Q	quote 53:24	rather 19:8 47:18	received 7:6	records 15:16 26:5,15 31:21
	R		receiving	48:6 53:24
quadrant		read 18:9	27:21	55:10,11,24 56:3,11,15
8:10 21:4,15		21:2 75:11,17		61:3 95:10
22:19 26:12 32:9 36:1,2,	radiated	91:13	Recess 65:6	
11 48:3,7	36:14			recross 3:6
52:16 53:4		reading 17:21 67:9 91:13	recognize	42:15,17
72:19 95:6,10	radiates	96:9	8:1,8 26:11	
96:20	36:13		32:14 91:16	rectally 24:16
question	radiating	ready 7:10 89:23	recollection	redirect 3:5
13:8,10 24:1 27:11 33:3	36:11 48:4	09.23	18:5 23:16	38:17,20
39:15 42:7	radiograph	really 57:11	recommence	
60:23 64:23	8:4	81:14	65:14	refer 20:1,2
68:5 74:14 76:19 78:13,				26:8 37:12 39:19 47:1
23 80:17	radiologist	reason 15:16	recommendat	58:8 67:15
83:3,18 85:23	46:7 47:22	32:8,13 43:10 51:7 67:3	ion 101:11	71:15 91:20
	53:3 91:13	77:23 79:3		
questions	and Parks and		recommende	reference
15:3 32:19,21 38:23 42:5	radiology 32:10 61:1,6	reasonable	d 23:9 24:2	12:10
44:15,19,23	02.10 01.1,0	92:3,7	1.5.40	
45:3,4 65:2	raise 9:23		record 5:12 8:19 9:17	referenced 15:5
66:18 68:9	16:11 44:7	reasons	11:16,18	10.0
73:23 74:1 76:5 79:4	51:17	32:12 74:17 87:13	19:23 20:4	references
87:10,14 89:1		37.10	28:13 33:7 39:11,19	99:6,12
90:7,10	raised 16:12	rebuttal 3:6	40:1,2 44:17	101:19
		43:19,21 44:2	45:1 58:2,8,	
quickly 15:5	rare 25:12	69:1,2,10,12,	11 62:7,24	referencing
	40:13,15 41:16 97:10	15 89:3	65:8 84:11 92:14 102:7	61:14
quite 30:9			02.17 102.1	
47:6 59:17 79:21 94:12	rarely 16:22	recall 25:22 26:1,18 40:1	recorded	referred 16:15 45:13
98:2	35:10	58:3 67:4,8	4:12 39:18	58:2
		100:22	58:21	

				esponsibility
referring 60:17,18,21	release 24:4 43:18	79:11	69:22 71:23	5:21
61:2,3 reflect 71:14	relieve 96:17	render 8:20 92:14	reporter 4:13 7:3 9:19 20:5 90:19 92:5	research 12:18,20 99:10
reflex 66:8	rely 66:23	RENO 4:1	101:9	resection
refrain 20:1	remain 65:18	Renown 26:3, 16 32:11	reports 17:2 77:4	16:7,20 72:21 86:22 97:24
refresh 18:5 23:15	remained 84:18	45:13 47:18 57:15 58:21, 24 60:8,10	represent 6:17	reset 6:2
refused 46:8,	remaining 16:18	U I rank		residency 33:14,17 34:5,10,11
regard 85:24	remember 5:6 14:8 46:3 83:2 repetitive 84:4		represented 44:22 77:1	residents 33:23 34:20 39:23
regarding 13:18,23 14:2,18 15:3	remind 65:18 90:2	■ 70·2/ 87·17 ■ 21·11 ■		residual 73:7
Regardless 100:8	removal 17:1 36:10	report 15:10, 12 28:15,17	represents 8:17	respectively 94:18
regards 21:14 27:12 67:4	remove 16:2, 4,13,19 30:18	32:10 48:19 50:20 55:9 58:3 60:13,	request 58:8	respond 8:16
72:13 91:19 Regional 26:4	35:18 50:9 59:10 60:4 97:21	15,17,21 61:1,6,7,13 62:7,21 67:2	requested 41:12	Respondent 7:16 65:10
reiterate 99:19	removed 30:1,2 48:10 50:18 86:19	69:21,22 70:10,22 73:6 74:12,20 80:21 81:2	required 34:1 91:18	response 22:5 23:9 24:2 67:5
related 38:23	88:17	83:19 84:24 91:13 94:2,3	requirements 6:19	responsibility 6:18
relation 40:3	removing	reported	rescheduled	

Index: rest..serve

rest 39:22 97:13	room 32:1 46:5 47:13 50:1 53:21	82:21 87:10 95:13 99:20	scale 49:17	92:24 94:14 95:17 98:2,4
restate 23:24 45:10	54:3,6,8,15 56:22 57:13 60:9 64:5,7	San 51:13	scan 8:8 19:20 20:10, 16 27:19 46:6	sees 76:24 77:12
rests 43:13 result 83:7	66:6 rough 12:22	saw 30:9 47:13 56:21 57:13 75:18, 22 77:2,3,4,	54:9,10,14 63:19 64:7,9, 12,14 66:8 80:5 93:1	selected 34:14
review 14:2,6,	rule 33:19 39:12 92:20	15 81:13 94:4 say 6:24 9:2,8	95:16 101:1,5 school 10:24	self- representatio n 6:21
15 15:6 17:13 18:22 22:7 23:19,21 26:24 28:10	ruler 31:9	13:4 30:23 31:17 33:7 34:22 35:11	scope 65:23 95:17	send 96:2
39:20	ruling 39:17	36:24 38:5 39:9 55:10 60:20 61:12	scored 33:24 34:4	sense 76:23
reviewed 22:6 26:5	S	62:15 65:19 66:1,4,13		sent 37:16
reviewer 13:6,11	S-H-I-H 9:18 10:15	67:7 68:17,23 79:9,16 83:5 86:24 101:1	screening 36:23 37:6,8 38:12	separate 16:17 85:23
reviewing	said 37:1,7,23	saying 23:11 80:6 81:7	sealed 52:18	sepsis 22:1
31:20 Rex 50:15	38:1,6 40:10 46:14,20 50:2 51:23 57:16 63:7 69:4	84:14,19 85:2 90:13 100:23	second 50:17 75:24	serosa 30:10, 11 31:4,5 42:24 43:2
risk 17:3 21:22 41:3, 13,16	73:11 74:6 75:2 81:18,21 83:10 93:18 100:5	says 12:12 17:2 18:3 24:3 33:4,10, 13 39:9 51:15	section 27:8, 16 61:7 62:14	50:23 51:20 76:9 77:2 78:8 87:22 94:20 98:2
risks 16:24 17:8	saline 51:18	58:11,19 61:21 63:2 66:11 72:1	sedation 52:13,15	serosal 42:20 74:19 75:3,10
Robert 28:23	same 9:8,9 30:6 45:11 46:11 66:14	74:21 75:20, 23,24 78:2 80:21 81:9	seen 21:17 40:10 46:2 54:15 64:6,12	80:2,7,11 91:12 97:5
	10.71 00.14			serve 5:17

Index: served..something

served 13:5, 10 59:13 service 28:16	13,17 90:20 91:2 93:23 99:1 100:6	52:23 53:2 60:3 64:8 95:2 101:5	10:20 11:14 42:14 73:11, 15	small 48:15 80:19 85:5,11 93:12 96:24 98:18
setting 29:9 32:13 37:19	Shih's 96:9 short 33:23	shows 54:12 66:7 84:17	single 7:18 35:12 96:12, 13	snare 16:12 41:6
82:11	34:2,3,14 94:23	side 42:20 44:24 45:6 54:18 63:8	sir 23:13 55:20 56:7	sneezing 17:20
Seventeen 61:10	should 13:22 19:5 25:5 28:4,5 31:21	68:15 74:19 75:3,10 78:8 80:2,7,10,11	58:5 69:17 site 18:3	so-called 55:7
several 36:21 46:2 67:19 74:16 87:5 100:4	46:13 53:7 57:18 59:15 62:17 66:12	81:3 83:21 95:5 97:5,8	48:11,17 51:9 63:23 67:3 71:16 82:14	soft 30:16
severe 19:1 31:23 68:6	72:12 85:16 92:20 100:9, 11	sign 6:6,9 significance	86:5 95:19 situation 9:9	solo 39:4,15, 16
Shih 3:3 9:16,	shoulder 36:12,14 48:4	42:19 76:11, 21	19:5 52:20 65:24 77:18 80:2 88:9	solution 16:10
18,22 10:1,6, 11,13 11:18 15:2,15 19:24 23:24 27:3 28:13 32:22	95:7 96:19,22 show 5:16 7:23 8:7 9:3 15:16 54:11	significant 50:3 54:1,24 57:14,17 59:9 60:5 77:4 87:3 98:22	96:8 Sixty 101:13	somebody 49:21 53:21 58:23 66:6 94:8 97:7
38:22 40:1 42:4 43:18,23 44:19 55:10 60:23 61:3,5, 10 67:4,8	62:5 84:24 showed 25:16 46:6	signs 50:2 88:4,6	size 31:15 skill 92:7	someone 90:12
69:11,17 73:23 74:1,13 76:10 78:22	62:16 69:24 73:13	similar 23:2 51:3 63:8 92:8 96:8	sleep 53:24 slept 57:12	something 24:4 28:3 31:14 39:9
79:8,17 81:5 83:4,10 84:4, 14 85:7 87:14,21	showing 8:9, 22 84:21 98:21 102:13	97:6 simple 81:1	slight 85:1	40:16 48:13, 16 49:21 56:2 68:22 72:11
88:24 89:12,	shown 47:21	since 7:5,21	Slow 92:4	79:5 80:24 86:24 99:16

Index: sometime..suggested

100:16,24	38:3	spoken 98:22	statement 9:1 47:1 69:8	52:22	
sometime 53:14 67:12	specific 15:3 74:9	spot 48:15	81:21 90:22	stuff 98:16	
sometimes	specifically	stable 49:24 50:2	statements 7:11	subject 14:1	
54:12 79:21 98:1	27:12 31:14 91:19	staff 5:17	stating 19:22 53:15 80:8	subjective 49:18	
somewhat 41:7 66:2,5	specimen 42:22,23 70:1 77:13 84:8	stage 24:22	stay 43:18,20 44:1,4	submission 101:8	
soon 51:20 93:15	86:17 94:15	stand 80:4	stayed 64:15,	submit 68:15	
sooner	spell 9:16	standard 8:17 15:1 32:6	16 96:4	submitted 69:8	
101:14,15	spelled 51:14		stays 93:15	00.0	
sorry 9:19 27:10,11	spent 65:20	standards 13:21 14:18	sticking 24:3 48:1 51:16	submucosa 51:22 97:15, 22	
28:23 40:6		stands 97:23		22	
57:24 71:6 89:7 92:6	spillage 48:20 49:1 85:18 98:14, 21 100:21	start 4:14	still 6:20 53:16 54:23	subspecialty 38:9	
sort 13:4,5 17:4 44:24 71:22	spilled 81:10	state 4:11 5:17 9:16 10:11,17	stipulated 4:18	such 17:6 19:4 21:18	
source 72:18,	spite 48:21	11:17 39:11 44:16 56:20 85:7,12 87:6	stop 72:6	32:8 36:22 80:15 82:11	
	splenic 19:4	91:3	story 44:24	suck 48:1 53:3	
speak 5:2,6 58:5	splitting 30:10,11	stated 34:2 40:22 45:10	straighten 56:13	sufficient	
specialties 11:3,5	31:4,5 spoke 100:6	49:15,24 59:1,8,21 67:9 81:7 93:11,21	Strike 13:9	84:20 suggested	
specialty		,	study 46:24		

Index: suggestion..tears

				,cscionccars
	1	1	I	
18:14 47:12	surface 50:23	surgical 25:8,	35:23 39:1	101:23
70:23 95:17		10,14,17	40:11 46:2	
		36:15 86:17	48:9 49:3	
	surgeon 29:2,	91:20	50:21,22 51:9	talking 23:11
suggestion	3 30:8 31:3	31.20	53:17 54:12	61:6 62:6
47:18	37:17 42:21			90:12 93:24
	43:1,8,10	surprisingly	63:22 67:18	
_	45:17,18	46:12	68:3 76:13,17	
suggestions	46:10,12		93:6 94:16	target 48:15
53:1	48:19 54:21		95:12,13	
		surrounding	96:15 101:4	tooob 10:10
	55:3 66:8,11	30:17		teach 12:13
sum 8:12	67:1 70:10,			71:3
91:23	11,13,15,22		syndromes	
	72:23 74:18	surveillance	35:9	teacher 12:12
	75:2,7,8	7:23 59:4		leacher 12.12
summarize	76:11,21,24			
11:23 20:23	77:9,15 78:1,		T	tear 31:6,7,17
	10 80:6 81:11	survivable		41:17,18
Cummery.		30:15		42:20,24
summary	82:20 85:17		take 6:18 7:3	•
21:1	86:5 88:18			43:1,2,6,7
	92:24 94:3	survived	12:24 31:3	45:17,19
superficial	95:23 98:11,	30:22 31:2	34:12 47:2,18	55:2,6 70:3,5,
45:23 55:7	15 100:21		55:11,24	7,10,14,16
78:6,10 80:9		suspected	57:3,6 60:11	72:2,7 74:6,9,
i '		•	64:18,20	16,19,21
82:23	surgeon's	28:2 41:20	65:4,14 77:20	75:1,3,5,8,9,
	14:12 30:20,		89:20 101:8	16,22 76:3,
supervision	21 77:11	suspicion		11,20 77:2,4,
34:20 39:23		47:10 95:15		5,8,10,12,14,
04.20 00.20	ourgoon o	47.10 30.10	taken 30:22	
	surgeons		40:21 60:8	16,23 78:3,4,
supplied 4:17	52:11 95:21	sworn 9:20	84:24 88:18	8,9 80:2,6,11,
••		10:2,7 44:8,		16 81:19,22,
	surgery 29:5	11]	24 82:4,5,11,
support	30:22 31:3		takes 77:13	19 86:6,10,12
92:11				87:3 91:12
	37:12 43:10	symptoms	taking 70:40	92:23 93:3,24
	46:1,14,22	55:4 95:13	taking 79:10	94:3,5,8
suppose	51:7 53:8			98:10 100:5,7
16:18	60:11 63:12,		talk 62:6	55.75 .55.5,7
	16 66:8	syndrome	102:10	
supposed	74:10,24	18:13 19:3,7	102.10	tears 45:23,
37:22 38:1	94:15 95:22	22:15,21		24 78:10,18
	96:2,4	23:1,4,12	talked 49:24	82:22 93:24
59:3	,			

Index: telephone..transcript

telephone 18:14	59:7 76:18 80:18 84:21	thinner 97:21	tie 45:1	together 48:23 88:16
1-II- 10 0 70 7	86:16	thinness 49:9	time 10:12	99:12
tells 43:2 70:7	therapeutic		14:14 32:19 37:14 42:5	told 47:15,23
	30:5 51:13	thinnest	46:11,14	53:16,22
ten 32:1,2	65:21,22	97:18	49:23 52:7	57:17 64:5
49:15,18,20,	99:2,7		54:10 59:10,	67:20 68:16
22 54:20	, , ,	third 18:6	15,20 60:7	
57:23 58:23	4h a manus 05:00		64:7 73:18	40 ols 40.04
59:24 72:1	therapy 65:22	thought 49:8	87:1 90:10,19	took 43:24
		66:5 67:17	93:19 94:14,	52:2 53:21,23 59:11 65:11
term 45:22	therefore	00.5 07.17	24 95:9	59.11 65.11
71:1,3,8,9,12,	34:3 41:3		96:11,12 99:9	
13 80:1	77:3 80:20,23	three 33:19	101:14 102:4,	total 40:7,9
		46:18 49:5	11	
testified 10:3	thickening	64:17 73:4,		totally 50:18
13:13,15,16	54:13 64:11	11,15,21	times 37:11	63:20 93:3
39:16 44:12		74:19 84:3,4 96:7 97:19	38:24 39:1	98:11
65:17 67:8	thickness	90.7 97.19	40:11 52:19	
	49:5		75:14 84:3,4	touchup
testify 9:2	10.0	through 4:17	87:5 100:4	16:18 35:19
44:7,8,21	40.4	5:15 12:9		50:16
83:3	thin 49:4	17:11,18	tiniest 98:23	
	63:11 97:12, 17	22:16 26:16 28:9 33:11,14		town 47:15
testifying	17	34:9 49:9	tissue 74:22	10WII 47.15
64:20 67:4		51:16 52:3	1155UC 74.22	
04.20 07.4	thing 16:17	57:12 61:20,		track 33:23
	36:16 40:17	24 65:23	tissues 30:16	34:2,3,14
testimony	80:9	69:6,19 70:19	75:21	
8:12 32:22		76:2,8 80:15		tract 38:13,14
39:17 73:16	things 12:9	84:8,15,18,20	today 4:8,12	
91:2,7,23 92:10,19	41:17 77:12	85:5 93:6,9,	6:2,15 8:13,	train 12:13,16
92.10,19	87:13 101:17	13 94:21	22 89:11	12.10,10
		95:17 96:9	90:21,23	[
textbooks	thinking	98:2,12,19,23	91:23 92:10,	training 13:20
13:2	49:11 66:5		14 101:8,10,	34:5
		Throughout	23 102:4,12,	
than 43:5	thinks 76:10	7:22	14	transcript
47:18 52:2				101:10

Index: transfer..utilizing

				.cr., ucririzing
transfer 14:11 25:19,	23:8 24:1	Uh-huh 38:2	39:22	17:5 20:17 24:15 48:9,16
22	true-and-	ulcerative	undertook	49:18 52:4,
	correct 11:20	99:5	86:13	12,14 55:14
transferred				71:10,12,17
26:2,17 27:18				80:1 92:2,7
28:1 47:17	try 5:5 35:17	ultimately	Unfortunately	93:19,20
20.1 47.17	44:24 66:22	5:24	63:23	
	101:19			used 16:6
transition		ultrasound	University	
21:8	4m din ar 04.40		University	36:21,22
	trying 84:13	53:4	11:1	50:11,17
_				51:18 52:11,
transmural	turn 11:15	under 21:1	unless 21:17	13,15,19 92:8
62:14 70:1,2,	12:10 15:4	22:13 34:2,20	63:12 67:21	
6,17,18,20	17:10,22	39:23 53:4	85:22	uses 50:16
74:21,22	·	65:18 74:20	05.22	uses 50.10
75:4,7,13,16,	19:16,17 22:2			
24 76:1,7,14	26:22 28:8	75:17,23,24	until 12:21	using 16:19
77:6,7,19	69:20 92:17	81:13 82:9	54:4 57:19	17:2 20:1
80:8 82:1,7,		88:21 92:8	77:13	72:10
10,15,23	Turning	101:8		. 20
86:2,4,9,15,	19:10			
19 94:17	10110	undergone	unusual 50:4	usual 42:15
13 34.17		8:16	66:2 78:7	
	two 33:20	0.10		usually 42:8
transport	34:10 46:17		upper 8:10	45:23,24 46:1
26:19	58:19 66:1,2	underneath	21:3,15 22:19	48:13 50:5
	73:8 74:18	33:13	26:12 32:9	
transucres	80:19			51:5 54:11
transverse		undoretend	35:24 36:2,11	55:7 78:8
21:7 54:19,22	tumin - 1 - 70 - 40	understand	48:3 52:16	79:22 95:21
	typical 70:12	6:17 37:3	53:3 72:19	97:8 101:15
treat 24:21		41:23 43:23	95:6 96:20	
25:5 30:4	typically	74:5,14,15		utilize 40:23
46:13	21:17 24:8	83:10 84:12	urgent 25:8	
	44:21 68:6	93:23 99:9	31:24 32:2	
	70:9	102:4	5 · 52.2	utilized 71:13
treated 25:13	7 0.0			
51:6 62:18		understandin	urgently	utilizing
98:3	U	g 4:15 85:2	25:18	31:21
		y 4.10 00.2		31.21
treatment	UCLA 12:15	understood	use 16:12	

v	19,21 33:5 96:9	58:5,13,22 60:18 61:1,5,	41:7,8 43:1 51:17 54:13	weaken 41:8
valve 70:2,4 75:14 82:8,13 88:14	vital 50:2 volume 32:9	15,18,22 62:1,10,13,22 63:2,5 64:24 65:3,9,11,16, 19 66:16,22	63:10 64:11 70:19 76:2,8 85:10 97:4, 12,16,17,18	weakened 22:16 41:7 WEDNESDAY
vast 51:6 101:2	vomiting 27:20	68:9,10,12, 17,21 70:22 72:22 73:5,24	want 41:20 44:17 45:7,15 47:14,16	4:1 weeks 46:4
Vegas 5:5 11:11	Von 3:5,6,9, 11 4:6,9,22,	74:5,11,23 75:6 76:5,6 78:17,24 79:9,13,19	51:21 61:13 62:11 65:16 68:14 69:20	50:14 Weill 11:1
venous 21:5	23 5:1,3,7,14, 18,19,21,23 6:1,3,5,9,12,	81:6 83:18 84:6,13 85:14,17	79:5,16 87:6 90:2,10,14 95:23 99:19	went 34:9 46:5 50:12
Ventura 20:19,20 25:24 47:13,	22 7:1,8,15, 16,20,23 8:1, 3,7,14,22,24	86:1,14 87:2, 6,11,16 88:14 89:22 90:7,	101:1 wanted 5:1,	96:6
14 91:14 93:1 versus 35:23	9:7 13:18 14:10,18,24 15:11,17,19 16:4,15 17:7	20,24 91:4,7, 16,19 92:1, 17,21 99:15, 19 100:5,16,	11 45:11 56:12,20 66:14 78:24 79:9 86:24	western 97:8 whatever
via 4:12 24:16	18:1,16 19:11 20:13,20 22:5,11 23:5,	20,24 101:12, 18,24 102:14	98:19 100:1	70:18 80:9 84:17 96:13
violated 90:24	8 24:1 25:19 26:6,8,11 31:22 32:4,	W	washed 73:5 water 73:17	Whenever 40:18
violation 7:19	20,23 33:2,9 37:11 38:2,8, 16,23 39:5,8,	wait 101:14	water-soluble 24:15	whether 14:16 58:8 66:10 70:10,
visceral 94:20	21 40:2,6,20 42:6,10,18 43:12,14	waited 54:4	way 43:3	13,17 72:13 76:10 85:11 90:24 100:9
viscus 27:19	44:6,10,18 45:9,16 47:5 55:16,19,21,	waits 101:16 walk 26:16	47:14 67:2 76:8 79:17 91:10 95:22 98:2 99:20	while 57:22 67:19
visit 14:11	23 56:4,8,10, 12,17,20 57:4,5,8,10	wall 22:16	98:2 99:20 ways 66:1,3	white 63:16,
vitae 11:16,				

Index: whole..yourself

18 95:1 97:2	9:14,15,18	100:11		
16 95.1 97.2	17:21 20:6	100.11		
whole 70:19	38:11 39:6,10	wrap 92:19		
76:2	40:4 43:16 44:1,4,23			
55.40	69:12 74:15	write 56:23,		
whom 55:18	75:10 81:6 83:8,12,15,24	24 62:8		
wife 18:7,10	85:9 86:8,21	written 13:1,4		
49:15 53:14,	89:7,14	57:15 99:8		
22 60:9 64:4 66:10 67:5,10	witnesses 5:4	. 70.0		
91:10 101:1	9:5	wrote 73:6 74:18 75:3,11		
		<u> </u>		
will 7:3,22 8:7,12,13,19	word 22:13 69:5	ΥΥ		
9:3,5,13 20:6				
39:18 41:8 42:13 64:23	work 50:16	year 34:7		
69:2,7,8 88:6	78:5 99:10			
90:8 100:18 101:14 102:9	worked 12:23	years 18:24 21:23 33:20		
		34:10 59:24		
withdraw 5:24	workup 45:13 66:9 95:24			
5.24	00.9 95.24	yesterday 58:20		
withdrew 6:5	world 51:13	00.20		
	97:8	yours 62:4		
within 30:16 46:17 53:9	world's 51:12			
94:23	WOIIG 3 01.12	yourself 6:18 23:22 34:23		
	worry 41:2	35:6 39:22		
without 21:9 25:10 45:12		44:15 56:16 81:15		
46:14 49:14,	worse 41:21	-		
19 50:3 51:7 58:15 95:24	worsen 24:24			
98:9				
	worsening			
witness 3:3,9				

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

MAR - 1 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Ian J. Cumings, J.D., Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

1. Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint, a physician licensed to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 12002). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on August 17, 2006.

A. Respondent's Treatment of Patient A

- 2. Patient A was an 80-year-old year-old male when he presented to the Respondent for medical care on June 20, 2018. Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect his privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.
- 3. Patient A presented to Respondent on June 20, 2018, for a surveillance colonoscopy due to a personal history of colon polyps.

1 of 5

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Victor M. Muro, M.D., Chairman, Ms. April Mastroluca, Weldon Havins, M.D., J.D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. During the procedure, Respondent discovered a number of flat cecal polyps and performed an endoscopic mucosal resection on a 1 cm flat cecal polyp, in addition to a second ascending colon polyp.
- 5. Patient A developed abdominal post-operative pain. Respondent informed Patient A that there was a risk of developing post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome as a result of the procedure and that he would feel better after he passed some gas. Patient A was then discharged.
- 6. Patient A's spouse contacted Respondent on the evening of June 20, 2018, when Patient A's abdominal pain had worsened (to a 10/10 on the pain scale). Respondent failed to order an immediate abdominal radiograph to rule out colon perforation, and only considered a diagnosis of post polypectomy coagulation necrosis syndrome and prescribed oxycontin for pain.
- 7. Patient A continued to suffer with severe pain in his abdomen and returned to the Emergency Room on the morning of June 21, 2018, whereupon Patient A underwent a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis, which showed a large amount of free air in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen.
- 8. Respondent viewed Patient A's CT scan on June 21, 2018, and failed to recognize that the large amount of free air in Patient A's abdomen indicated possible colon perforation which warranted immediate surgical evaluation.
- 9. Patient A was transferred by air ambulance to Renown Medical Center by his primary care provider due to the concerning findings on the CT scan, whereupon Patient A was taken for an exploratory laparotomy, right hemicolectomy, and partial omentectomy.
- 10. The surgical report from Renown Medical Center showed a dilated proximal colon of at least 10cm. There was splitting of the serosa for at least 9cm along the ascending colon and extensive air within the pericolonic tissue consistent with a perforated colon due to iatrogenic injury. Patient A spent eight (8) days in the hospital and was discharged on June 29, 2018.

111 26

27 111

28 111

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

COUNT I

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 11. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 13. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."
- 14. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances with respect to the treatment of Patient A by failing to order an immediate abdominal radiograph to exclude the possibility of colon perforation when Patient A complained of severe pain on June 20, 2018, after the colonoscopy that Respondent performed. Furthermore, Respondent committed malpractice by his failure to recognize and appreciate the gravity of free air in the right upper quadrant which suggested colon perforation and warranted immediate surgical evaluation.
- 15. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

28 | ///

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	5.	That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusion
of law	and o	order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this _____ day of March, 2022.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

IAN J. CUMINGS, J.D. Deputy General Counsel 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: <u>icumings@medboard.nv.gov</u>
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA) : ss. COUNTY OF CLARK)

Victor M. Muro, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this Lad day of March, 2022.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: M MUND ME

Chairman of the Investigative Committee

5 of 5

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

MAR 0 3 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS BV:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on April 26, 2021, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350252565828 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

the Complaint, Patient Designation and fingerprint package. The package was confirmed as delivered on March 2, 2022. See Exhibit 1.

DATED this 3rd day of March, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

1 of 1



March 3, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0252 5658 28.

Item Details

Status:

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

Status Date / Time:

March 2, 2022, 11:09 am

Location:

SPARKS, NV 89434

Postal Product: Extra Services:

First-Class Mail®

Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

ymy 40

Address of Recipient:

2395

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service[®] 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350252565828

Remove X

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 11:09 am on March 2, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

⊘ Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

March 2, 2022 at 11:09 am SPARKS, NV 89434

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates Return Receipt Electronic

Tracking History

^

March 2, 2022, 11:09 am

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room SPARKS, NV 89434

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 11:09 am on March 2, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

March 1, 2022, 10:52 pm Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

USPS Tracking Plus®	~
Product Information	~

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

Feedbac

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 FILED * * * * * 3 MAR 2 8 2022 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINE 5 Case No. 22-31575-1 6 In the Matter of Charges and Early Case Conference Date: April 7, 7 **Complaint Against** 2022 @ 11:30 a.m. 8 DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D., 9 Respondent. 10 11 ORDER SCHEDULING EARLY CASE CONFERENCE 12 TO: Ian Cumings, J.D. 13 Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 14 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 15 16 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 17 Sparks, NV 89434 18 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, in compliance with NRS 630.339(3), an Early Case 19 Conference will be conducted on April 7, 2022 beginning at the hour of 11:30 a.m. The Early 20 Case Conference will be held via conference call. The conference call number is 1-605-475-2200 21 and the access code is 8792457.1 22 23 ¹ NRS 630.339(3) provides as follows: 24 Within 20 days after the filing of the answer, the parties shall hold an early case conference at which the parties and the hearing officer appointed by the Board or a member of the Board must preside. At the early 25 case conference, the parties shall in good faith: 26 (a) Set the earliest possible hearing date agreeable to the parties and the hearing officer, panel of the Board or the Board, including the estimated duration of the hearing: 27 (b) Set dates: 28

1

The scheduled Early Case Conference shall be attended by the parties in person or by any party's legal counsel of record and will be conducted by the undersigned Hearing Officer to discuss and designate the dates for the Pre-Hearing Conference and Hearing and the other procedural matters established in NRS 630.339. The parties must also provide an estimate, to the nearest hour, of the time required for presentation of their respective cases.

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, in accordance with NAC 630.465,² each party shall provide the other party with a copy of the list of witnesses they intend to call to testify, including therewith, the qualifications of each witness so identified and a summary of the testimony of each witness. If a witness is not on the list of witnesses, that witness may subsequently not be allowed to testify at the Hearing unless good cause is shown for omitting the witness from said list.³ Likewise, all

² NAC 630.465 provides as follows:

⁽¹⁾ By which all documents must be exchanged;

⁽²⁾ By which all prehearing motions and responses thereto must be filed;

⁽³⁾ On which to hold the prehearing conference; and

⁽⁴⁾ For any other foreseeable actions that may facilitate the timely and fair conduct of the matter.

⁽c) Discuss or attempt to resolve all or any portion of the evidentiary or legal issues in the matter;

⁽d) Discuss the potential for settlement of the matter on terms agreeable to the parties; and

⁽e) Discuss and deliberate any other issues that may facilitate the timely and fair conduct of the matter.

^{1.} At least 30 days before a hearing but not earlier than 30 days after the date of service upon the physician or physician assistant of a formal complaint that has been filed with the Board pursuant to NRS 630.311, unless a different time is agreed to by the parties, the presiding member of the Board or panel of members of the Board or the hearing officer shall conduct a prehearing conference with the parties and their attorneys. All documents presented at the prehearing conference are not evidence, are not part of the record and may not be filed with the Board.

^{2.} Each party shall provide to every other party a copy of the list of proposed witnesses and their qualifications and a summary of the testimony of each proposed witness. A witness whose name does not appear on the list of proposed witnesses may not testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown.

^{3.} All evidence, except rebuttal evidence, which is not provided to each party at the prehearing conference may not be introduced or admitted at the hearing unless good cause is shown.

^{4.} Each party shall submit to the presiding member of the Board or panel or to the hearing officer conducting the conference each issue which has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation and an estimate, to the nearest hour, of the time required for presentation of its oral argument.

³ In identifying a patient as a witness the parties are cautioned to omit from any pleadings filed with undersigned Hearing Officer any addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, or other personal information regarding such

evidence, except rebuttal evidence, that is not provided to each party at the Pre-Hearing Conference may also not be introduced or admitted at the Hearing unless good cause is shown.

Counsel for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and the Respondent shall keep undersigned Hearing Officer advised of each issue which has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation, if any.

ACCORDINGLY, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the possible sanctions authorized by NRS 630.352, NAC 630.555, and NRS 622.400 upon a finding of guilt to one or more of the Counts raised in said Board Complaint include the following:

- A. Placement on probation for a specified period on any of the conditions specified in an order issued by the Board;
 - B. Administration of a public reprimand;
- C. Placement of a limitation on Respondent's practice, or exclusion of one or more specified branches of medicine from Respondent's practice;
- D. Suspension of Respondent's license for a specified period or until further order of the Board;
 - E. Revocation of Respondent's license to practice medicine;
- F. A requirement that Respondent participate in a program to correct alcohol or drug dependence or any other impairment;
 - G. A requirement that there be specified supervision of Respondent's practice;
 - H. A requirement that Respondent perform public service without compensation;
- I. A requirement that Respondent take a physical or mental examination, or an examination testing Respondent's competence;

individual and to confine their submissions in this regard to the name of the witness, the relevancy of any testimony sought to be elicited from that witness, and a summary of the anticipated testimony.

J. A requirement that Respondent fulfill certain training or educational requirements, or both, as specified by the Board;

- K. A fine not to exceed \$5,000.00;
- L. A requirement that the Respondent pay all costs incurred by the Board relating to this disciplinary proceeding, as more fully set forth in NRS 622.400.

DATED this 24th day of March 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER SCHEDULING EARLY CASE CONFERENCE addressed as follows: Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 9171 9690 0935 0252 5695 50 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434 DATED this 25th day of March Signature

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

t the Matter of Charges and Complain

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

MAR 3 1 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on March 28, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350252569550 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

the Order Scheduling Early Case Conference filed March 28, 2022. Delivery of the mailing was received on March 30, 2022 *See* Exhibit 1.

DATED this 30th day of March, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521



March 30, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0252 5695 50.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

Status Date / Time: March 30, 2022, 11:35 am

Location: SPARKS, NV 89434

Postal Product: First-Class Mail®
Extra Services: Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

3 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

TO:

1415

16 17

19 20

18

21 22

2324

25

2627

28

In the Matter of Charges and

Complaint Against

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

COTTEDUTING ODDET

SCHEDULING ORDER

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive

Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

On April 7, 2022, an Early Case Conference was conducted in this matter and held via conference call. Participating in the Early Case Conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC") and the undersigned Hearing Officer. Respondent did not appear although the IC represented that Respondent had been properly served with the Order Scheduling Early Case Conference, which was filed on March 28, 2022. In the absence of Respondent, relevant dates including, but not limited to, dates for the pre-hearing conference; the exchange of witnesses and documents; motion practice; and the hearing date were discussed and determined.

Accordingly, in compliance with NAC 630.465, a pre-hearing conference will be conducted on **May 5, 2022**, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time, and will be held via a conference call. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of

Case No. 22-31575-1

Hearing Date: June 21, 2022 @ 8:30 a.m.

FILED

APR 1 4 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

1

the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties shall participate in the conference call and the conference will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

By the pre-hearing conference, each party shall provide the other party with a copy of the list of witnesses he or she intends to call to testify, including the witness' qualifications as well as a brief summary of the witness' anticipated testimony. If a witness is not included in the list of witnesses, that witness may not be allowed to testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown. Likewise, all documentation sought to be relied upon at the formal hearing shall be exchanged. If at the formal hearing any party seeks to rely upon documentation not previously produced as ordered, such documentation will not be permitted unless good cause is shown.

Any and all pre-hearing motions shall be served and submitted to the undersigned hearing officer on or before **May 20, 2022**. Any oppositions or responses thereto shall be served and submitted to the undersigned hearing officer on or before **May 31, 2022**. Any and all replies shall be served and submitted to the below hearing officer on or before **June 7, 2022**.

The formal hearing in this matter is hereby scheduled for **June 21, 2022**, starting at 8:30 a.m. Respondent, counsel, and the undersigned hearing officer will attend the hearing at the Reno office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521. Following the hearing, the undersigned hearing officer will submit to the Board a synopsis of the testimony taken at the hearing and make a recommendation on the veracity of witnesses if there is conflicting evidence or if credibility of witnesses is a determining factor, and thereafter the Board will render its decision. NAC 630.470.

Unless stipulated to, permission for the remote appearance by any witness must be sought from and approved by the undersigned hearing officer, and any such request shall be in writing and submitted on or before 5:00 p.m. **June 7, 2022**.

Should the parties deem a status conference necessary at any juncture of the proceeding, they shall coordinate at least three proposed dates and times and may jointly email the undersigned hearing officer with the proposed dates and times and request a status conference and state the basis for the request.

Both parties shall keep the undersigned hearing officer apprised of each issue that has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation or any other change in the status of this case.

DATED this 13th day of April 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing SCHEDULING ORDER addressed as follows:

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

Signature

Legal Assistant

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Case No. 22-31575-1

| Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

APR 2 5 2022

Respondent.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on April 14, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350252569796 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

the Scheduling Order filed April 14, 2022, which package was confirmed delivered on April 18, 2022 See Exhibit 1.

DATED this 19th day of April, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521



April 19, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: **9171 9690 0935 0252 5697 96**.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

Status Date / Time:April 18, 2022, 4:35 pmLocation:SPARKS, NV 89434

Postal Product: First-Class Mail®
Extra Services: Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350252569796

Remove X

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 4:35 pm on April 18, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

⊘ Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

April 18, 2022 at 4:35 pm SPARKS, NV 89434

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Return Receipt Electronic	~
Tracking History	^

April 18, 2022, 4:35 pm

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

SPARKS, NV 89434

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 4:35 pm on April 18, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

April 16, 2022, 8:48 am

Delivery Attempted - No Access to Delivery Location

SPARKS, NV 89434

April 15, 2022, 6:31 pm
Departed USPS Regional Facility
RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

April 15, 2022 In Transit to Next Facility

April 14, 2022, 9:06 pm Arrived at USPS Regional Facility RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

April 14, 2022, 7:51 pm Accepted at USPS Origin Facility RENO, NV 89521

	GE .
USPS Tracking Plus®	× 5
Product Information	~

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JUN - 3 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against

Case No. 22-31575-1

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Hearing Date: TBD

Respondent.

ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING ORDER AND SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE

TO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ian Cumings, J.D.

Deputy General Counsel

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D.

c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq.

380 California Ave., Ste 3

Reno, NV 89509

This matter was set for a status conference on June 3, 2022. Participating in the status conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC"); Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. on behalf of Respondent; and the undersigned Hearing Officer.

According to Ms. Beggs, she has been unable to reach Respondent and Respondent has not been responsive to her attempts to communicate with him, rendering her unable to provide legal counsel and mandating that she withdraw. Ms. Beggs indicated that she would file to withdraw just after the status conference. For now, Ms. Beggs remains counsel of record and shall strive to provide a copy of this Order to Respondent.

In light of the forgoing, Mr. Cumings asked that the matter be stayed to allow him to address alternative means to potentially address the matter in lieu of proceeding with the upcoming evidentiary hearing currently scheduled for June 21, 2022. However, because the

matter remains pending and undersigned does not wish to stay the matter indefinitely, the request for a stay is DENIED and instead the Scheduling Order entered on April 13, 2022 is hereby vacated, and a status conference is hereby scheduled for June 21, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. At such time, Mr. Cumings will address how he intends to proceed with the matter should Respondent continue to fail to engage in the proceedings. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of the status conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties shall participate in the status conference, which will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

DATED this 3rd day of June 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING ORDER AND SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE addressed as follows: Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3 Reno, NV 89509 DATED this 6th day of Jone, 2022. Signature Mercedes Frentes Print Legal Assistant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS 2 OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 3 In the Matter of Charges and Complaint 4 5 Against: Case No: 22-31575-1 6 DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D. FILED 7 JUN 2 2 2022 8 Respondent. NEVADA STATE BOARD OF 9 10 NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL 11 COMES NOW, the undersigned counsel and hereby files this Notice that the undersigned 12 counsel is withdrawing from representation of Respondent Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. as 13 Dr. Feldmann has failed to have any communication with the undersigned since May 12, 2022 14 despite repeated attempts to contact Dr. Feldmann by phone, letter, and email. Further, the email 15 16 previously used for correspondence with Dr. Feldmann is no longer an active email address. 17 Through his failure to communicate with the undersigned, Dr. Feldmann has evidenced a desire to 18 not be represented by the undersigned counsel in this matter. 19 DATED this 20th day of June, 2022. 20 21 22 23 24 Nevada Bar No. 6248 25 26 27 28

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS FILED OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JUN 2 7 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

In the Matter of Charges and 6

Complaint Against

Hearing Date: August 24, 2022 @ 8:30

Case No. 22-31575-1

a.m.

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

TO: Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3

Reno, NV 89509

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

21 22

23

24

25

26

20

On June 21, 2022, a Status Conference was conducted in this matter and held via conference call. Participating in the Status Conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC") and the undersigned Hearing Officer. Respondent did not appear and has failed to participate in the proceedings save and except for briefly appearing through counsel Lyn Beggs for a prior status conference on June 3, 2022, at which time Ms. Beggs indicated she was unable to contact Respondent and would be withdrawing as a result. Ms. Beggs shall file a formal notice of

27 28 withdrawal with the Medical Board to be relieved from further representation of Respondent in this matter if it remains her intent to withdraw.

At this juncture, given Respondent's failure to participate in the proceedings, the IC has indicated that it will proceed to the evidentiary hearing in an effort to move the matter to conclusion. Accordingly, in compliance with NAC 630.465, a pre-hearing conference will be conducted on **July 19**, 2022, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time, and will be held via a conference call. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties shall participate in the conference call and the conference will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

By the pre-hearing conference, each party shall provide the other party with a copy of the list of witnesses he or she intends to call to testify, including the witness' qualifications as well as a brief summary of the witness' anticipated testimony. If a witness is not included in the list of witnesses, that witness may not be allowed to testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown. Likewise, all documentation sought to be relied upon at the formal hearing shall be exchanged. If at the formal hearing any party seeks to rely upon documentation not previously produced as ordered, such documentation will not be permitted unless good cause is shown. Motion scheduling will be addressed at the pre-hearing conference if motion practice is sought as will any requests for remote witness appearances.

The formal hearing in this matter is hereby scheduled for **August 24, 2022**, starting at 8:30 a.m. The hearing will take place at the Reno office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521. Following the hearing, the undersigned hearing officer will submit to the Board a synopsis of the testimony taken at the hearing and make a recommendation on the veracity of witnesses if there is conflicting evidence or if credibility of witnesses is a determining factor, and thereafter the Board will render its decision. NAC 630.470. Should Respondent fail to appear, the hearing will be addressed in accordance with NRS 622A.350 and NAC 630.470(2).

Should a party deem a status conference necessary at any juncture of the proceeding, such party shall propose at least three proposed dates and times and may email the undersigned hearing officer with the proposed dates and times and request a status conference and state the basis for the request. Should a status conference be deemed necessary upon the request, the other side will be noticed of the date and time. The IC shall keep the undersigned hearing officer apprised of each issue that has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation or any other change in the status of this case.

DATED this 21st day of June 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER addressed as follows: Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3 Reno, NV 89509 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434 day of Jun

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16171819

202122

2324

25262728

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against:

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

JUL - 6 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on June 27, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350254760672 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

the Amended Scheduling Order filed June 27, 2022, which package was confirmed delivered on June 29, 2022 *See* Exhibit 1.

DATED this 5th day of July, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521



July 5, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0254 7606 72.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Left with Individual

Status Date / Time: June 29, 2022, 3:03 pm

Location:RENO, NV 89523Postal Product:First-Class Mail®Extra Services:Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service[®] 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350254760672

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:03 pm on June 29, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

⊘ Delivered, Left with Individual

June 29, 2022 at 3:03 pm RENO, NV 89523 Feedbac

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Return Receipt Electronic	~
Tracking History	^

June 29, 2022, 3:03 pm Delivered, Left with Individual RENO, NV 89523

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:03 pm on June 29, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

June 29, 2022, 8:09 am Out for Delivery LOVELOCK, NV 89419 June 29, 2022, 7:58 am Arrived at Post Office RENO, NV 89523

June 28, 2022, 4:04 pm
Departed USPS Regional Facility
RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

June 27, 2022, 9:19 pm Arrived at USPS Regional Facility RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

June 27, 2022, 8:04 pm Accepted at USPS Origin Facility RENO, NV 89521

USPS Tracking Plus®	~	eedback
Product Information	~	

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

Rachakonda D. Prabhu, M.D. Board President



Edward O. Cousineau, J.D. Executive Director

October 16, 2018

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

RE: BME CASE #:
PATIENT: DOB:

Dear Dr. Von Feldmann:

We have received information and a complaint regarding your medical treatment of the above named patient. The complaint alleges you provided substandard medical care which may have had an adverse impact on the quality of care rendered to the above named patient.

It is alleged:

- 1. On June 20, 2018, the patient presented to you, at Mt. Grant General Hospital, for a routine colonoscopy.
- 2. The procedure went longer than expected and the patient awoke in severe pain.
- 3. The patient expressed to you that he was in pain and you told the patient he would be better in a while, and after he passed some gas. The patient had undergone colonoscopies in the past but had never experienced this type of pain before.
- 4. You then told the patient he would need to return for a follow up colonoscopy, as you needed different equipment.
- 5. After the patient returned home his pain continued. His wife called you and the patient was prescribed Oxycontin. The pain medication did not relieve the pain and the patient's discomfort worsened through the night.
- 6. The patient presented to the emergency room (ER) the next morning, June 21, 2018, and was seen by his primary care physician (PCP). You were also at the ER when the patient arrived and made an inappropriate comment towards the patient stating, "If you were a cow they would just stick a needle in and release the air."
- The patient's PCP decided to have the patient taken via care flight to Renown Regional Medical Center (Renown), in Reno, to determine what was causing the patient's pain.

- Telephone 775-688-2559 • Fax 775-688-2321 • www.medboard.nv.gov • nsbme@medboard.nv.gov •

(NSPO Rev. 6-18)





It is further alleged:

- 1. Once the patient arrived at Renown, he was immediately taken into surgery and a large tear was found in his colon.
- 2. One third of the patient's colon had to be removed during the surgery.
- 3. The patient spent nine days at Renown, recovering from the surgery.
- 4. Your care and treatment of the patient may have fallen below the standard of care.

According to these allegations, you may have violated the Nevada Medical Practice Act, Nevada Revised Statutes, Chapters 629 and 630, and Nevada Administrative Code, Chapters 629 and 630 (NMPA).

In order to determine whether or not there has been a violation of the NMPA, please provide a written response to the allegations noted above, as well as complete health care records for the aforesaid patient. Include copies of any imaging, x-ray or other films that were produced during treatment of this patient. Please include any further information you believe would be useful for the Board to make a determination in this matter. Please reply to this request within 21 calendar days.

Please return the health care records with the signed Custodian of Records Affidavit, enclosed herewith. If you are not a custodian of the patient records, please indicate where the health care records can be obtained.

The Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners investigates all information received concerning possible violations of the NMPA. We make no determination as to whether or not there has been a violation of the NMPA until a thorough investigation is completed. As a physician under investigation by the Board, you are required by the NMPA to provide the requested information, and your cooperation is not subject to the whistle-blower protections provided to physicians in NRS 630.364(3).

Please be advised that if the particular allegations referenced above did occur, and depending on the facts and circumstances, then you may have violated the NMPA, specifically including but not limited to: NRS 630.301 (4),(6),(8).

Respectfully,

Monica C. Gustafson, ØMBI

Senior Investigator

1	The Investigative	Committee of the Board of
2	Medical Examine	ers of the State of Nevada
3		* * * *
4		ORIGINAL
5	In the Matter of the Investigation of:	
6) Case No. 18-18180
7	Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D.)

License No. 12002

ORDER TO PRODUCE MEDICAL RECORDS

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada sends greetings to:

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

Pursuant to the authority of Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 630.311(1), the IC directs you to produce and deliver to the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, the materials as set forth in this Order:

- 1. Properly authenticated and complete copies of any and all medical and billing records of DOB: DOB: from June 1, 2018 through present date.
- 2. The <u>name</u> and <u>contact</u> information for any entity, facility, or person that you believe may possess the medical and billing records of DOB: DOB: from June 1, 2018 through present date.

Said records shall be provided to an investigator of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners within 21 days of service of this Order (Investigation Division, Attn. Monica C. Gustafson, Senior Investigator, Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521. Failure to comply and produce said records in the aforesaid manner may subject you to potential disciplinary action, to include a violation of NRS 630.3065(2)(a); further the Investigative Committee may seek administrative sanctions as set forth in NRS 630.352.





Additionally, compliance with this order is deemed compulsory and shall not be deemed to be cooperation subject to the protections provided to a physician pursuant to NRS 630.364(3). Dated this 16th day of October 2018. NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE Rachakonda Prabhu, M.D., Chairman Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners Investigative Committee

CERTIFICATE OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.

RECEIVED STATE OF NEVADA NOV 1 4 2018) ss. NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS COUNTY OF WASHUE NOW COMES LIFRISTY PASTRO (name of custodian of records), who after being first duly sworn, deposes and says: 1. That I am the MANAGER (position or title) of NO. NV GASTIDENTERCOLD GY (name of company or employer) and in my capacity as (position or title), I am a custodian of the records of MANAGER YOU FELDMANN (name of company or employer). 2. That IR VON FRAMIANN (name of company or employer) is licensed to do business as a 6KSTROENTEROLDGIGT in the State of Nevada. 3. That on the of day of the month of NOVEMBER of the year 2018. I received an order for health care records in connection with the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners Case No. , calling for the production of records pertaining to 4. That I have examined the original of those records and have made or caused to be made a true and exact copy of them and the reproduction attached hereto is true and complete. 5. That the original of those records was made at or near the time of the act, event, condition, opinion or diagnosis recited therein by or from information transmitted by a person with knowledge, in the course of a regularly conducted activity of DR VON FELDMANN (name of company or employer). Executed on: Signature of Custodian of Records SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this NOVEMBER 1344 day of ROSCOM GLENDA SENOR ROSCOM NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA

County of NASHDE, State of Nevada.

My commission expires: () 2-08-2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Rachakonda D. Prabhu, M.D. Board President



Edward O. Cousineau, J.D. Executive Director

October 16, 2018

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

RE: BME CASE #: 18-18180 PATIENT: ; DOF

Dear Dr. Von Feldmann:

We have received information and a complaint regarding your medical treatment of the above named patient. The complaint alleges you provided substandard medical care which may have had an adverse impact on the quality of care rendered to the above named patient.

It is alleged:

- 1. On June 20, 2018, the patient presented to you, at Mt. Grant General Hospital, for a routine colonoscopy.
- 2. The procedure went longer than expected and the patient awoke in severe pain.
- 3. The patient expressed to you that he was in pain and you told the patient he would be better in a while, and after he passed some gas. The patient had undergone colonoscopies in the past but had never experienced this type of pain before.
- 4. You then told the patient he would need to return for a follow up colonoscopy, as you needed different equipment.
- 5. After the patient returned home his pain continued. His wife called you and the patient was prescribed Oxycontin. The pain medication did not relieve the pain and the patient's discomfort worsened through the night.
- 6. The patient presented to the emergency room (ER) the next morning, June 21, 2018, and was seen by his primary care physician (PCP). You were also at the ER when the patient arrived and made an inappropriate comment towards the patient stating, "If you were a cow they would just stick a needle in and release the air."
- 7. The patient's PCP decided to have the patient taken via care flight to Renown Regional Medical Center (Renown), in Reno, to determine what was causing the patient's pain.

A TAKE O UNITED T	TAKEN OUT OF CONTEX	T
-------------------	---------------------	---

	- Telephone 775-688-2559 • Fax 775-688-2321 • www.medboard.nv.gov • nsbme@medboard.nv.gov ————————————————————————————————————	
(NSPO Rev. 6-18)		L-35
-		

Dr. Dietrich von Feldmann

32345 E. Prater Way, Suite 304

Sparks, NV 89434

775-336-2777

F: 775-336-2803

RE:

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to you in response to your letter from October 16, 2018, regarding my treatment of patient

Mr. was referred to me in May 2018 by his primary care physician, Dr. Ventura, for a surveillance colonoscopy. I had seen this patient already 9 years ago and performed a colonoscopy at that time because of a history of colon polyps. That endoscopy report is not available.

I preformed a colonoscopy on Mr. June 20, 2018. The procedure was indeed prolonged because I found a very flat extended polyp in the proximal ascending colon/cecum area. I approached this polyp with EMR (endoscopic mucosal resection) after injecting it with "Elavue". Touch up work was done with the hot biopsy forceps. At the end of this polypectomy the site "looked good", there was no evidence of perforation. The endoscopy picture is not in the patients chart, I suppose it went to Renown with the patient's other records.

On the way out, I encountered numerous additional flat polyps in the ascending colon/hepatic flex area. I did not intend to remove them during this session because:

- 1. I wanted to make sure that there was no malignancy in the polyp which was removed.
- 2. The procedure had already taken a long time.





3. I wanted to discuss with the patient at the follow up office visit whether or not a partial colectomy would not be better for him, considering the number and shape of the remaining polyps.

I spoke with the patient and his wife after the procedure and explained what his colon looked like and what was done. He mentioned that he had some abdominal discomfort (not unusual after a long colonoscopy) but did not appear to be in severe distress (pain 10/10!) and he would not have been discharged by the nursing staff if that had been the case. I told him that he would have to remain on a clear liquid diet, at least until the next morning, as there was a risk of developing a "post polypectomy coagulation nercrosis syndrome."

He or his wife called the hospital later in the evening because of pain. In the assumption that he might have a "post polypectomy coagulation nercrosis syndrome," I prescribed some narcotics. My M.A. had a telephone conversation with them later on which suggested that the analgesics had helped.

We did not hear from the patient again before the next morning when he went to the emergency room (see ER MD's report which seems to be very important.) He apparently had hiccups during the night and right shoulder pain which he was able to "sleep off."

The CT scan showed a lot of free air under the diaphragm. The transverse colon was distended up to 6.3 centimeters, no mention of distention of the cecum or ascending colon.

According to the ER MD, he was in mild distress, afebrile and hemodynamically stable. He had <u>hyperactive</u> bowel sounds and no leukocytosis.

I saw the patient in the emergency room. He complained of upper abdominal pain. I believed that he had the pain because of the free air under his diaphragm and that he indeed had "post polypectomy coagulation nercrosis syndrome," which had allowed the air to escape through the weakened wall. (There was no perforation described in the operative and pathology reports. The serosal tear which was described was probably due to barotrauma from bowel distention and was not a perforation but also might have had something to do with the free air. The patient's or his wife's remark about my statement regarding "sticking a needle into his abdomen" was taken out of context! I had told them that I did not believe that there was a perforation. I suggested to them that he be taken to Banner Churchill Hospital in Fallon for a gastrografin enema (this procedure

is not available at Mt. Grant General Hospital) and if no perforation could be found that the radiologist should then stick a needle into his abdomen to let the air escape, to improve his discomfort. He could have then been treated for his "post polypectomy coagulation nercrosis syndrome" as an in-patient, with antibiotics and clear liquids.

Instead, Dr. Ventura, his PCP, preferred to transfer the patient to Renown, as he was planning to go out of town that evening. Before transfer, I wrote down for the wife, "gastrografin enema," for the doctors at Renown to consider, before deciding to proceed with laparotomy I do not know whether or not she gave that note to anyone at Renown. I only know that he went rather straight to the operating room (see operative and pathology report.)

Why he had to stay in the hospital for 9 days post-operatively, I do not know, maybe because of his age or comorbidities? The surgeon's first post-hospital follow up report indicates that, at that time the patient was doing rather well.

My final conclusion:

- 1. I still believe that he did not have a perforation but a significant "post polypectomy coagulation nercrosis syndrome"
- 2. That the free air was due to increased pressure in the colon and weakened wall
- 3. I believe that the hemicolectomy in his case was not a bad idea because of the additional numerous flat polyps of which the future removal could have again proved very difficult.
- 4. I tried my best to help this patient and I believe that it was unfortunate that they took my remark regarding "sticking a needle into his abdomen" out of context.

Sincerely,

Dietrich von Feldmann, M. D.

MEDICAL RECORDS

This exhibit contains personal medical information, records of a patient or other personal identifying information that is confidential and otherwise protected from disclosure to the public pursuant to NRS 622.310.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Rachakonda D. Prabhu, M.D. Board President



Edward O. Cousineau, J.D. Executive Director

RECEIVED

NOV 0 1 2018

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

October 16, 2018

Mt. Grant General Hospital ATTN: Health Care Records/ ROI & Radiology P.O. Box 1510 Hawthorne, NV 89415

RE: BME CASE #:

DOB:

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to Nevada law (Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 629.061), the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners requests copies of the health care records of the above named patient, to include copies of any X ray or other films, treated at your facility beginning June 1, 2018 to the present date. If the health care records and films are available to be provided on disk, that is preferred.

NRS 629.061 requires each provider of health care to make the health care records of a patient available for physical inspection and shall furnish a copy of the records to any authorized representative or investigator of a state licensing board during the course of any investigation authorized by law.

NRS 629.021 defines health care records as: "any reports, notes, orders, photographs, X-rays or other recorded data or information whether maintained in written, electronic or other form which is received or produced by a provider of health care, or any person employed by a provider of health care, or any person employed by a provider of health care, and contains information relating to the medical history, examination, diagnosis or treatment of the patient." 1

Please return the health care records with the signed Custodian of Records Affidavit, enclosed herewith.

The Board investigation files are confidential. The physician-patient confidentiality is protected by the Board and its staff as required by law.

Please forward the records to the Investigative Committee of the Board within 21 days.

If you have questions or we may be of assistance, please call me at (775)324-9373.

Respectfully

Senior Investigator

Telephone 775-688-2559 • Fax 775-688-2321 • www.medboard.nv.gov • nsbme@medboard.nv.gov



Mt. Grand Medical Building



P.O. Box 1510, 200 South A St. Hawthorne, Nevada 89415 Tel: 775-945-0709 • Fax: 775-945-0708

Rural Health Clinic October 31, 2018

Re:

To Whom It May Concern:

The above referenced has been my patient since 2009.

I have treated him for hypertension, mixed hyperlipidemia and a seizure disorder.

He is treated with oral medications for the above ailments.

Sincerely,

Juanchichos Ventura, M.D.

JV/pr

MEDICAL RECORDS

This exhibit contains personal medical information, records of a patient or other personal identifying information that is confidential and otherwise protected from disclosure to the public pursuant to NRS 622.310.

MEDICAL RECORDS

This exhibit contains personal medical information, records of a patient or other personal identifying information that is confidential and otherwise protected from disclosure to the public pursuant to NRS 622.310.

David Quan Shih, MD, PhD, FACP

CURRICULUM VITAE

Professional Contact Information:

Comprehensive Digestive Institute of Nevada 9260 W. Sunset Rd. Suite 306 Las Vegas, NV. 89148
Phone: 626-660-5846

Phone: 626-660-5846 Fax: 702-410-6670

Email: david10021@gmail.com

EDUCATION:

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA B.S., Biology, May 1994

The Rockefeller University, New York, NY Ph.D., Metabolic Disease, June 2002

Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY Doctor of Medicine, May 2003

Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, CA Internal Medicine Internship, July 2003 – June 2004

Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, CA Internal Medicine Residency, July 2004 – June 2005

UCLA, Los Angeles, CA CHS STAR GI Fellow, July 2005 - June 2009

LICENSURE:

California Medical Licensure A87012, granted 6/30/2004, expiration 5/31/2022 Navada Medical Licensure 16811, granted 11/23/2016, expiration 6/30/2021 Arizona Medical Licensure, 52987, 11/30/2016, expiration 5/19/2022 Nevada Pharmacy CS25604, expiration 10/31/2020

DFA

CA DEA # BS8909143, granted 2/1/2007, expiration 2/28/2022 NV DEA # FS6571358, expiration 02/28/2023

BOARD CERTIFICATION:

Internal Medicine, granted 8/25/10, expiration 12/31/20 Gastroenterology, granted 11/20/13, expiration 12/31/23

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Present Positions:

2017 - Director of Immunobiology: Comprehensive Digestive Institute of Nevada

2018 - Adjunct Faculty: Touro University Nevada

2019 - Medical Professional Reviewer: Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services

(CMS)

2019 - Gastroenterology Fellowship Faculty: Sunrise Health GME

2020 - 2020 - 2020 -	Expert Reviewer: California Division of Workers' Compensation IMR Partner: Comprehensive Digestive Institute of Nevada Medical Peer Reviewer: Nevada State Board
Consultation 2012 - 2016 2014 - 2016 2015 - 2016 2015 - 2016 2016 - 2016 - 2016 - 2017 2016 - 2017 2019 - 2020 - 2020 -	Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Inc Medimmune BARRY APC Litigation Firm: expert declaration Kenneth M Sigelman & Associates: expert declaration, deposition, expert testimony Daiichi Sankyo: expert declaration Slattery Petersen PLLC: expert declaration Synexus Research Consultant Cutter Law: expert declaration Redhill Speaker Bureau UCB Speaker Bureau
Previous Pos 2007 - 2009 2009 - 2010 2008 - 2017 2009 - 2017 2010 - 2017 2015 - 2017	Chief GI Fellow for UCLA/Cedars/VA GI program Post-Doctoral Fellow, F. Widjaja Foundation, Inflammatory Bowel and Immunobiology Research Institute. Nutrition Advisory Panelist: California Dry Plum Board Associate Director of Basic Research, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, CA Associate Professor in Residence, UCLA, CA Externship Director, Tzu Chi
PROFESSION Society Mem 1992 - 1994 - 1995 - 2003 - 2005 - 2010 - 2016 -	NAL ACTIVITIES: berships: MENSA (USA) Phi Beta Kappa Sigma Xi American College of Physicians American Gastroenterological Association MENSA (International) American Federation for Medical Research (Elected Member)
Committee S 2011 - 2017 2011 - 2017 2014 - 2016 2014 - 2017 2016 - 2017	Medical Advisory Committee Member: CCFA, Los Angeles Chapter. AGA Abstract Reviewer: Epithelial Cell Immune Function and Interactions with Immune cells and Microbial. Crohn's and Colitis Foundation: Grant Review Committee Crohn's and Colitis Foundation: Abstract Review Committee AGA Abstract Chair: Epithelial Cell Immune Function and Interactions with Immune cells and Microbial.
Community S 2009 - 2017 2009 - 2017 2009 - 2017 2016 - 2017	Services: Volunteer physician for Tzu-Chi Free Clinic Volunteer physician for Remote Area Medical Volunteer physician for Tzu-Chi Health Care Outreach Program Externship Director Tzu Chi Medical Foundation (Los Angeles Chapter).

Editorial Ser 2009 - 2017 2010 - 2017 2011 - 2017 2011 - 2017 2011 - 2017 2012 - 2017 2015 - 2017	Ad Hoc Reviewer, The Journal of Experimental Medicine Editorial Board Member, World Journal of Gastroenterology Review Editor, Frontiers in Gastrointestinal Sciences Ad Hoc Reviewer, Gastroenterology Ad Hoc Reviewer, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences Ad Hoc Reviewer, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Advisory Board and Reviewer: Gastroenterology		
CSMC PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES: Committee Service:			
2009 - 2017 2010 - 2017 2011 - 2017 2012 - 2017 2012 - 2014	CORE of Research Excellence: Member Research Division of Immunology: Member Educational Meeting in Gastroenterology: IBD Program Organizer Pharmacy & Therapeutics Committee: Member Research Website Committee: Member		
OTHER PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES: UCLA & OTHER AFFILIATED INSTITUTIONS			
2006 - 2009 2010 - 2015 2010 - 2015 2011 - 2017 2012 - 2017	Fellow Representative: UCLA Curriculum Action Committee GI Fellowship Selection Committee: UCLA GI Fellowship Program Research Oversight Committee Member: UCLA GI Fellowship Program Grant Reviewer: Seed Grant for UCLA Digestive Diseases Research Center Grant Reviewer: Broad Medical Research Foundation		
HONORS AND SPECIAL AWARDS (SELECTED):			
1992 - 1993 1994	MENSA scholarship. Graduated first in class, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.		
2004, 2005 2007 - 2009	American College of Physician National Research Winner. Annenberg Foundation GI Fellowship Award.		
2009	Malaniak Award for Excellence in Basic Science.		
2012 2012 -	Elected as Fellow in American College of Physicians. Inscription to Phi Beta Kappa Honor Roll.		
2012 - 2013	Vitals Top 10 Doctor in Gastroenterology Mucosal Immunology – ICMI 2013 Top Poster Award		
2015 - 2017	LA Magazine/Superdoctors.com – Los Angeles Rising Star		
2018 -	Las Vegas Review Journal – Best of Gastroenterology		
RESEARCH GRANTS AND FELLOWSHIPS RECEIVED: 1994 - 2003 National Institutes of Health Medical Scientist Training Program Grant			
1004 2000	Obtaining combined MD,PhD degree		
	PhD thesis project, Analysis of Transcriptional Factors in Metabolism Trainee Investigator. Covers medical and graduate tuition and \$25,000/year stipend.		
2005 - 2009	National Institute of Health GI Training Grant, T32 DK07180 Project, Analysis of an IBD Gene, TL1A, in Mucosal inflammation		
0000 0040	Trainee Investigator. 80% effort. \$53,252/year (tuition, travel, reagent, salary).		
2009 - 2010	Proctor and Gamble Investigator Initiated Research Grant Project, In vivo Analysis of an IBD Gene, TL1A, in Murine Colitis. Principal Investigator, 20% effort. \$21,580/year direct costs.		
2010 - 2015	Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Immunobiology Research Institute, Internal Grant		
	Role of TL1A in severity of IBD		

2010 -	- 2015	Principal Investigator, 20% effort, \$325,319.00/year direct costs. NIH PO1 DK046763
2010	2010	IBD: Genetic and Immunopathologic Mechanisms: Project 2, Immunopathologic mechanisms leading to an aggressive Crohn's disease immuno-phenotype.
0044	0010	Co-I. 10% effort, \$187,611/year direct costs.
2011 -	- 2012	Teva Investigator Initiated Research Grant Project Role of neutralizing TL1A antibodies in treating murine models of chronic colitis.
2011 -	2012	Principal Investigator, 5% effort, \$40,515/year direct costs. Clinical and Translational Science Institute (CTSI) research core laboratory service youcher
		The Roles of IBD Associated Genes ATG16L1 and TNFSF15 in Gut Mucosal Inflammation.
2013 -	-2014	Principal Investigator, \$6,500/year direct costs for CORE facility usage. Clinical and Translational Science Institute (CTSI) research core laboratory service voucher: V066
		The Role of TL1A/DR3 Signaling in Intestinal Inflammation and Autophagy. Principal Investigator, \$9,800/year direct costs for CORE facility usage.
2012 -	2015	Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America The Roles of IBD Associated Genes ATG16L1 and TNFSF15 in Bacteria Mediated Human Gut Mucosal Inflammation.
		Principal Investigator, \$25,000/year direct costs for supplies.
2012 -	2017	NIH K08 DK093578-01
		The Roles of IBD Associated Genes ATG16L1 and TNFSF15 in Murine Gut Mucosal Inflammation.
		Principal Investigator, 75% effort, \$139,750/year direct costs.
2013 -	- 2015	Norvo Nordisk 221834
0044	0040	Characterization of DR3 Knock-Out Mice Susceptibility to Induction of Colitis Principal Investigator, 5.5% effort, \$90,122/2 years direct costs.
2014 -	- 2019	NIH R01 DK056328-16 Rele of TI 14 in Squarity of Crohn's Disagra
		Role of TL1A in Severity of Crohn's Disease.
2017 -	2022	Co-investigator, 10% effort, \$250,000/year direct costs. NIH R01 Al095255-05A1
		Role of TRIF-Dependent TLR Signaling in Intestinal Mucosa Co Principal investigator, 25% effort, \$250,000/year direct costs (Pending funding, 5% tile score obtained)
		randing, on the soore obtained,
PATE	NTS:	
2003	US Ap	plication No. 14591 457701-0004: Method for the Inhibition of Adipogenesis.
2011		plication No. 14/273,213: TL1A Model of Inflammation, Fibrosis And
	Autoin	nmunity.
2013	Inhibit	ational Application PCT/US2014/032054: Mitigation and Reversal of Fibrosis by ion of TL1A Function and Related Signaling Pathways.
2013		plication No. 61/824,891: TL1A Cytokine Interaction Plays an Important Role to
2014	Intern	nine Severe IBD Phenotype and to Stratify Patients for Targeted Therapy. ational Application PCT/US2014/038333: Distinct Effects of IFN-Gamma and IL-17 IA Modulated Inflammation and Fibrosis.
Clinta	al Tairi	
2009 -	al Trial 2018	
ZUU9 -	2016	Takeda Development Center Americas A Phase Open Label Study of Ve Co Principal investigator, 1% effort, \$301,166.63/year direct costs
2016 -	2018	Gilead

Combined Phase III, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Studies Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Filgotinib in the Induction and Maintenance of Remission in Subjects with Moderately to Severely Active Crohn's Disease Co Principal investigator. 1% effort. \$200.000/year direct costs

2016 - 2018 Gilead

Combined Phase IIb/III, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Studies Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Filgotinib in the Induction and Maintenance of Remission in Subjects with Moderately to Severely Active Ulcerative Colitis Co Principal investigator, 1% effort, \$200,000/year direct costs

2012 - 2019 Pfizer

A3921139 OpenLabel Oral CP-690 Co Principal investigator, 1% effort, \$125,665.65/year direct costs

INVITED LECTURES AND PRESENTATIONS:

Invited Speaker. JDF/EASD Diabetes Workshop, 2000. "Differentiation of ES cells into insulin expressing cells *in vitro*" August 12-14, 2000, Keble College, Oxford, England.

Invited Speaker. American Diabetes Association Scientific Meeting, 2001. "Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1alpha is an essential regulator of bile acid and plasma cholesterol metabolism" June 22-26, Philadelphia, PA.

Invited Speaker. American College of Physician Meeting, 2005. "Using Embryonic Stem Cells to Generate Insulin Secreting Pancreatic Endocrine Cells" April 14, 2005, San Francisco, CA.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2008. "Induction of an IBD Gene, TL1A, in Antigen Presenting Cells" May 18, 2008, San Diego, CA.

Invited Speaker. Cedars Sinai Medical Center Immunology Retreat 2009. "Microbial Induction of an IBD Associated Gene, TL1A" June 15, 2009, Villa Graziadio, Pepperdine University, CA.

Invited Speaker. Grand Rounds, "Characterization of an Inflammatory Bowel Disease Gene TL1A" June 19, 2009, UCLA, CA.

Lecturer. Grand Rounds. "Role of Autophagy in the Induction of an Inflammatory Bowel Disease Gene TL1A" June 23, 2009, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, CA.

Invited Speaker. 1st Annual Broad Foundation Symposium: Recent Advances in IBD. "Microbial Induction of an IBD Gene, TL1A" September 15, 2009. Judith D. Tamkin Auditorium, UCLA, CA.

Moderator. 10th Annual Update in Gastroenterology Symposium – Moderator for Inflammatory Bowel Disease Case Discussion. October 9, 2009. Santa Monica, CA.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2010. "Constitutive *in vivo* Expression of TNFSF15 in Myeloid or Lymphoid Cells Induce Mild Small Bowel Inflammation in Mice" May 2, 2010. New Orleans, LA.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2010. "Severity of Cytomegalovirus Infection on Pathology Specimens is Associated with Colectomy Rates and Response to Antiviral Therapy in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients" May 5, 2010. New Orleans, LA.

Invited Speaker. Crohn's & Colitis Foundation of America 2010. "New Frontiers in Treatment and Research in IBD" October 23, 2010. Irvine, CA.

Invited Speaker. Crohn's & Colitis Foundation of America 2011. "New Frontiers in New Frontiers in IBD Research" April 16, 2011, Los Angeles, CA.

Lecturer. CSMC Immunology Research in Progress 2011. "Role of TL1A in Murine Models of Gut Inflammation and Fibrosis" January 11, 2011.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2011. "Clinical, Serologic, and Genetic Associations With Low Bone Density in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease" May 7, 2011, Chicago, IL.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2011. "Predicting Crohn's Disease Post-Operative Recurrence Using Clinical, Endoscopic, Serologic and Genetic Factors" May 10, 2011, Chicago, IL.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2011. "*In Vivo* Constitutive Expression of an IBD Associated Gene TNFSF15 Causes Severe Inflammation and Induces Fibrostenotic Disease in 2 Murine Models of Chronic Colitis" May 10, 2011, Chicago, IL.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2011. Moderator for Animal Models of Intestinal Inflammation Oral Session. May 10, 2011, Chicago, IL.

Visiting Professor. West Hills Medical Center, "Therapies for Inflammatory Bowel Disease" May 20, 2011. West Hills, CA.

Moderator. 11th Annual Educational Meeting in Gastroenterology Symposium – Moderator for Inflammatory Bowel Disease Case Discussion. March 18-19, 2011. Los Angeles, CA.

Visiting Professor. Northridge Hospital Medical Center, "Inflammatory Bowel Disease Therapies" November 18, 2011. Northridge, CA.

Lecturer. Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Grand Round, "Optimizing Thiopurine Therapy in IBD Management" January 17, 2012. Los Angeles, CA.

Moderator. 12th Annual Educational Meeting in Gastroenterology—Moderator for Inflammatory Bowel Disease. March 9-10, 2012. Los Angeles, CA.

Invited Expert. USC IBD Grand Round. April 17, 2012. Los Angeles, CA.

Moderator. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2012. Moderator for Innate immune function in IBD pathogenesis Oral Session. May 22, 2012, San Diego, CA.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2012. "Reversal of Murine Colitis and Fibrosis by Neutralizing TL1A Antibody: Potential Novel Therapy to Alter Natural History of Crohn's Disease" May 22, 2012, San Diego, CA.

Keynote Speaker. Tzu Chi Community Fair. "Colorectal Cancer" June 24, 2012. El Monte, Ca.

Invited Speaker. 6th Annual UC Irvine Healthcare Patient Education Conference. "New Therapies in IBD", October 13, 2012. Irvine, CA.

Lecturer. UCLA, "Thiopurine Therapies in IBD" October 19, 2012. Los Angeles, CA.

Invited Expert. USC IBD Grand Round. December 18, 2012. Los Angeles, CA.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2013. "Distinct effects of IFN γ and IL17A on TL1A modulated murine regional inflammation and fibrosis" May 19, 2013. Orlando, FL.

Visiting Professor. West Hills Medical Center, "Inflammatory Bowel disease Therapies" June 7, 2013. West Hills, CA.

Invited Expert. USC IBD Grand Round. June 18, 2013. Los Angeles, CA.

Keynote Speaker. Tzu Chi Community Fair. "H. pylori and Associated Medical Complications" July 28, 2013. El Monte, Ca.

Distinguished Abstract Plenary Invited Speaker. Digestive Disease Week (DDW) 2014. "TI1a modulates the differential effect of IL-17 blockade on mucosal inflammation" May 5, 2014. Chicago, IL.

Visiting Professor. St. Vincent Medical Center, "Inflammatory Bowel Disease Update" October 9, 2014. Los Angeles, CA.

Invited Speaker. Crohn's and Colitis Foundation. 2015 Patient & Family Education Conference. "IBD Research Update". October 25, 2015. Los Angeles, CA.

Panelist. Crohn's and Colitis Foundation, Lloyd Mayer Young Investigator Workshop, December 10, 2015. Orlando, FL.

Invited Expert. USC IBD Grand Round. December 21, 2015. Los Angeles, CA.

Keynote Speaker. Tzu Chi Community Fair. "*H. pylori* and Associated Medical Complications" April 24, 2016. Baldwin Park, Ca.

Invited Speaker. AGA Digestive Diseases Week (DDW) 2016. "Commensal Microflora Modulates TL1A Mediated Spontaneous Ileitis and TL1A Mediated Immune Changes" May 23, 2016. San Diego, CA.

Distinguished Abstract Plenary Invited Speaker. Digestive Disease Week (DDW) 2016. "Identification of a LncRNA Signature in Ulcerative Colitis: IFNG-AS1 Is a CD4+ T-Cell LncRNA Associated With IBD SNP Loci." May 21, 2016. San Diego, CA.

Visiting Professor. St. Vincent Medical Center, "Medications to treat inflammatory bowel disease" April 6, 2017. Los Angeles, CA.

TEACHING ACTIVITIES:

1999 - 2000	Instructor for the Gene Structure and Expression Course at Weill Medical College
1999 - 2001	Mentor for the Gateways to Laboratory program at Weill Medical College
2001 - 2002	Mentor for Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (Rockefeller University)
2009 - 2017	Instructor for Cedars-Sinai PhD candidates in Translational Medicine
2009 - 2017	Instructor for UCLA MS III CASE BASED SEMINARS
2009 - 2017	Lecturer for Cedars-Sinai GI Grand Round series
2012 - 2016	Lecturer for UCLA GI Fellowship Core Curriculum
2014 - 2017	Preceptor for Cedars-Sinai GI Fellowship Journal Club Series
2014 - 2017	Lecturer for Cedars-Sinai GI Fellowship Core Curriculum

2015 - 2017 Faculty lecturer for CME Symposium in Gastroenterology for Practicing Clinicians Exchange (PCE).
 2016 - 2017 Preceptor for UCLA MS II Clinical preceptorship program
 2018 - 2019 Preceptor for medical students, College of Medicine, Olveston, Monteserrat
 2018 - Preceptor for medical students, Touro University Nevada
 2018 - Lecturer for MountainView Hospital GME, Gastroenterology Series
 2019 - Faculty for GI Fellowship GME Consortium Sun Rise Health

RESEARCH PAPERS

A. Research Papers - Peer-Reviewed

- 1. Navas, M. A., E. J. Munoz-Elias, J. Kim, **D. Shih**, and M. Stoffel 1999. Functional characterization of the MODY1 gene mutations HNF4(R127W), HNF4(V255M), and HNF4(E276Q). *Diabetes*. **48**:1459-65. PMID: 10389854.
- 2. **D. Q. Shih**, M. A. Navas, S. Kuwajima, S. A. Duncan, and M. Stoffel 1999. Impaired glucose homeostasis and neonatal mortality in hepatocyte nuclear factor 3alphadeficient mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **96:**10152-7. PMID: 10468578.
- 3. Gerrish, K., M. Gannon, **D. Shih**, E. Henderson, M. Stoffel, C. V. Wright, and R. Stein 2000. Pancreatic beta cell-specific transcription of the pdx-1 gene. The role of conserved upstream control regions and their hepatic nuclear factor 3beta sites. *J Biol Chem.* **275**:3485-92. PMID: 10652343.
- 4. **D. Q. Shih**, H. M. Dansky, M. Fleisher, G. Assmann, S. S. Fajans, and M. Stoffel 2000. Genotype/phenotype relationships in HNF-4alpha/MODY1: haploinsufficiency is associated with reduced apolipoprotein (All), apolipoprotein (CIII), lipoprotein(a), and triglyceride levels. *Diabetes*. **49**:832-7. PMID: 10905494.
- 5. **D. Q. Shih**, S. Screenan, K. N. Munoz, L. Philipson, M. Pontoglio, M. Yaniv, K. S. Polonsky, and M. Stoffel 2001. Loss of HNF-1alpha function in mice leads to abnormal expression of genes involved in pancreatic islet development and metabolism. *Diabetes*. **50:**2472-80. PMID: 11679424.
- 6. **D. Q. Shih,** M. Bussen, E. Sehayek, M. Ananthanarayanan, B. L. Shneider, F. J. Suchy, S. Shefer, J. S. Bollileni, F. J. Gonzalez, J. L. Breslow, and M. Stoffel 2001. Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1a is an essential regulator of bile acid and plasma cholesterol metabolism. *Nat Genet.* **27:**375-82. PMID: 11279518.
- 7. Kulkarni, R. N., M. Holzenberger, **D. Q. Shih**, U. Ozcan, M. Stoffel, M. A. Magnuson, and C. R. Kahn 2002. beta-cell-specific deletion of the lgf1 receptor leads to hyperinsulinemia and glucose intolerance but does not alter beta-cell mass. *Nat Genet*. **31**:111-5. PMID: 11923875.
- 8. **D. Q. Shih**, M. Heimesaat, S. Kuwajima, R. Stein, C. V. Wright, and M. Stoffel 2002. Profound defects in pancreatic beta-cell function in mice with combined heterozygous mutations in Pdx-1, Hnf-1alpha, and Hnf-3beta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **99:**3818-23. PMID: 11904435.
- 9. P. Broulieu, C. Wolfrum, **D. Q. Shih**, T.A.Y. Shih, A. W. Wolkoff, and M. Stoffel. 2002. Decreased glibenclamide uptake in hepatocycte nuclear factor-1 alpha deficient mice: a mechanism for hypersensitivity to sulfonylurea therapy in patients with maturity-onset diabetes of the young, type 3 (MODY3) Diabetes. **51**:Suppl 3: S343-8. PMID: 12475773.
- C. Wolfrum, D.Q. Shih, S. Kuwajima, A. W. Norris, C. R. Kahn, and M. Stoffel. 2003. Role of Foxa-2 in adipocyte metabolism and differentiation. *J Clin Invest.* 112(3): 345-356. PMID: 12865419.
- S. Richter, D. Q. Shih, E. R. Pearson, C. Wolfrum, S. S. Fajans, A. T. Hattersley, M. Stoffel. 2003. Regulation of apolipoprotein M gene expression by MODY 3 gene hepatocyte nuclear factor-1 alpha: haploinsufficiency is associated with reduced serum apolipoprotein M levels. *Diabetes*. 52(12): 2989-95. PMID: 14633861.

- 12. R. N. Kulkarni, M. G. Roper, G. Dahlgren, **D. Q. Shih**, L. M. Kauri, M. Stoffel, R. T. Kennedy. 2004. Islet secretory defect in IRS-1 null mice is linked with reduced calcium signaling and expression of SERCA-2b and -3. *Diabetes*. **53**(6): 1517-25. PMID: 15161756.
- 13. **D. Q. Shih**, L. Y. Kwan, et. al. 2009. Induction of inflammatory bowel disease associated gene TL1A (TNFSF15) by microbes in antigen presenting cells. *European Journal of Immunology*. **39**(11): 3239-3250. PMID:15161756.
- H. W. Koon, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2010. Substance P modulates colitis-associated fibrosis. *American Journal of Pathology*. 177(5):2300-9. PMID: 20889569.
- P. B. McGovern, M. Jones, K. D. Taylor, K. Marciante, X. Yan, M. Dubinsky, A. Ippoliti, E. Vasiliauskas, D. Berel, C. Derkowski, D. Dutridge, International IBD Genetics Consortium, P. Fleshner, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2010. Fucosyltransferase-2 (Fut2) non-secretor status is associated with Crohn's disease. *Human Molecular Genetics*. 19(17):3468-76. PMID: 20570966.
- 16. **D. Q. Shih**, R. Barrett, et. al. 2011. Constitutive TL1A (TNFSF15) expression on lymphoid or myeloid cells leads to mild intestinal inflammation and fibrosis. *PLoS One*. **6**(1): 1-16. PMID: 21264313.
- 17. J. An, L. Zheng, S. Xie, Z. Dun, L. Hao, D. Yao, **D. Q. Shih,** X. Zhang. 2011. Down-regulation of focal adhesion kinase by short hairpin RNA increased apoptosis of rat hepatic stellate cells. *APMIS*. 119(6):319-29. PMID: 21569089. [PubMed in process].
- 18. L. Y. Kwan, S. R. Targan, and **D. Q. Shih**. 2011. Small bowel malignancies mimicking as IBD flares. *World J Gastroenterol*. **17**(19):2446-9. PMID: 21633646.
- T. Haritunians, M. R. Jones, D. P. B. McGovern, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2011. Variants in ZNF365 isoform D are associated with Crohn's Disease. Gut. 60(8):1060-7. PMID: 21257989.
- 20. H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. 2011. Cathelicidin Signaling via the Toll-Like Receptor Protects Against Colitis in Mice. *Gastroenterology*. **141**(5):1852-1863. PMID: 21762664.
- 21. H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. 2011. Substance P induces CCN1 expression via histone deacetylase activity in human colonic epithelial cells. *American Journal of Pathology*. **179**(5):2315-26. PMID: 21945803.
- O. Cohavy, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2011. CD161 defines effector T cells that express LIGHT and responds to TL1A-DR3 signaling. European Journal of Medical Microbiology and Immunology. 1:70-79. PMID: 22348196.
- 23. R. Barrett, X. Zhang, H. W. Koon, M. Vu, J. Y. Chang, N. Yeager, M. A. Nguyen, K. S. Michelsen, D. Berel, C. Pothoulakis, S. R. Targan, and **D. Q. Shih.** 2012. Constitutive TL1A Expression under Colitogenic Conditions Modulates the Severity and Location of Gut Mucosal Inflammation and Induces Fibrostenosis. *American Journal of Pathology*. **180**(2):636-49. PMID: 22138299.
- 24. B. Huang, G. Y. Melmed, **D. Q. Shih**. 2012. Facial ulceration in a patient with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. **142**(5):1071-258. PMID: 22440954.
- 25. H. Zhao, H. Zhang, H. Wu, H. Li, L. Liu, J. Guo, C. Li, **D. Q. Shih**, X. Zhang. 2012. Protective Role of 1,25(OH)2D3 in the Mucosal Injury and Epithelial Barrier Disruption in DSS-induced Acute Colitis. *BMC Gastroenterology*. **12**(1):57. PMID: 22647055.
- T. Hing, S. Ho, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2012. The antimicrobial peptide cathelicidin modulates Clostridium difficile – associated colitis and toxin A-mediated enteritis in mice. Gut. PMID: 22760006.
- 27. **D. Q. Shih**, M. Nguyen, et. al. 2012. Split-dose administration of 6MP/Azathioprine. An effective novel strategy for IBD patients with preferential 6MMP metabolism. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. **36**(5):449-58. PMID: 22784257.
- 28. L. Zheng, X. Chen, J. Guo, H. Sun, L. Liu, **D. Q. Shih**, X. Zhang. 2012. Differential expression of PTEN in hepatic tissue and hepatic stellate cells during rat liver fibrosis

- and its reversal. *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*. **30**(6):1424-30. PMID: 23041795.
- Q. Le, G. Melmed, M. Dubinsky, D. McGovern, E. A. Vasiliauskas, Z. Murrell, A. Ippoliti,
 D. Shih, M. Kaur, S. Targan, P. Fleshner. 2013. Surgical outcome of ileal pouch-anal anastomosis when used intentionally for well-defined Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. [Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 22467562.
- 30. L. Zheng, X. Zhang, J. Chen, R. Ichikawa, K. Wallace, C. Pothoulakis, H. W. Koon, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih.** 2013. Sustained TL1A (TNFSF15) expression on both lymphoid and myeloid cells leads to mild spontaneous intestinal inflammation and fibrosis. *European Journal of Medical Microbiology and Immunology*. **3**(1):11-20. PMID: 23638306.
- H. W. Koon, D. Q. Shih, T. C. Hing, J. H. Yoo, S. Ho, X. Chen, C. P. Kelly, S. R. Targan, C. Pothoulakis. 2013. Human monoclonal antibodies against Clostridium difficile toxin A and B inhibit inflammatory and histologic responses to toxins A and B in human colon and peripheral blood monocytes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 57(7):3214-23. PMID: 23629713.
- 32. B. Surti, B. Spiegel, A. Ippoliti, E. Vasiliauskas, P. Simpson, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. 2013. Assessing health status in inflammatory bowel disease using a novel single-item numeric rating scale. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease*. **58**(5):1313-21. PMID: 23250673.
- A. Weizman, B. Huang, D. Berel, S. R. Targan, M. Dubinsky, P. Fleshner, A. Ippliti, M. Kaur, D. Panikkath, NIDDK IBDGC, J. I. Rotter, E. Vasiliauskas, T. Harituians, D. Q. Shih, D. Li, G. Y. Melmed, D. P. McGovern. 2014. Clinical, serologic, and genetic profiles predict pyoderma gangrenosum and erythema nodosum in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease*. 20(3):525-33. PMID: 24487271.
- B. Halloran, J. Chang, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2014. Molecular patterns in human ulcerative colitis and correlation with response to infliximab. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease*. 20(12):2353-63. PMID: 25397893.
- 35. H. A. Horton, S. Dezfoli, D. Berel, J. Hirsch, A. Ippoliti, D. McGovern, M. Kaur, **D. Shih** et. al. 2014. Antibiotics for the Treatment of Clostridium difficile Infection in Hospitalized Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. **58**(9):5054-9. PMID: 24913174
- C. Lau, M. Dubinsky, G. Melmed, E. Vasiliauskas, D. Berel, D. McGovern, D. Shih, S. Targan, P. Fleshner. 2014. The Impact of Preoperative Serum Anti-TNFα Therapy Levels on Early Postoperative Outcomes in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Surgery. Ann Surg. 261(3):487-96. PMID: 24950263
- A. V. Weizman, B. Huang, S. Targan, M. Dubinsky, P. Fleshner, M. Kaur, A. Ippoliti, D. Panikkath, E. Vasiliauskas, D. Shih, D. P. McGovern, G. Y. Melmed. 2014. Pyoderma Gangrenosum among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Descriptive Cohort Study. J Cutan Med Surg. 18(5):361. PMID: 25277124
- 38. **D. Q. Shih,** L. Zheng, X. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Kanazawa, R. Ichikawa, K.L. Wallace, J. Chen, C. Pothoulakis, H. W. Koon, S. R. Targan SR. 2014. Inhibition of a novel fibrogenic factor TI1a reverses established colonic fibrosis. *Mucosal Immunology*. **7**(6):1492-503. PMID: 24850426.
- A. Sideri, D. Stavrakis, C. Bowe, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2015. Effects of obesity on severity of colitis and cytokine expression in mouse mesenteric fat. Potential role of adiponectin receptor 1. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 308(7):G591-604. PMID: 25591865.
- A. V. Weizman, B. Huang, S. Targan, M. Dubinsky, P. Fleshner, M. Kaur, A. Ippoliti, D. Panikkath, E. Vasiliauskas, D. Shih, D. P. McGovern, G. Y. Melmed. 2015. Pyoderma Gangrenosum among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Descriptive Cohort Study. J Cutan Med Surg. 19(2):125-31. PMID: 25775631.
- 41. S. Dezfoli, H. A. Horton, N. Thepyasuwan, D. Berel, S. R. Targan, E. A. Vasiliauskas, M. Dubinsky, **D. Q. Shih**, M. Kaur, D. P. McGovern, A. Ippoliti, E. J. Feldman, G. Y.

- Melmed. 2015. Combined Immunosuppression Impairs Immunogenicity to Tetanus and Pertussis Vaccination Among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 21(8):1754-60. PMID: 25985242.
- J. H. Yoo, S. Ho, D. H. Tran, M. Cheng, K. BAkirtzi, Y. Kubota, R. Ichikawa, B. Su, D. H. Tran, T. C. Hing, I. Chang, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. 2015. Anti-fibrogenic effects of the anti-microbial peptide cathelicidin in murine colitis-associated fibrosis. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 1(1):55-74.e1. PMID: 25729764.
- 43. H. Zhang, H. Wu, L. Liu, H. Li, **D. Q. Shih**, X. Zhang. 2015. 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 regulates the development of chronic colitis by modulating both T helper (Th)1 and Th17 activation. *APMIS*. **123**(6):490-501. PMID: 25907285.
- A. Sideri, K. Bakirtzi, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2015. Substance P mediates pro-inflammatory cytokine release form mesenteric adipocytes in Inflammatory Bowel Disease patients. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 1(4):420-432. PMID: 26543894
- 45. S. Ahmed, G. Melmed, D. McGovern, L. A. Robbins, D. Shih, et. al. 2016. Nonbloody Diarrhea but Not Significant Weight Loss at Diagnosis Is Associated with the Development of Denovo Crohn's Disease After Ileal Pouch-anal Anastomosis for Ulcerative Colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* PMID: 26595552.
- S. Taleban, D. Li, S. R. Targan, A. Ippoliti, S. R. Brant, J. H. Cho, R. H. Duerr, J. D. Rioux, M. S. Silverberg, E. A. Vasiliauskas, J. I. Rotter, T. Haritunians, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2016. Ocular Manifestations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Are Associated with Other Extra-intestinal Manifestations, Gender, and Genes Implicated in Other Immune-related Traits. J. Crohns Colitis. 10(1): 43-9. PMID 26449790.
- J. Ruiz, S. Kanagavelu, C. Flores, L. Romero, R. Riveron, D. Q. Shih, M. Fukata. 2016.
 Systemic Activation of TLR3-Dependent TRIF Signaling Confers Host Defense against Gram-Negative Bacteria in the Intestine. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 5:105. PMCID: PMC4710052.
- M. Sidhu-Varma, D. Q. Shih, S. R. Targan. 2016. Differential Levels of Tl1a Affect the Expansion and Function of Regulatory T Cells in Modulating Murine Colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 22(3):548-59. PMID: 26818423.
- 49. M. Kaur, D. Panikkath, X. Yan, Z. Liu, D. Berel, D. Li, E. A. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, M. Dubinsky, D. Q. Shih, et. al. Perianal Crohn's Disease is Associated with Distal Colonic Disease, Stricturing Disease Behavior, IBD-Associated Serologies and Genetic Variation in the JAK-STAT Pathway. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2016. 22(4):862-9. PMID: 26937622.
- D. H. Tran, D. H. Tran, S. A. Mattai, T. Sallam, C. Ortiz, E. C. Lee, L. Robbins, S. Ho, J. E. Lee, E. Fisseha, C. Shieh, A. Sideri, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2016. Cathelicidin suppresses lipid accumulation and hepatic steatosis by inhibition of the CD36 receptor. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 4(4):531-40. PMID: 27163748.
- D. M. Padua, S. Mahurkar-Joshi, I. K. Law, C. Polytarchou, J. P. Vu, J. R. Pisegna, D. Q. Shih, D. Iliopoulos, C. Pothoulakis. 2016. A long noncoding RNA signature for ulcerative colitis identifies IFNG-AS1 as an enhancer of inflammation. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* 311(3):G446-57.PMID: 27492330.
- S. Kanagavelu, C. Flores, S. Hagiwara, J. Ruiz, J. Hyun, E. E. Cho, F. Sun, L. Romero, D. Q. Shih, M. Fukata. 2016. TIR-Domain-Containing Adapter-Inducing Interferon-β (TRIF) Regulates CXCR5+ T helper Cells in the Intestine. *J Clin Cell Immunol.* 7(5). pii: 458. PMID: 27853628.
- L. Robbins, K. Zaghiyan, G. Melmed, E. Vasiliauskas, S. Ahmed, D. McGovern, S. Rabizadeh, N. Singh, C. Landers, A. Ippoliti, D. Shih, S. Targan, P. Fleshner. 2017. Outcomes with Anti-Tumour Necrosis Factor-Alpha Therapy and Serology in Patients with Denovo Crohn's Disease After Ileal Pouch Anal Anastomosis. J Crohns Colitis. 11(1):77-83. PMID: 27466172.
- M. Tschurtschenthaler, T. E. Adolph, J. W. Ashcroft, L. Niederreiter, R. Bharti, S. Saveljeva, J. Bhattacharyya, M. B. Flak, D.Q. Shih, et. al. 2017. Defective ATG16L1-

- mediated removal of IRE1 α drives Crohn's disease-like ileitis. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. **214**(2):401-422. PMID: 28082357.
- H. Zhang, L. Zheng, D. P. B. McGovern, A. M. Hamill, R. Ichikawa, Y. Kanazawa, J. Luu, K. Kumagai, M. Cilluffo, M. Fukata, S. R. Targan, D. M. Underhill, X. Zhang, D. Q. Shih. 2017. Myeloid ATG16L1 facilitates host-bacteria interactions in maintaining intestinal homeostasis. *Journal of Immunology*. 198(5):2133-2146. PMID: 28130498.
- 56. H. Zhang, L. Zheng, J. Chen, M. Fukata, R. Ichikawa, **D. Q. Shih**, X. Zhang. 2017. The protection role of Atg16l1 in CD11c+dendritic cells in murine colitis. *Immunobiology*. **\$0171-2985**(17)30055-4. PMID: 28390705.
- C. Xu, S. Ghali, J. Wang, D. Q. Shih, C. Ortiz, C. C. Mussatto, E. C. Lee, D. H. Tran, J. P. Jacobs, V. Lagishetty, P. Fleshner, L. Robbins, M. Vu, T. C. Hing, D. P. B. McGovern, H. W. Koon. 2017. CSA13 inhibits colitis-associated intestinal fibrosis via a formyl peptide receptor like-1 mediated HMG-CoA reductase pathway. Sci Rep. 7(1):16351. PMID: 29180648.
- S. M. Yoon, T. Haritunians, S. Chhina, Z. Liu, S. Yang, C. Landers, D. Li, B. D. Ye, D. Q. Shih, E. A. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, S. Rabizadeh, S. R. Targan, G. Y. Melmed, D. P. B. McGovern. 2017. Colonic Phenotypes Are Associated with Poorer Response to Anti-TNF Therapies in Patients with IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 23(8):1382-1393. PMID: 28590340.
- G.C. Niu, L. Liu, L. Zheng, H. Zhang, D. Q. Shih, X. Zhang. 2018. Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation improves chronic colitis-associated complications through inhibiting the activity of toll-like receptor-4 in mice. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 18(1):127. PMID: 30103680.
- P. Gu, A. Kapur, D. Li, T. Haritunians, E. Vasiliauskas, D. Q. Shih, S. R. Targan, B. M. Spiegel, D. P. McGovern, J. T. Black, G. Y. Melmed. 2018. Serological, genetic and clinical associations with increased health-care resource utilization in inflammatory bowel disease. J Dig Dis. 19(1):15-23. PMID: 29251413.
- 61. H. Li, J. Song, G. Niu, H. Zhang, J. Guo, **D. Q. Shih**, S. R. Targan, X. Zhang. 2018. TL1A blocking ameliorates intestinal fibrosis in the T cell transfer model of chronic colitis in mice. *Pathol Res Pract.* **214**(2):217-227. PMID: 29254800.
- 62. J. M. Hoffman, A. Sideri, J. J. Ruiz, D. Stavrakis, D. Q. Shih, J. R. Turner, C. Pothoulakis, I. Karaglannides. 2018. Mesenteric Adipose-derived Stromal Cells From Crohn's Disease Patients Induce Protective Effects in Colonic Epithelial Cells and Mice With Colitis. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 6(1):1-16. PMID: 29928668.
- 63. C. K. Lee, G. Y. Melmed, A. Mann, I. Danovitch, R. Hedrick, D. P. B. McGovern, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**, E. Vasiliauskas, W. W. IsHak, E. Feldman. 2018. A Multidisciplinary Approach to Biopsychosocial Care for Adults With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Pilot Study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. PMID: 29920581.
- N. Jacob, J. P. Jacobs, K. Kumagai, C. W. Y. Ha, Y. Kanazawa, V. Lagishetty, K. Altmayer, A. M. Hamill, A. Von Arx, R. B. Sartor, S. Devkota, J. Braun, K. S. Michelsen, S. R. Targan, D. Q. Shih. 2018. Inflammation-independent TL1A-mediated intestinal fibrosis is dependent on the gut microbiome. *Mucosal Immunol.* 11(5):1466-1476. PMID: 29988118.
- J.G. Castellanos, V. Woo, M. Viladomiu, G. Putzel, S. Lima, G. E. Diehl, A. R. Marderstein, J. Gandara, A. R. Perez, D. R. Withers, S. R. Targan, D. Q. Shih, et. al. Microbiota-Induced TNF-like Ligand 1A Drives Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cell-Mediated Barrier Protection and Intestinal T Cell Activation during Colitis. 2018. *Immunity*. 49(6):1077-1089. PMID: 30552020
- 66. M. Yang, W. Jia, D. Wang, F. Han, W. Niu, H. Zhang, D. Q. Shih, X. Zhang. 2019. Effects and Mechanism of Constitutive TL1A Expression on Intestinal Mucosal Barrier in DSS-Induced Colitis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 64(7):1844-1856. PMID: 30949903

- 67. J. Grootjans, N. Krupka, S. Hosomi, J. D. Matute, T. Hanley, S. Saveljeva, T. Gensollen, J. Heijmans, H. Li, J. P. Limenitakis, S. C. Ganal-Vonarburg, S. Suo, A. M. Luoma, Y. Shimodaira, J. Duan, D. Q. Shih, et. al. 2019. Epithelial endoplasmic reticulum stress orchestrates a protective IgA response. Science 363(6430):993-998. PMID: 30819965
- J. Wang, C. Ortiz, L. Fontenot, Y. Xie, W. Ho, S.A. Mattai, D. Q. Shih, H. W. Koon.
 2020. High Circulating Elafin Levels Are Associated With Crohn's Disease-Associated Intestinal Strictures. *PLoS One*. 2020 Apr 14;15(4):e0231796. PMID: 32287314

Chapters

- 1. **D. Q. Shih**, K. S. Michelsen, R. J. Barrett, E. Biener-Ramanujan, R. Gonsky, and S. R. Targan. 2011. Insights into TL1A and IBD Pathogenesis. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. Springer Publishing. 691:279-88. PMID: 21153332. [PubMed indexed for MEDLINE].
- 2. B. Huang, L. Y. Kwan, **D. Q. Shih.** 2011. Exraintestinal Manifestations of Ulcerative Colitis. *Ulcerative Colitis Epidemiology, Pathogenesis and Complications*. In Tech Publishing. 9: 131-172. ISBN 978-953-307-880-9.
- 3. Vora P, **D. Q. Shih**, McGovern DP, Targan SR. 2012 Current concepts on the immunopathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Frontiers in Bioscience (Elite Ed)*. 4:1451-77. PMID: 22201968.
- 4. T. C. Hing, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. 2012. Antimicrobial peptides in intestinal inflammation and infection. *Antimicrobial peptides: Properties, Functions and Role in Immune Response*. Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- K. L. Wallace, L. Zheng, Y. Kanazawa, D. Q. Shih. 2015. Immunopathology of inflammatory bowel disease. World Clinical Inflammatory Bowel Disease. First Edition. Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. ISBN 978-0-9914430-5-5.

Reviews

- D. Q. Shih, and M. Stoffel 2001. Dissecting the transcriptional network of pancreatic islets during development and differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 98:14189-91. PMID: 11734636
- D. Q. Shih, and M. Stoffel 2002. Molecular etiologies of MODY and other early-onset forms of diabetes. Current Diabetes Reports. 2:125-134, PMID: 12643132.
- 3. **D. Q. Shih** and S. R. Targan. 2008. The immunological basis of inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* **14**(3):390-400. PMID: 19006613. [PubMed indexed for MEDLINE]. PMID: 18200661.
- D. Q. Shih and L. Kwan. 2008. All Roads Lead to Rome: Update on ROME III Criteria and New Treatment Options. Gastroenterology Report. Winter;1(2):56-65. PMID: 21544252
- D. Q. Shih, S. R. Targan, D. McGovern. 2008. Recent advances in IBD Pathogenesis: Genetics and Immunobiology. *Current Gastroenterology Reports*. 10:568-575. PMID: 19006613.
- 6. **D. Q. Shih** and S. R. Targan. 2009. Insights into IBD Pathogenesis. *Current Gastroenterology Reports*. **11**:473-80. PMID: 19903423.
- M. Nguyen, K. Bradford, X. Zhang, and D. Q. Shih. 2011. Cytomegalovirus Reactivation in Ulcerative Colitis Patients. *Ulcers*. Article ID 282507. PMID: 21731826.
- 8. K. Bradford and **D. Q. Shih**. 2011. Optimizing 6-Mercaptopurine and Azathiopurine Therapy in the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. **17**(37):4166-73. PMID: 22072847.
- 9. B. L. Huang, S. Chandra, and **D. Q. Shih**. 2012. Skin manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease. *Frontiers in Physiology*. **3**(13):1-13. PMID: 22347192.
- 10. M. Vu, J. Chang, J. Chen, **D. Q. Shih**. 2012. Inflammatory Bowel Disease Associated Colorectal Neoplasia. *Journal of Gastrointestinal & Digestive System*. **58**:1-8.

- H. Zhang, D. Q. Shih, X. Zhang. 2013. Mechanisms underlying effects of 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 on the Th17 cells. 3(4):237-40. Eur J Microbiol Immunol. PMID: 24294492.
- 12. K. L. Wallace, L. Zheng, Y. Kanazawa, **D. Q. Shih**. 2014. Immunopathology of inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. **20**(1): 6-21. PMID: 24415853.
- 13. P. V. Khanna, **D. Q. Shih**, T. Haritunians, D. P. McGovern, S. Targan. 2014. Use of animal models in elucidating disease pathogenesis in IBD. *Semin Immunopathol.* **36**(5):541-51. PMID: 25212688.
- J. Amin, B. Huang, J. Yoon, D. Q. Shih. 2015. Update 2014: advances to optimize 6-mercaptopurine and azathioprine to reduce toxicity and improve efficacy in the management of IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 21(2):445-52. PMID: 25248004.
- N. Jacob, S. R. Targan, D. Q. Shih. 2016. Cytokine and anti-cytokine therapies in prevention or treatment of fibrosis in IBD. *United European Gastroenterology*. 4(4): 531-540. PMID: 27536363.
- M. K. Zheng, D. Q. Shih, G. C. Chen. 2017. Insights on the use of biosimilars in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol. 23(11):1932-1943. PMID: 28373759.
- 17. G. Syal, A. Kashani, **D. Q. Shih**. 2018. Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Primer for Internists. *Am J Med*. **131**(9):1017-1024. PMID: 29605414.

Papers in Preparation (Research Completed)

Abstracts:

- D. Q. Shih and M. Stoffel: "Genotype/phenotype relationships in HNF-4alpha/MODY1" 58th American Diabetes Association Scientific Meeting, 1999. President's Poster Session.
- 2. **D. Q. Shih** and M. Stoffel: "Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1alpha is an essential regulator of bile acid and plasma cholesterol metabolism" 60th American Diabetes Association Scientific Meeting, 2001. *Oral Presentation*.
- 3. **D. Q. Shih**: "A model system to study gene function in pancreatic islet development using embryonic stem cells" American College of Physician Meeting, 2003.
- 4. **D. Q. Shih** and M. Stoffel: "Regulation of Apolipoprotein M Gene expression by MODY3 Gene Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor-1a" American College of Physician Meeting, 2004. *Oral Presentation.*
- 5. **D.Q. Shih**, Kwan LY, Chang EY, Chang C, Saruta M, Elson CO, Targan SR. Mechanism of Induction of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Associated Gene TL1A (TNFSF15) By Microbes in Antigen Presenting Cells. *Gastroenterology* 2008;134 (4) Suppl 1: A-3. *Oral Presentation.*
- 6. **D. Q. Shih**, M. Nguyen, et. al. "Split-Dose Administration of 6 mercaptopurine/ Azathioprine: A Effective Novel Strategy for IBD Patients with Preferential 6MMP Metabolism" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136 (5) Suppl 1: A-W1206. *Poster of Distinction*.
- 7. **D. Q. Shih**, O. Cohavy, et. al. "CD161 Defines Effector T Cell Population that Express LIGHT, Respond to TL1A-DR3 Signaling, and Activates Antigen Presenting Cells" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136 (5) Suppl 1: A-248. *Poster Presentation.*
- 8. T. Haritunians, M.R. Jones, D.P. McGovern, P. Flashner, A. Ippoliti, M. Dubinsky, E. A. Vasiliauskas, G. Y. Melmed, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Variants in Znf365 on 10q21 are Associated with Crohn's Disease" digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-6. *Oral Presentation.*
- D. Cheng-Robles, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, M. Dubinsky, D. P. McGovern, A. Ippoliti, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Preoperative pANCA Expression Influences the Outcome of

- Ileal Pouch-Anastomosis in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Unclassified (IBDU)" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2010;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-69. Poster of Distinction.
- D. Cheng-Robles, D. P. McGovern, G. Y. Melmed, D. Berel, E. A. Vasiliauskas, M. Dubinsky, A. Ippoliti, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Genetic Markers Associated with the Development of Denovo Crohn's Disease After Ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2010;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-196. Poster of Distinction.
- D. Brelian, D. P. McGovern, M. Dubinsky, D. Berel, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Unique Predictors of Chronic Pouch Inflammation Among Ulcerative Colitis Patients With Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis (PSC) Undergoing Ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis (IPAA). Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2010;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-199-S-200. Oral Presentation.
- 12. H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Bacterial DNA Mediated Cathelicidin Secretion From Monocytes During Colonic Inflammation" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-267. *Poster of Distinction.*
- M. R. Jones, T. Haritunians, D. P. McGovern, M. Dubinsky, A. Ippoliti, E. A. Vasiliauskas, G. Y. Melmed, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Genome Wide Association Study in Ashkenazi Jewish Crohn's Disease Patients Reveals Novel Susceptibility Loci" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2010;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-675. Poster of Distinction.
- M. Nguyen, K. Bradford, B. Huang, T Haritunians, P. Fleshner, C. Landers, E. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, G. Melmed, M. Dubinsky, R. Gonsky, J. Rotter, S. R. Targan, D. P. B. McGovern, K. Taylor, and D. Q. Shih. "Genetic Predictors of Cytomegalovirus Infection in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-272. Poster Presentation.
- 15. N. Modiano, P. Fleshner, K. Taylor, M. Dubinsky, E. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Intestinal granulomas in Crohn's disease: association with patient characteristics, serologic markers, and genetics" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-484. *Poster Presentation.*
- J. Y. Chou, E. A. Vasiliauskas, E. J. Feldman, D. Berel, D. P. McGovern, A. Ippoliti, M. Dubinsky, S. R. Targan, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Immunosuppression Does Not Influence the Decay of Pneumoccal Antibodies 3 Years After Vaccination in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-426. Poster of Distinction.
- 17. Hing, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al."Cathelicidin modulates *Clostridium difficile* Toxin A-mediated enteritis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-634. *Poster of Distinction*.
- 18. H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Roles of Endogenous and Exogenous Cathelicidin During Colonic Inflammation" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-486. *Poster of Distinction.*
- X. Zhang, H. Zhao, H. Wu, H. Zhang, H. Li, L. Liu, D. Q. Shih. "Oral Administration of 1,25(OH)2d3 Protects Against Mucosal Injury and Epithelial Barrier Disruption in Acute Dextran Sulfate Sodium(DSS)-Induced Colitis in Mice" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2011;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-517-S-518. Poster Presentation.
- E. White, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, M. Dubinsky, A. Ippoliti, D. P. McGovern, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Does Preoperative Immunosuppression Influence Unplanned Hospital Readmission After Surgery in Patients With Crohn's Disease?" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-770. Poster Presentation.

- 21. Q. Le, G. Y. Melmed, Z. A. Murrell, E. A. Vasiliauskas, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Factors Associated With Surgical Outcome of Ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis When Intentionally Used in Crohn's Disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140 (5) Suppl 1: S-785. *Poster Presentation*.
- 22. X. Zhang, L. Zheng, J. An, J. Guo, J. Han, G. Niu, D. Q. Shih. "The Dynamic Change of PTEN Expression During Fibrogenesis and Reversal of Rat Liver Fibrosis Induced by CCl4 and Its Relation With the Activation and Proliferation of Hepatic Stellate Cells (HSC) In Vivo" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2011;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-981. Poster Presentation.
- 23. X. Zhang, J. An, L. Zheng, J. Guo, X. Liu, J. Han, **D. Q. Shih**. "Regulatory Effects of PTEN on Proliferation and Cell Cycle of Freshly Isolated Hepatic Stellate Cells and the Mechanisms Thereof" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2011;138 (5) Suppl 1: S-980. *Poster Presentation.*
- 24. R. Barrett, X. Zhang, N. Yeager, H. W. Koon, M. Vu, M. Nguyen, C. Pothoulakis, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**. "In Vivo Constitutive Expression of an IBD Associated Gene TNFSF15 Causes Severe Inflammation and Induces Fibrostenotic Disease in 2 Murine Models of Chronic Colitis" Immunology LA, 2011. *Poster Presentation*.
- 25. M. Vu, R. Barrett, X. Zhang, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**. "Proximal Migration of Colonic Inflammation with Relative Rectal Sparing and Intestinal Fibrostenosis in High TL1A Expressing CD Patients" UCLA-Geffen Solomon Scholars Resident Research Program, June 14, 2011. *Poster Presentation*.
- 26. B. L. Huang, J. K. Wegge, K. Shainsky, G. Melmed, A. F. Ippoliti, D. McGovern, E. Vasiliauskas, P. Fleshner, M. Dubinsky, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**. "Facial Pyoderma Gangrenosum in Crohn's Disease: Treatment Options and Outcomes" ACG Annual Scientific Meeting, 2011. *Poster Presentation*.
- 27. A. V. Weizman, B. L. Huang, D. Berel, S. R. Targan, M. Dubinsky, P. Fleshner, A. Ippoliti, J. I. Rotter, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Serologic and Genetic Profiles Suggest Distinct Immune Pathways Among Patients with Pyoderma Gangrenosum and Inflammatory Bowel Disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2012, Vol. 142, Issue 5, S-7. Oral Presentation.
- 28. B. L. Huang, A. V. Weizman, D. Berel, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Erythema Nodosum: Clinical, Serologic, and Genetic Associations" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-8. *Oral Presentation.*
- H.A. Horton, S. Dezfoli, D. Berel, J. Hirsch, S.R. Targan, A. Ippoliti, E.A. Vasiliauskas, D. P. McGovern, M. Kaur, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Patients with Ulcerative Colitis Hospitalized with Clostridium difficile Infection (CDI) Should Be Treated with Vancomycin Regardless of CDI Severity" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-123. Oral Presentation.
- 30. K. N. Zaghiyan, D. Berel, D. P. McGovern, M. Dubinsky, E. A. Vasiliauskas, G.Y. Melmed, A. Ippoliti, M. Kaur, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Validated Nomogram for Preoperative Prediction of Chronic Pouchitis and de Novo Crohn's Disease After ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-190. *Oral Presentation*.
- 31. T. Hing, S. Ho, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "The anti-microbial peptide cathelicidin modulates Clostridium difficile toxin A mediated inflammatory responses in mouse intestine and human primary monocytes" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-200. *Oral Presentation*.
- 32. S. S. Karsan, E.R. Cohen, S. R. Targan, A. Ippoliti, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Analysis of clinical and serological associations, and the clinical consequences of the development of Human Anti-Chimeric Antibodies (HACAs), and low serum Infliximab (IFX) levels in

- Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting, 2012.
- 33. M. Kaur, D. Berel, E. A. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "A Combination of Serum Albumin and Band Neutrophil Count is Predictive of Short-Term Colectomy Following Infliximab Treatment for Severe Steroid Refractory Ulcerative Colitis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-358. *Poster presentation.*
- 34. C. I. Williams, D. Karayev, T. Learch, S. R. Targan, C. J. Landers, J.I. Rotter, E.A. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, M. Kaur, G. Y. Melmed, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Clinical, serologic, and genetic associations among patients with both Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Ankylosing Spondylitis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-662. *Poster presentation*.
- 35. H. Li, G. Niu, L. Liu, H. Zhang, H. Wu, J. Guo, J. Song, X. Zhang, **D. Q. Shih**. "Mesenchymal Stem Cells Regulates the Development of cholangitis associated with Chronic Colitis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting, 2012.
- D. Gingold, D. P. McGovern, D. Li, T. Haritunians, G. Y. Melmed, M. Dubinsky, E. A. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, M. Kaur, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Prospective Evaluation of Genetic Determinants of Surgical Outcome after Ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis (IPAA)" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2012;142 (5) Suppl 1:S-876. Poster Presentation.
- H. W. Koon, J. Chen, R. Ichikawa, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Anti-inflammatory effects of antimicrobial peptide cathelicidin in mice with acute colitis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2012, Vol. 142, Issue 5, S-878.
- L. Liu, H. Li, H. Zhang, H. Wu, G. Niu, C. Li, X. Zhang, D. Q. Shih. "1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 Regulates the Development of Chronic Colitis By Modulating both T helper (TH)1 and TH17 Activation" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting, 2012.
- 39. D. Q. Shih, X. Zhang, H. W. Koon, et. al. "Reversal of Murine Colitis and Fibrosis by Neutralizing TL1A Antibody: Potential Novel Therapy to Alter Natural History of Crohn's Disease". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2012, Vol. 142, Issue 5, S-84. *Oral Presentation*.
- 40. D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Autophagy is Involved in Optimal Expression of TL1A (TNFSF15) by Microbes in Primary Human Monocytes" Advances in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: Crohn's & Colitis Foundation's Clinical and Research Conference, 2012. Poster Presentation.
- 41. T. Hing, H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Human monoclonal antibodies against Clostridium difficile Toxin A and B inhibit inflammatory responses and epithelial cell damage to Toxins A and B in human peripheral blood monoctyes and human colonic tissues" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144 (5) Suppl 1:S-32-S-33. *Oral Presentation*.
- 42. A. Sideri, K. Bakirtzi, R. Arsenescu, P. Fleshner, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Effects of substance P on pro and anti-inflammatory responses of human mesenteric preadipocytes isolated from IBD patients" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013. Vol. 144. Issue 5. S-100. *Oral Presentation*.
- 43. M. Kaur, D. Panikkath, D. Li, D. Berel, E. A. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, M. Dubinsky, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Perianal Crohn's disease is associated with distal colonic disease, IBD-related serologies and immune-related genetic variation" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144 (5) Suppl 1:S-104. *Oral Presentation*.
- 44. C. C. Lau, M. Dubinsky, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. P. McGovern, D. Berel, A. Ippoliti, Z. A. Murrell, A. Ippoliti, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Preoperative serum biologic levels do not impact postoperative outcomes in ulcerative colitis" Digestive Disease Weekly

- National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-189–S-190. *Oral Presentation*.
- 45. C. C. Lau, M. Dubinsky, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. P. McGovern, D. Berel, Z. A. Murrell, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Higher preoperative serum biologic levels are associated with postoperative complications in Crohn's disease patients" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-190. *Oral Presentation*.
- 46. C. C. Lau, M. Dubinsky, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. P. McGovern, D. Berel, A. Ippoliti, Z. A. Murrell, A. Ippoliti, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Influence of biologic agents on short-term postoperative complications in patients with Crohn's disease: A prospective, single-surgeon cohort study" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2013; 144 (5) Suppl 1:S-407. Oral Presentation.
- 47. H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Intestinal cathelicidin levels predict prognosis of ulcerative colitis patients" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-421. *Poster Presentation*.
- 48. H. Al-Jiboury, A. V. Weizman, D. Berel, M. Berns, M. Dubinsky, S. R. Targan, E. A. Vasiliauskas, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "complications and length of stay after cholecystectomy are increased among men with IBD" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-619. *Poster Presentation*.
- 49. A. N. Levy, J. M. Anderson, H. Horton, E. Sun, E. A. Vasiliauskas, M. Dubinsky, P. Fleshner, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Thromboembolic risk in hospitalized IBD patients is compounded by traditional risk factors and hypercoagulable states" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-615. *Poster Presentation*.
- S. Taleban, D. Li, S. R. Targan, A. Ippoliti, E. A. Vasiliauskas, J. I. Rotter, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Clinical, serologic, and genetic associations in patients with ocular manifestations in inflammatory bowel disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-631. Poster Presentation.
- 51. J. L. Yoon, B. L. Huang, S. S. Karsan, G. Y. Melmed, A. Ippoliti, M. Dubinsky, M. Kaur, M. Nguyen, P. Vora, P. Fleshner, D. P. McGovern, S. R. Targan, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. Q. Shih. "Potential synergism between anti-TNF and thiopurine therapy: increased thiopurine metabolites by anti-TNF" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-772-S-773. Poster Presentation.
- 52. S. S. Karsan, B. L. Huang, j. L. Yoon, M. Dubinsky, D. Berel, X. Yan, G. Y. Melmed, A. Ippoliti, X. Guo, K. D. Taylor, M. Kaur, J. I. Rotter, S. R. Targan, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. P. McGovern, D. Q. Shih. "Genetic and serologic associations with 6-MMP preferential metabolizers reveal novel pathways that may be involved in thiopurine metabolism" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-779–S-780. Poster Presentation.
- 53. X. Zhang, H. Li, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Constitutive TL1A expression modulates colonic fibrosis on TNBS-induced colitis in mice" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting, *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144 (5) Suppl 1:S-814. *Poster of Distinction*.
- 54. J. H. Yoo, S. Ho, M. Cheng, D. H. Tran, Y. Kubota, T. Hing, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Anti-fibrogenic roles of cathelicidin in chronic colitis associated colonic fibrosis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-816. *Poster Presentation.*
- 55. A. Sideri, K. Bakirtzi, R. Arsenescu, P. Fleshner, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Preadipocyte-specific effects on human colonocyte proinflammatory responses are obesity and IBD-dependent" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-820–S-821. *Poster Presentation*.
- L. Zheng, X. Zhang, R. Ichikawa, J. Chen, K. Wallace, C. Pothoulakis, H. W. Koon, S. R. Targan, D. Q. Shih. "Distinct Effects of IFN-γ and IL-17A on TL1A-Modulated Murine

- Regional Inflammation and Fibrosis". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2013, Vol. 144, Issue 5, S-132. *Oral Presentation*.
- 57. **D. Q. Shih**, L. Zheng, X. Zhang, et. al. "Reversal of murine colitis and fibrosis by neutralizing TL1A antibody: potential novel therapy to alter natural history of Crohn's disease" The 16th International Congress of Mucosal Immunology, 2013. *Poster Presentation.*
- K. Wallace, L. Zheng, Y. Kanazawa, J. Chen, R. Ichikawa, S. R. Targan, D. Q. Shih. "The effects of IFNγ, IL17A, and IL13 on Tl1a induced murine gut inflammation" Immunology LA, 2013. *Oral Presentation.*
- A. Sideri, D. Q. Shih, P. Fleshner, R. Arsenescu, J. R. Turner, C. Pothoulakis, I. Karagiannidis. "IBD-Associated Effects of Fat-Derived Mediators in the Regulation of Adiponectin Receptor 1 (AdipoR1) in Human Colonocytes" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2014, Vol. 146, Issue 5, S-823. Poster Presentation.
- 60. T. Haritunians, D. Li, X. Yan, E. Mengesha, S. S. Rich, S. Onengut-Gumuscu, W. Chen, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Genetic Variation Associated Medically Refractory Ulcerative Colitis Requiring Colectomy". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2014, Vol. 146, Issue 5, S-36. Oral Presentation.
- S. Ho, M. Cheng, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Intestinal Cathelicidin Level Indicates Inflammatory Bowel Disease Activity and Mediates Anti-Inflammatory Effects in Colitis". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2014, Vol. 146, Issue 5, S-426. Poster Presentation.
- 62. B. P. Halloran, J. J. Chang, D. P. McGovern, S. Targan, A. Thiesen, **D. Q. Shih**, R. N. Fedorak, P. F. Halloran. "Microarray Analysis of Ulcerative Colitis and Correlation With Conventional Clinical and Histologic Features". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2014, Vol. 146, Issue 5, S-285. *Poster Presentation*.
- 63. K. L. Wallace, L. Zheng, Y. Kanazawa, H. Zhang, R. Ichikawa, J. Chen, M. Sidhu, X. Zhang, **D. Q. Shih**. "TL1A Modulates the Differential Effect of IL-17 Blockade on Mucosal Inflammation". Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2014, Vol. 146, Issue 5, S-133. *Plenary Talk*.
- 64. K. N. Zaghiyan, G. Y. Melmed, M. Dubinsky, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. P. McGovern, A. Ippoliti, D. Q. Shih, et. al. "Medical Prophylaxis After Ileocolic Resection for Crohn's Disease No Need to Rush" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2014, Vol. 146, Issue 5, S-454. Poster Presentation.
- 65. J. Yoon, B. L. Huang, G. Y. Melmed, J. Amin, A. Ippoliti, D. P. B. McGovern, S. R. Targan, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. Q. Shih. "Modulation of thiopurine metabolism by anti-TNF therapy" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2014, 146 (5) Suppl 1:S-239–S-240. Poster Presentation.
- 66. B. L. Huang, J. Amin, M. Stewart, J. Yoon, G. Y. Melmed, A. Ippoliti, E. A. Vasiliauskas, S. R. Targan, D. P. B. McGovern, D. Q. Shih. "Genetic and serological predictors of H. pylori infection in patients with inflammatory bowel disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2014, 146 (5) Suppl 1:S-502. Poster Presentation.
- 67. A. Sideri, H. W. Koon, **D. Q. Shih**, C. Pothoulakis, I. Karagiannidis. "Intestinal Adiponectin Receptor 1 (AdipoR1) Modulates Inflammation During Colitis: a Potential Link in Adipose Tissue-Intestinal Crosstalk During Inflammatory Bowel Disease" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-549. *Poster Presentation.*
- 68. Y. Kanazawa, H. Zhang, M. F. Fiorino, A. M. Hamill, A. V. Arx, H. W. Koon, C. Pothoulakis, J. Bilsborough, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**. "Tl1A Deficiency, but Not Dr3 Deficiency, Ameliorated Murine Models of Chronic Colitis: Implications for Drug

- Development" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. *Gastroenterology* 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-27-S28. *Oral Presentation*.
- 69. M. Nguyen, J. S. Pourmorady, B. Morganstern, X. Yan, A. Zhang, S. S. Karsan, P. Fleshner, E. A. Vasiliauskas, G. Melmed, A. Ippoliti, S. Targan, D. McGovern, D. Q. Shih. "Genetic Associations With Preferential 6TGN Metabolizers Reveal Novel Pathways Involved in Purine Metabolism" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-702. Poster Presentation.
- 70. D. H. Tran, D. H. Tran, S. A. Mattai, S. Ho, A. Sideri, K. Bakirtzi, I. Karagiannidis, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Antimicrobial Peptide Cathelicidin Inhibits Obesity in Diabetic Mice Via Inhibition of CD36 Fat Receptor Expression" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-588. *Poster Presentation.*
- 71. L. Robbins, K. N. Zaghiyan, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, D. McGovern, A. Ippoliti, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Antimicrobial Peptide Cathelicidin Inhibits Obesity in Diabetic Mice Via Inhibition of CD36 Fat Receptor Expression" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-241. *Poster Presentation*.
- 72. N. Manguso, K. N. Zaghiyan, G. Barmparas, N. K. Mann, S. K. Lo, G. Y. Melmed, E. A. Vasiliauskas, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Long-Term Evaluation of Preoperative Wireless Capsule Endoscopy As a Predictor of Outcome After Ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-266. *Poster Presentation.*
- 73. B. Morganstern, N. Singh, S. Targan, C. J. Landers, M. Nguyen, E. A. Vasiliauskas, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Single-Center Experience of Vedolizumab in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Does Age Matter?" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2015, 148 (4) Suppl 1:S-250. Poster Presentation.
- 74. K. Issokson, G. Melmed, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "A Novel Multi-Disciplinary Approach to Nutrition for Adults With Inflammatory Bowel Disease" Advances in Inflammatory Bowel Disease 2015. P-090. *Poster Presentation*.
- 75. J. Castellanos, D. Victorio, A. Abdulhamid, C. Kivolowitz, G. Diehl, **D. Q. Shih**, et. al. "Microbial-Dependent CX3CR1+ MNP Production of TL1A Co-stimulates ILC3 to Promote Mucosal Healing" Advances in Inflammatory Bowel Disease 2015. P-185. Poster Presentation.
- 76. D. Q. Shih, Y. Kanazawa, A. Hamill, D. McGovern, M. Fukata, S. Targan. "ATG16L1 Deficiency Leads to Mitochondria Defect and Increased Oxidative State in Mice and Human Macrophages" Advances in Inflammatory Bowel Disease 2015. P-189. Poster Presentation.
- 77. D. M. Padua, S. Jahurkar-Joshi, **D. Q. Shih**, D. Iliopoulos, C. Pothoulakis. "Identification of a LncRNA Signature in Ulcerative Colitis: IFNG-AS1 Is a CD4+ T-Cell LncRNA Associated With IBD SNP Loci." Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2016. *Distinguished Abstract Plenary.*
- 78. S. M. Yoon, T. Haritunians, S. Chhina, B. D. Ye, **D. Q. Shih**, E. Vasiliauskas, A. Ippoliti, S. Targan, G. Y. Melmed, D. McGovern. "Factors Predicting Response to Anti-TNF Agents for Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease." Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2016. *Oral Research forum.*
- 79. K. Kumagai, Y. Kanazawa, J. Jacobs, N. Jacob, A. Hamill, E. Flores, Y. Kim, R. B. Sartor, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**. "Commensal Microflora Modulates TL1A Mediated Spontaneous Ileitis and TL1A Mediated Immune Changes." Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2016. *Oral Research forum*.
- 80. P. Gu, A. Kapur, D. Li, T. Hartiunians, E. Vasiliauskas, **D. Q. Shih**, S. Targan, B. Spiegel, D. McGovern, J. Black, G. Y. Melmed. "Biomarkers May Predict Higher Resource Utilization in IBD." Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2016. *Poster Presentation*.

- 81. M. J. Stewart, M. Dubinsky, B. Morganstern, E. Vasiliauskas, S. Targan, A. Ippoliti, **D. Q. Shih**, D. Mould, D. McGovern, G. Y. Melmed. "The Steady-State Pharmacokinetics of Adalimumab: Do We Need to Drink From the "Trough"?" Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2016. *Poster Presentation*.
- 82. N. Jacob, K. Kumagai, Y. Kanazawa, A. M. Hamill, E. Flores, Y. Kim, R. B. Sartor, S. Trgan, J. Jacobs, **D. Q. Shih**. "Differing Microbial Populations Induce TL1A-Mediated Intestinal Fibrosis Independently of TL1A-Mediated Inflammation." Digestive Disease Weekly National Scientific Meeting. Gastroenterology 2016. *Poster Presentation*.
- 83. Z. K. Michael, K. Kumagai, Y. Kanazawa, S. R. Targan, **D. Q. Shih**. "TL1A, but not DR3 deficiency, ameliorates murine chronic colitis." Cedars-Sinai Research Internship Poster Day. 2016. Poster Presentation.
- 84. Amir Kashani, **David Q. Shih**. "Fecal Microbiota Transplantation is Efficacious to Achieve Clinical Response and Remission in Adult Patients with Ulcerative Colitis; A Meta-Analysis" *Gastroenterology* 2017, Vol. 152, Issue 5, S988–S989
- 85. Amir Kashani, **David Q. Shih**. "Fecal Microbiota Transplantation is Highly Effective for Treatment of Clostridium Difficile Infection in Patiants with Inflammatory Bowel Disease; A Meta-Analysis" *Gastroenterology* 2017, Vol. 152, Issue 5, S988
- 86. Phillip Gu, Jeffrey Z. Ko, Xiaofei Yan, Dalin Li, Talin Haritunians, Eric Vasiliauskas, Stephan R. Targan, Gil Melmed, Dermot McGovern, **David Q. Shih**. "Serologic and Genetic Markers May Help Predict Disease Behavior in Patients with Crohn'S Disease (CD)" *Gastroenterology* 2017, Vol. 152, Issue 5, S362–S363
- 87. Jonathan Jacobs, Maomeng Tong, Paul Ruegger, Dalin Li, Talin Haritunians, Phillip Fleshner, Eric Vasiliauskas, Andrew Ippoliti, Gil Melmed, **David Q. Shih**, Stephan R. Targan, James Borneman, Dermot McGovern, Jonathan Braun. "Crohn's Disease, Obesity, and High Crohn's Disease Genetic Risk are Associated with Parallel Changes in the Microbiome of the Cecal and Sigmoid Mucosal-Luminal Interface", Gastroenterology 2017, Vol. 152, Issue 5, S111
- 88. Leilei Zhu, Gil Melmed, Xiaofei Yan, Talin Haritunians, **David Q. Shih**, Eric Vasiliauskas, Andrew Ippoliti, Shervin Rabizadeh, "UBE2L3, ANCA, ASCA, and CBIR1 are Associated with Mechanisms of non-Response to Anti-TNF in IBD Patients with Adequate Drug Levels" Gastroenterology 2017, Vol. 152, Issue 5, S380–S381
- 89. Kotaro Kumagai, Maninder Sidhu-Varma, Yosuke Shimodaira, Noam Jacob, Yoshitake Kanazawa, Jonathan Jacobs, Venu Lagishetty, Jay P. Abraham, Yuefang Ye, Justin Luu, R. Balfour Sartor, Masayuki Fukata, Stephan R. Targan, **David Q. Shih.** "Relative Preservation of Treg Function in TI1A-TG Mice Under Germ-Free Condition" *Gastroenterology* 2017, Vol. 152, Issue 5, S995–S996
- 90. Jiani Wang, Wendy Ho, **David Q. Shih**, Hon Wai Koon. "Circulating Elafin Levels Accurately Indicates Presences of Strictures in Crohn's Disease Patients" *Gastroenterology*, May 2018, Volume 154, Issue 6, Supplement 1, Page S-1033
- 91 Yuefang Ye, Yosuke Shimodaira, Noam Jacob, Kotaro Kumagai, Jay P. Abraham, Jonathan P. Jacobs, Kathrin S. Michelsen, **David Shih**. "TI1A Overexpression Drives Paneth Cell Hyperplasia and Prevents Maturation of Lysozyme Containing Granules in the Presence of Intact Microbiota" *Gastroenterology*, May 2018, Volume 154, Issue 6, Supplement 1, Page S-216
- 92. Noam Jacob, Kotaro Kumagai, Jay P. Abraham, Yosuke Shimodaira, Yuefang Ye, Justin Luu, Stephan R. Targan, Kathrin S. Michelsen, **David Shih.** "Direct Signaling of TL1A-DR3 on Fibroblasts Induces Intestinal Fibrosis In Vivo" *Gastroenterology* 2018, Vol. 154, Issue 6, S-131
- 93. Yosuke Shimodaira, Yoshitake Kanazawa, Jay P. Abraham, Kotaro Kumagai, Noam Jacob, Yuefang Ye, Justin Luu, Kathrin S. Michelsen, Stephan R. Targan, **David Shih**. "TI1A Deficiency (But not Dr3-Deficiency) Protects from the Development of Colitis in the

MEDICAL RECORDS

This exhibit contains personal medical information, records of a patient or other personal identifying information that is confidential and otherwise protected from disclosure to the public pursuant to NRS 622.310.

AFFIDAVIT

- I, Ernesto Diaz, Chief of Investigations for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, declare the following:
 - I am the supervisor of Investigator Monica Gustafson, who is the investigator in this case; BME case# 18-18180 and Legal File# 22-31575-1.
 - Investigator Gustafson is on medical leave from August 9, 2022, through September 27, 2022, and is unavailable to be a witness during this time period.

Signed this __qth_ day of __August_, 2022

Under Penalty of Perjury:

STATE OF NEVADA County of Washoe

Ernesto Díaz

Notary Public

HOLLY J. RINCON
Notary Public-State of Nevada
Appointment No. 20-8737-01
My Appointment Expires Jan. 10, 2024

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gareway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FILED

* * * * *

MAR - 1 2022

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against:

NEVADA STATE BOARD MEDICAL EXAMINERS

DIETRICH VON FELDMAN, M.D.,

Respondent.

(FILED UNDER SEAL)

PATIENT DESIGNATION

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) hereby submits its PATIENT DESIGNATION to identify the true and correct identity of the patient(s) referenced in the filed formal Complaint, Case No. 22-31575-1.

Patient A's true and correct identity is as follows: 1.

> Name: MATTHEW KING DOB: December 4, 1937

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

in the manner of order govern compression

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

MAR 0 3 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS BV:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on April 26, 2021, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350252565828 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

the Complaint, Patient Designation and fingerprint package. The package was confirmed as delivered on March 2, 2022. *See* Exhibit 1.

DATED this 3rd day of March, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

28

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



March 3, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0252 5658 28.

Item Details

Status:

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

Status Date / Time:

March 2, 2022, 11:09 am

Location:

SPARKS, NV 89434

Postal Product: Extra Services:

First-Class Mail®

Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Jung 46

Address of Recipient:

395 JA 74

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350252565828

Remove X

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 11:09 am on March 2, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

⊘ Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

March 2, 2022 at 11:09 am SPARKS, NV 89434

reedbac

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Return Receipt Electronic	~

March 2, 2022, 11:09 am

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

SPARKS, NV 89434

Tracking History

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 11:09 am on March 2, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

March 1, 2022, 10:52 pm

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

Feedback

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 * * * * * 3 MAR 2 8 2022 4 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF 5 Case No. 22-31575-1 In the Matter of Charges and 6 Early Case Conference Date: April 7, 7 **Complaint Against** 2022 @ 11:30 a.m. 8 DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D., 9 Respondent. 10 11 ORDER SCHEDULING EARLY CASE CONFERENCE 12 TO: Ian Cumings, J.D. 13 Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 14 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 15 16 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 17 Sparks, NV 89434 18 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, in compliance with NRS 630.339(3), an Early Case 19 Conference will be conducted on April 7, 2022 beginning at the hour of 11:30 a.m. The Early 20 Case Conference will be held via conference call. The conference call number is 1-605-475-2200 21 and the access code is 8792457.1 22 23 ¹ NRS 630.339(3) provides as follows: 24 Within 20 days after the filing of the answer, the parties shall hold an early case conference at which the parties and the hearing officer appointed by the Board or a member of the Board must preside. At the early 25 case conference, the parties shall in good faith:

the Board, including the estimated duration of the hearing:

(a) Set the earliest possible hearing date agreeable to the parties and the hearing officer, panel of the Board or

26

27

28

(b) Set dates:

The scheduled Early Case Conference shall be attended by the parties in person or by any party's legal counsel of record and will be conducted by the undersigned Hearing Officer to discuss and designate the dates for the Pre-Hearing Conference and Hearing and the other procedural matters established in NRS 630.339. The parties must also provide an estimate, to the nearest hour, of the time required for presentation of their respective cases.

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, in accordance with NAC 630.465,² each party shall provide the other party with a copy of the list of witnesses they intend to call to testify, including therewith, the qualifications of each witness so identified and a summary of the testimony of each witness. If a witness is not on the list of witnesses, that witness may subsequently not be allowed to testify at the Hearing unless good cause is shown for omitting the witness from said list.³ Likewise, all

² NAC 630.465 provides as follows:

- 1. At least 30 days before a hearing but not earlier than 30 days after the date of service upon the physician or physician assistant of a formal complaint that has been filed with the Board pursuant to NRS 630.311, unless a different time is agreed to by the parties, the presiding member of the Board or panel of members of the Board or the hearing officer shall conduct a prehearing conference with the parties and their attorneys. All documents presented at the prehearing conference are not evidence, are not part of the record and may not be filed with the Board.
- 2. Each party shall provide to every other party a copy of the list of proposed witnesses and their qualifications and a summary of the testimony of each proposed witness. A witness whose name does not appear on the list of proposed witnesses may not testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown.
- 3. All evidence, except rebuttal evidence, which is not provided to each party at the prehearing conference may not be introduced or admitted at the hearing unless good cause is shown.
- 4. Each party shall submit to the presiding member of the Board or panel or to the hearing officer conducting the conference each issue which has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation and an estimate, to the nearest hour, of the time required for presentation of its oral argument.

⁽¹⁾ By which all documents must be exchanged;

⁽²⁾ By which all prehearing motions and responses thereto must be filed;

⁽³⁾ On which to hold the prehearing conference; and

⁽⁴⁾ For any other foreseeable actions that may facilitate the timely and fair conduct of the matter.

⁽c) Discuss or attempt to resolve all or any portion of the evidentiary or legal issues in the matter;

⁽d) Discuss the potential for settlement of the matter on terms agreeable to the parties; and

⁽e) Discuss and deliberate any other issues that may facilitate the timely and fair conduct of the matter.

³ In identifying a patient as a witness the parties are cautioned to omit from any pleadings filed with undersigned Hearing Officer any addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, or other personal information regarding such

evidence, except rebuttal evidence, that is not provided to each party at the Pre-Hearing Conference may also not be introduced or admitted at the Hearing unless good cause is shown.

Counsel for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and the Respondent shall keep undersigned Hearing Officer advised of each issue which has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation, if any.

ACCORDINGLY, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the possible sanctions authorized by NRS 630.352, NAC 630.555, and NRS 622.400 upon a finding of guilt to one or more of the Counts raised in said Board Complaint include the following:

- A. Placement on probation for a specified period on any of the conditions specified in an order issued by the Board;
 - B. Administration of a public reprimand;
- C. Placement of a limitation on Respondent's practice, or exclusion of one or more specified branches of medicine from Respondent's practice;
- D. Suspension of Respondent's license for a specified period or until further order of the Board;
 - E. Revocation of Respondent's license to practice medicine;
- F. A requirement that Respondent participate in a program to correct alcohol or drug dependence or any other impairment;
 - G. A requirement that there be specified supervision of Respondent's practice;
 - H. A requirement that Respondent perform public service without compensation;
- I. A requirement that Respondent take a physical or mental examination, or an examination testing Respondent's competence;

individual and to confine their submissions in this regard to the name of the witness, the relevancy of any testimony sought to be elicited from that witness, and a summary of the anticipated testimony.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	1	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	2	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	3	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	4	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	5	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	6	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	7	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	8	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	17	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	18	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	19	
22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
23 24 25 26 27	21	
24 25 26 27	22	
25 26 27	23	
26 27	24	
27	25	
27 28	26	
28	27	
	28	

- J. A requirement that Respondent fulfill certain training or educational requirements, or both, as specified by the Board;
 - K. A fine not to exceed \$5,000.00;
- L. A requirement that the Respondent pay all costs incurred by the Board relating to this disciplinary proceeding, as more fully set forth in NRS 622.400.

DATED this 24th day of March 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer

(775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER SCHEDULING EARLY CASE CONFERENCE addressed as follows:

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434 9171 9690 0935 0252 5695 50

DATED this 28th day of March, 2022.

Signature

Print

Lagal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Case No. 22-31575-1

Against: 6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

MAR 3 1 2022

Respondent.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on March 28, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350252569550 to the following recipient(s):

> Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

the Order Scheduling Early Case Conference filed March 28, 2022. Delivery of the mailing was received on March 30, 2022 See Exhibit 1.

DATED this 30th day of March, 2022.

Legal A sistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



March 30, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0252 5695 50.

Item Details

Status:

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

Status Date / Time:

March 30, 2022, 11:35 am

Location:

SPARKS, NV 89434

Postal Product:

First-Class Mail®

Extra Services:

Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Case No. 22-31575-1

Complaint Against Hearing Date: June 21, 2022 @ 8:30 a.m.

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

FILED

Respondent.

APR 1 4 2022

SCHEDULING ORDER

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

TO: Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

On April 7, 2022, an Early Case Conference was conducted in this matter and held via conference call. Participating in the Early Case Conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC") and the undersigned Hearing Officer. Respondent did not appear although the IC represented that Respondent had been properly served with the Order Scheduling Early Case Conference, which was filed on March 28, 2022. In the absence of Respondent, relevant dates including, but not limited to, dates for the pre-hearing conference; the exchange of witnesses and documents; motion practice; and the hearing date were discussed and determined.

Accordingly, in compliance with NAC 630.465, a pre-hearing conference will be conducted on **May 5, 2022**, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time, and will be held via a conference call. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of

the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties shall participate in the conference call and the conference will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

By the pre-hearing conference, each party shall provide the other party with a copy of the list of witnesses he or she intends to call to testify, including the witness' qualifications as well as a brief summary of the witness' anticipated testimony. If a witness is not included in the list of witnesses, that witness may not be allowed to testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown. Likewise, all documentation sought to be relied upon at the formal hearing shall be exchanged. If at the formal hearing any party seeks to rely upon documentation not previously produced as ordered, such documentation will not be permitted unless good cause is shown.

Any and all pre-hearing motions shall be served and submitted to the undersigned hearing officer on or before May 20, 2022. Any oppositions or responses thereto shall be served and submitted to the undersigned hearing officer on or before May 31, 2022. Any and all replies shall be served and submitted to the below hearing officer on or before June 7, 2022.

The formal hearing in this matter is hereby scheduled for **June 21, 2022**, starting at 8:30 a.m. Respondent, counsel, and the undersigned hearing officer will attend the hearing at the Reno office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521. Following the hearing, the undersigned hearing officer will submit to the Board a synopsis of the testimony taken at the hearing and make a recommendation on the veracity of witnesses if there is conflicting evidence or if credibility of witnesses is a determining factor, and thereafter the Board will render its decision. NAC 630.470.

Unless stipulated to, permission for the remote appearance by any witness must be sought from and approved by the undersigned hearing officer, and any such request shall be in writing and submitted on or before 5:00 p.m. **June 7, 2022**.

Should the parties deem a status conference necessary at any juncture of the proceeding, they shall coordinate at least three proposed dates and times and may jointly email the undersigned hearing officer with the proposed dates and times and request a status conference and state the basis for the request.

Both parties shall keep the undersigned hearing officer apprised of each issue that has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation or any other change in the status of this case.

DATED this 13th day of April 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing SCHEDULING ORDER addressed as follows:

> Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

DATED this

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against:

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

APR 2 5 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on April 14, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350252569796 to the following recipient(s):

> Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 **Sparks, NV 89434**

the Scheduling Order filed April 14, 2022, which package was confirmed delivered on April 18, 2022 See Exhibit 1.

DATED this 19th day of April, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



April 19, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0252 5697 96.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

Status Date / Time: April 18, 2022, 4:35 pm Location: SPARKS, NV 89434

Postal Product: First-Class Mail®
Extra Services: Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350252569796

Remove X

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 4:35 pm on April 18, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

⊘ Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

April 18, 2022 at 4:35 pm SPARKS, NV 89434

Feedbac

Get Updates V

Text & Email Updates

V

Return Receipt Electronic

Y

Tracking History

 \wedge

April 18, 2022, 4:35 pm

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

SPARKS, NV 89434

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 4:35 pm on April 18, 2022 in SPARKS, NV 89434.

April 16, 2022, 8:48 am

Delivery Attempted - No Access to Delivery Location

SPARKS, NV 89434

April 15, 2022, 6:31 pm
Departed USPS Regional Facility
RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

April 15, 2022 In Transit to Next Facility

April 14, 2022, 9:06 pm Arrived at USPS Regional Facility RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

April 14, 2022, 7:51 pm Accepted at USPS Origin Facility RENO, NV 89521

	ed
USPS Tracking Plus®	ack
Product Information	~

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FILED

* * * * *

MAY - 2 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS V:

In the Matter of Charges and

Complaint Against

Status Conference Date: May 5, 2022 @ 10:00 a.m.

Case No. 22-31575-1

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE

TO:

Ian Cumings, J.D.

Deputy General Counsel

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304

Sparks, NV 89434

23

24

25

26

On April 7, 2022, an early case conference was conducted in this matter and held via conference call. Participating in the early case conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC") and the undersigned Hearing Officer. Respondent did not appear although the IC represented that Respondent had been properly served with the Order Scheduling Early Case Conference, which was filed on March 28, 2022. In the absence of Respondent, relevant dates including, but not limited to, dates for the pre-hearing conference; the exchange of witnesses and documents; motion practice; and the hearing date were discussed and determined, which included the scheduling of a pre-hearing conference on May 5, 2022 to commence at 10:00 a.m.

27

28

Since the early case conference, the IC has indicated that it has been in touch with Respondent and requests that all established dates, save and except for the pre-hearing conference date and time, be vacated, and that the pre-hearing conference be converted to a status conference, at which time the motion and hearing dates can be re-set. The basis therefore is that proceeding as such allows Respondent to evaluate the circumstances and seek legal counsel should he so choose.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the pre-hearing conference scheduled for May 5, 2022, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time, is hereby converted to a status conference. The status conference will be conducted via a conference call. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties (or counsel on Respondent's behalf) shall participate in the status conference call and the status conference will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer. All other previously set dates are hereby vacated subject to being reset.

DATED this 5th day of May 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno,
Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE
addressed as follows:

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

OATED this Ord day

_, 2022.

Signature

Print

Legal Assis

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS FILED OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 * * * * 3 MAY 0 5 2022 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF 4 MEDICAL EXAMINERS 5 Case No. 22-31575-1 6 In the Matter of Charges and Status Conference Date: May 12, 2022 @ 7 **Complaint Against** 10:00 a.m. 8 DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D., 9 Respondent. 10 11 ORDER RE-SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE 12 TO: Ian Cumings, J.D. 13 Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 14 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 15 16 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 17 Sparks, NV 89434 18 The status conference scheduled for May 5, 2022, is hereby re-scheduled to May 12, 2022, 19 beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time. The status conference will be 20 conducted via a conference call. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of 21 the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access 22 code will be 8792457. The parties (or counsel on Respondent's behalf) shall participate in the 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27

///

28

status conference call and the status conference will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

DATED this 5th day of May 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER RE-SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE addressed as follows: Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

FILED

MAY 13 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

In the Matter of Charges and

Complaint Against

Status Conference Date: June 3, 2022 @ 10:00 a.m.

Case No. 22-31575-1

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE

TO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ian Cumings, J.D.

Deputy General Counsel

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Lyn E. Beggs, Esq.

obo Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D.

380 California Ave., Ste 3

Reno, NV 89509

A status conference for this matter took place on May 12, 2022. Appearing for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (the "Medical Board") was Ian Cumings, J.D.; appearing on behalf of Respondent was Lyn E. Beggs, Esq.; the undersigned hearing officer was also present. Ms. Beggs indicated that she had been retained by Respondent the day prior and had yet to fully evaluate the matter. In light of the timing, the parties agreed to continue the status conference. Thus, the status conference is hereby continued to June 3, 2022, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time. The status conference will be conducted via a conference call before the undersigned hearing officer. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties, through counsel, shall participate in the status conference call.

While on the conference call, the undersigned hearing officer disclosed a potential conflict with Ms. Beggs in that the undersigned hearing officer has known Ms. Beggs since childhood and the two remain in touch and occasionally socialize. Mr. Cumings acknowledge the potential conflict and waived the same on behalf of the Medical Board. As such, this matter will continue to proceed before the undersigned hearing officer.

DATED this 12th day of May 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq.

Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE addressed as follows:

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. obo Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 380 California Ave., Ste 3 Reno, NV 89509

DATED this $\cancel{37}$ day of

_, 2022.

Signature

Print

Title

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JUN - 3 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against

Case No. 22-31575-1

Hearing Date: TBD

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING ORDER AND SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE

TO:

Ian Cumings, J.D.

Deputy General Counsel

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3

Reno, NV 89509

This matter was set for a status conference on June 3, 2022. Participating in the status conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC"); Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. on behalf of Respondent; and the undersigned Hearing Officer.

According to Ms. Beggs, she has been unable to reach Respondent and Respondent has not been responsive to her attempts to communicate with him, rendering her unable to provide legal counsel and mandating that she withdraw. Ms. Beggs indicated that she would file to withdraw just after the status conference. For now, Ms. Beggs remains counsel of record and shall strive to provide a copy of this Order to Respondent.

In light of the forgoing, Mr. Cumings asked that the matter be stayed to allow him to address alternative means to potentially address the matter in lieu of proceeding with the upcoming evidentiary hearing currently scheduled for June 21, 2022. However, because the

1

9 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

matter remains pending and undersigned does not wish to stay the matter indefinitely, the request for a stay is DENIED and instead the Scheduling Order entered on April 13, 2022 is hereby vacated, and a status conference is hereby scheduled for June 21, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. At such time, Mr. Cumings will address how he intends to proceed with the matter should Respondent continue to fail to engage in the proceedings. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of the status conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties shall participate in the status conference, which will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

DATED this 3rd day of June 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer (775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING ORDER AND SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE addressed as follows:

> Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3 Reno, NV 89509

DATED this day of Jone, 2022.

Signature

Mercedes Frentes
Print
Legal Assistant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint)
Against:)) Case No: 22-31575-1
DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.) FILED
Respondent.	JUN 2 2 2022
	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL

COMES NOW, the undersigned counsel and hereby files this Notice that the undersigned counsel is withdrawing from representation of Respondent Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. as Dr. Feldmann has failed to have any communication with the undersigned since May 12, 2022 despite repeated attempts to contact Dr. Feldmann by phone, letter, and email. Further, the email previously used for correspondence with Dr. Feldmann is no longer an active email address. Through his failure to communicate with the undersigned, Dr. Feldmann has evidenced a desire to not be represented by the undersigned counsel in this matter.

DATED this 20th day of June, 2022.

Lyn F. Beggs, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 6248

28

1

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA FILED

* * * * *

JUN 2 7 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Case No. 22-31575-1

Case No. 22-313/3-1

Hearing Date: August 24, 2022 @ 8:30

a.m.

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

In the Matter of Charges and

Respondent.

Complaint Against

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

TO: Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3

Reno, NV 89509

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

On June 21, 2022, a Status Conference was conducted in this matter and held via conference call. Participating in the Status Conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC") and the undersigned Hearing Officer. Respondent did not appear and has failed to participate in the proceedings save and except for briefly appearing through counsel Lyn Beggs for a prior status conference on June 3, 2022, at which time Ms. Beggs indicated she was unable to contact Respondent and would be withdrawing as a result. **Ms. Beggs shall file a formal notice of**

withdrawal with the Medical Board to be relieved from further representation of Respondent in this matter if it remains her intent to withdraw.

At this juncture, given Respondent's failure to participate in the proceedings, the IC has indicated that it will proceed to the evidentiary hearing in an effort to move the matter to conclusion. Accordingly, in compliance with NAC 630.465, a pre-hearing conference will be conducted on **July 19, 2022**, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m., Pacific Standard Time, and will be held via a conference call. Unless directed otherwise prior to the scheduled date and time of the pre-hearing conference, the conference call number will be 1-605-475-2200 and the access code will be 8792457. The parties shall participate in the conference call and the conference will be conducted before the undersigned hearing officer.

By the pre-hearing conference, each party shall provide the other party with a copy of the list of witnesses he or she intends to call to testify, including the witness' qualifications as well as a brief summary of the witness' anticipated testimony. If a witness is not included in the list of witnesses, that witness may not be allowed to testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown. Likewise, all documentation sought to be relied upon at the formal hearing shall be exchanged. If at the formal hearing any party seeks to rely upon documentation not previously produced as ordered, such documentation will not be permitted unless good cause is shown. Motion scheduling will be addressed at the pre-hearing conference if motion practice is sought as will any requests for remote witness appearances.

The formal hearing in this matter is hereby scheduled for **August 24, 2022**, starting at 8:30 a.m. The hearing will take place at the Reno office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521. Following the hearing, the undersigned hearing officer will submit to the Board a synopsis of the testimony taken at the hearing and make a recommendation on the veracity of witnesses if there is conflicting evidence or if credibility of witnesses is a determining factor, and thereafter the Board will render its decision. NAC 630.470. Should Respondent fail to appear, the hearing will be addressed in accordance with NRS 622A.350 and NAC 630.470(2).

Should a party deem a status conference necessary at any juncture of the proceeding, such party shall propose at least three proposed dates and times and may email the undersigned hearing officer with the proposed dates and times and request a status conference and state the basis for the request. Should a status conference be deemed necessary upon the request, the other side will be noticed of the date and time. The IC shall keep the undersigned hearing officer apprised of each issue that has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation or any other change in the status of this case.

DATED this 21st day of June 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer

(775) 322-2244

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER addressed as follows:

> Ian Cumings, J.D. Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. c/o Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. 380 California Ave., Ste 3 Reno, NV 89509

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 2345 E. Prater Way, #304 Sparks, NV 89434

DATED this 274 day of Jun

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

JUL - 6 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on June 27, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350254760672 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

the Amended Scheduling Order filed June 27, 2022, which package was confirmed delivered on June 29, 2022 *See* Exhibit 1.

DATED this 5th day of July, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



July 5, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0254 7606 72.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Left with Individual

Status Date / Time: June 29, 2022, 3:03 pm

Location:RENO, NV 89523Postal Product:First-Class Mail®Extra Services:Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking[®]

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350254760672

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:03 pm on June 29, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

Oblivered, Left with Individual

June 29, 2022 at 3:03 pm RENO, NV 89523 reedback

Get Updates 🗸

Text & Email Updates	~
Return Receipt Electronic	~

June 29, 2022, 3:03 pm Delivered, Left with Individual RENO, NV 89523

Tracking History

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:03 pm on June 29, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

June 29, 2022, 8:09 am Out for Delivery LOVELOCK, NV 89419 June 29, 2022, 7:58 am Arrived at Post Office RENO, NV 89523

June 28, 2022, 4:04 pm
Departed USPS Regional Facility
RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

June 27, 2022, 9:19 pm Arrived at USPS Regional Facility RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

June 27, 2022, 8:04 pm Accepted at USPS Origin Facility RENO, NV 89521

USPS Tracking Plus®	- eadback
Product Information	~

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

6 Against:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

JUL 18 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT OF THE INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) hereby submits the following Prehearing Conference Statement in accordance with NAC 630.465 and the Hearing Officer's Amended Order Scheduling Pre-Hearing and Hearing filed on June 27, 2022, and sent via certified mail to Respondent on July 6, 2022, to the preferred mailing address as requested by Respondent.

I. LIST OF WITNESSES:

The IC of the Board lists the following witnesses whom it may call at the hearing on the charges in the formal Complaint against Respondent filed herein:

a. Monica Gustafson, CMBI, Senior Investigator, Reno Office Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Ms. Gustafson is expected to testify regarding documentary evidence obtained during her investigation of this case and discuss, if necessary, her investigation of this matter.

b. Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

Dr. Von Feldmann is expected to testify as to his actions as outlined in the formal Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dr. Von Feldmann is expected to testify as to his actions as outlined in the formal Complaint.

David Shih, M.D. c. c/o Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Dr. Shih is expected to testify about his review of this case, the standard of care applicable to this matter and his professional opinion(s) concerning the care, treatment and record keeping of Patient A by Respondent.

d. All witnesses identified by Respondent in his prehearing conference statement and/or in any subsequent amended, revised or supplemental prehearing conference statement, or list of witnesses disclosed by Respondent of persons he may call to testify at the hearing herein.

II. LIST OF EXHIBITS

The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners lists the following exhibits that it may introduce at the hearing on the charges and formal Complaint against the Respondent.

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE (NSBME)
1	NSBME Formal Complaint, (Dated 3/1/2022)	001 - 005
2	Proof of Service (Formal Complaint), (Dated 3/3/2022)	006 - 010
3	Order Scheduling Early Case Conference, (Dated 3/28/2022)	011 - 015
4	Proof of Service (Order Scheduling Early Case Conference), (Dated 3/31/22)	016 - 018
5	Formal Hearing Scheduling Order, (Dated 4/14/2022)	019 - 022

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521
(775) 688-2559

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE (NSBME)
6	Proof of Service (Formal Hearing Scheduling Order), (Dated 4/25/2022)	023 - 027
7	Order Vacating Scheduling Order and Setting Status Conference, (Dated 6/3/22)	028 - 030
8	Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel, (Dated 6/22/2022)	031
9	Amended Scheduling Order, (Dated 6/27/2022)	032 - 035
10	Proof of Service (Amended Scheduling Order), (Dated 7/6/2022)	036 - 040
11	NSBME Allegation Letter, Patient A, (Dated 10/16/2018)	041 - 042
12	Order To Produce Medical Records Patient A, Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D., Patient A, (Dated 10/16/2018)	043 - 044
13	Patient A Medical Records, Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D.	045 - 066
14	Letter To Juanchichos Ventura, M.D., Request For Patient A Medical Records, (Dated 10/16/2018)	067
15	Response From Juanchichos Ventura, M.D., Request For Patient A Medical Records	068
16	Patient A Medical Records, Rural Health Clinic	069 - 082
17	Medical Records from Renown Medical Center	083 – 503
18	Curriculum Vitae of David Shih, M.D.	504 - 524

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

The IC reserves the right to use all exhibits identified by Respondent in his prehearing conference statement and/or in any subsequent amended, revised or supplemental prehearing conference statement.

DATED this /B day of July, 2022.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

IAN J. CUMINGS, J.D. Deputy General Counsel 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: <u>icumings@medboard.nv.gov</u>
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint
Against:
DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

JUL 19 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on July 18, 2022, I mailed by Federal Express First Overnight, tracking no. 777416610821 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

The IC's Prehearing Conference Statement with Exhibits. Delivery of the package was received on July 19, 2022 at 7:56 a.m. *See* Exhibit 1.

DATED this 19th day of July, 2022.

MÉG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



Jul 18, 2022



Dear Customer,

Tracking number:

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 777416610821

Delivery information:			
Status:	Delivered	Delivered To:	Residence
Signed for by:	D.FELDMAN	Delivery Location:	

Service type: FedEx First Overnight

Deliver Weekday; Residential Delivery; Adult Signature Required Special Handling: Reno, NV,

Jul 19, 2022 07:56 Delivery date:

Shipping Information:

Ship Date:

Weight 5.0 LB/2.27 KG

Shipper: Recipient:

Reno, NV, US, Reno, NV, US,

777416610821

Signature image is available. In order to view image and detailed information, the shipper or payor account number of the shipment must be provided.

1

Realist

3

Hoda 5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

TO:

1415

16 17

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

27

28

26

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JUL 19 2022

NEYBOA STATE BOARD OF

In the Matter of Charges and

Complaint Against

Hearing Date: August 17, 2022 @ 8:30

Case No. 22-31575-1

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

SECOND AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

(Post Pre-Hearing Conference)

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

On July 19, 2022, a Pre-Hearing Conference was conducted in this matter and held via conference call. Participating in the Pre-Hearing Conference were Ian Cumings, J.D. on behalf of the Investigative Committee of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada (the "IC"); Respondent Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D., representing himself; and the undersigned Hearing Officer.

By agreement of the parties, Respondent is hereby granted up to and including July 26, 2022 by which to provide the IC with a copy of the list of witnesses he intends to call to testify, including the witness' qualifications as well as a brief summary of the witness' anticipated testimony. If a witness is not included in the list of witnesses, that witness may not be allowed to

2 3 4

5 6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

testify at the hearing unless good cause is shown. Likewise, all documentation sought to be relied upon at the formal hearing by Respondent shall be provided by July 26, 2022. If at the formal hearing any party seeks to rely upon documentation not previously produced as ordered, such documentation will not be permitted unless good cause is shown. If no witness lists or documentation is exchanged by Respondent, Respondent's representation that he will testify on his behalf and rely upon the documentation produced by the IC will be treated as his disclosures and Respondent will be limited to the same in presenting his case absent a showing of good cause as set forth above.

The formal hearing in this matter is hereby rescheduled to August 17, 2022, starting at 8:30 a.m. The hearing will take place at the Reno office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521, from which all parties and witnesses shall appear in person. Following the hearing, the undersigned hearing officer will submit to the Board a synopsis of the testimony taken at the hearing and make a recommendation on the veracity of witnesses if there is conflicting evidence or if credibility of witnesses is a determining factor, and thereafter the Board will render its decision. NAC 630.470.

Should a party deem a status conference necessary at any juncture of the proceeding, the parties shall coordinate with one another to propose at least three dates and times, and email the undersigned hearing officer with the same and state the basis for the request, upon which a status conference will be scheduled. The IC shall keep the undersigned hearing officer apprised of each issue that has been resolved by negotiation or stipulation or any other change in the status of this case.

DATED this 19th day of July 2022.

By:

Patricia Halstead, Esq. Hearing Officer

(775) 322-2244

resian

1918/AP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day, I personally delivered or mailed, postage pre-paid, at Reno, Nevada, a true file-stamped copy of the foregoing SECOND AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER (Post Pre-Hearing Conference) addressed as follows:

Ian Cumings, J.D.
Deputy General Counsel
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

DATED this **20** 4 day of Jul

of July , 21

Signature

Print

Legal Assistant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

AUG 2 2 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on August 10, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail, tracking no. 9171969009350254761358 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

The IC's Supplemental Prehearing Conference Statement. Delivery of the package was received on August 11, 2022 at 4:10 p.m. *See* Exhibit 1.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



August 22, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0254 7613 58.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Left with Individual

Status Date / Time: August 11, 2022, 4:10 pm

Location: RENO, NV 89523

Postal Product: First-Class Mail®
Extra Services: Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

VOV FELD HANN

Address of Recipient:

76965TONEBLAFF

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350254761358

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 4:10 pm on August 11, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

Oblivered, Left with Individual

August 11, 2022 at 4:10 pm RENO, NV 89523

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Return Receipt Electronic	~
Tracking History	^

August 11, 2022, 4:10 pm

Delivered, Left with Individual

RENO, NV 89523

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 4:10 pm on August 11, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

August 11, 2022, 2:41 am
Departed USPS Regional Facility
RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

reedback

Feedback

August 10, 2022, 10:44 pm Arrived at USPS Regional Facility RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER

August 10, 2022, 9:29 pm Accepted at USPS Origin Facility RENO, NV 89521

USPS Tracking Plus®	~
Product Information	~

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

| Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(775) 688-2559

DIETRICH VON FELDMANN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 22-31575-1

FILED

AUG 2 6 2022

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Meg Byrd, Legal Assistant for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, hereby certify that on August 22, 2022, I mailed by USPS Certified Mail No. 9171969009350254761488 to the following recipient(s):

Dietrich Von Feldmann, M.D. 7696 Stone Bluff Way Reno, NV 89523

the hearing transcript. The package was confirmed as delivered on August 23, 2022. See Exhibit 1.

DATED this 26th day of August, 2022.

MEG BYRD, Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



August 26, 2022

Dear Meg Byrd:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: 9171 9690 0935 0254 7614 88.

Item Details

Status: Delivered, Left with Individual

Status Date / Time: August 23, 2022, 4:24 pm

Location: RENO, NV 89523

Postal Product: Priority Mail®

Extra Services: Certified Mail™

Return Receipt Electronic

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

HARGARIT FON FELOMA,

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service® 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

USPS Tracking®

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9171969009350254761488

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 4:24 pm on August 23, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

Oblivered, Left with Individual

August 23, 2022 at 4:24 pm RENO, NV 89523

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Return Receipt Electronic	~

August 23, 2022, 4:24 pm

Tracking History

Delivered, Left with Individual

RENO, NV 89523

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 4:24 pm on August 23, 2022 in RENO, NV 89523.

August 23, 2022, 7:56 am Out for Delivery RENO, NV 89523 Feedback

August 23, 2022, 7:45 am
Arrived at Post Office
RENO, NV 89523

USPS Tracking Plus®

Product Information

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs