

1 **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**
2 **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3 * * * * *

4
5 **In the Matter of Charges and Complaint**

Case No. 24-13009-1

6 **Against:**

FILED

7 **JON LANE SIEMS, M.D.,**

OCT - 1 2025

8 **Respondent.**

**NEVADA STATE BOARD OF
MEDICAL EXAMINERS**

By: _____

9
10 **FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER**

11 This case was presented for adjudication and decision before the Nevada State Board of
12 Medical Examiners (Board), during a regularly scheduled Board meeting on September 12, 2025,
13 at 8:50 a.m. (Pacific Standard Time), located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, NV 89521, video
14 conferenced to 325 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 225, Las Vegas, NV 89119. Jon Lane Siems,
15 M.D. (Respondent) was properly served with a notice of the adjudication, including the date, time,
16 and location. Respondent was not present personally or through an attorney. The adjudicating
17 members of the Board participating in these Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
18 were: Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G., Ms. Maggie Arias-Petrel, Ms. Pamela J. Beal, Irwin B.
19 Simon, M.D., FACS, Joseph Olivarez, P.A.-C, Jason B. Farnsworth, RRT, MBA, and Apeksha
20 Desai, M.D., MBA. Henna Rasul, Esq., Senior Deputy Attorney General, served as legal counsel
21 to the Board.

22 The Board, having received and read the formal Complaint (Complaint) and exhibits
23 admitted at the hearing of this matter, the Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendations,¹ and
24 the transcript of the hearing, made its decision pursuant to its authority and provisions of the
25 Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter
26 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), NRS Chapter 622A, and NRS Chapter 233B, as
27 applicable.

28
¹ The Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendations were prepared by Paul Lipparelli, Esq., who was appointed as Hearing Officer under NRS 630.106 in this matter and presided over the hearing.

1 The Board, after due consideration of the record, evidence and law, and being fully
2 advised in the premises, makes its FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
3 ORDER in this matter, as follows:

4 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

5 **I.**

6 Respondent held a license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada issued by the Board
7 from December 20, 1999 (License No. 9250).

8 **II.**

9 On September 16, 2024, an Order of Summary Suspension of Respondent's license was
10 issued by the Investigative Committee of the Board (IC) and was served on Respondent in-person
11 at the address on file with the Board. Another copy of the Order was sent by Fed Ex Overnight
12 and by email, at Respondent's request, to his physical residence in Coto de Caza, California, and
13 to his email on file with the Board. A summary suspension hearing was held on October 18, 2024,
14 in front of the Hearing Officer in which Respondent participated.

15 On or about November 21, 2024, Respondent made a formal request to be heard at the
16 March 7, 2025, Board Meeting which was granted by the Board. At the Board Meeting
17 Respondent requested modification of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
18 (Order) issued by the Board in Case N No. 23-13009-1, the underlying matter in Case No.
19 24-13009-1. His request to modify the terms of the Order was denied by the Board and the
20 decision was reduced to writing and filed on March 20, 2025, and served to Respondent on that
21 same day.

22 The Hearing Officer issued an Order After Summary Suspension, filed December 2, 2024,
23 which recommended continuation of suspension of Respondent's license. The IC filed a second
24 order after the Board Meeting on March 7, 2025, that order continued the suspension of
25 Respondent's license until the Board could adjudicate the instant matter.

26 **III.**

27 On October 8, 2024, the Investigative Committee of the Board (IC) filed a formal
28 Complaint in Case No. 24-13009-1, alleging Respondent violated the Medical Practice Act. The

1 Complaint was mailed to Respondent via Fed Ex Overnight Service, at Respondent's
2 request, to his last known physical address in Coto de Caza, California and to his email address on
3 file with the Board.

4 The formal Complaint alleges one (1) violation of the Medical Practice Act that constitutes
5 grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee, as follows: one (1) count of
6 NRS 630.3065(2)(a), Knowing or Willful Failure to Comply with a Board Order. Respondent did
7 not answer or file a response to the allegations set forth in the Complaint. Pursuant to
8 NAC 630.460(4), the allegations of the Complaint are deemed generally denied if an answer is not
9 filed.

10 IV.

11 An Order Setting Early Case Conference was filed on June 2, 2025, scheduling the Early
12 Case Conference for the pending matter for June 16, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. This Order was mailed
13 via U.S. Certified Mail, postage pre-paid, to Respondent's address in Coto de Caza, California via
14 U.S. Certified Mail and was returned undelivered. A copy was also emailed to Respondent, at his
15 email on record with the Board, on June 2, 2025.

16 On June 16, 2025, Hearing Officer Paul Lipparelli, Esq., conducted a telephonic Early
17 Case Conference for this matter. Donald K. White, Senior Deputy General Counsel,
18 was present on behalf of the IC. Respondent did not participate in the proceeding, nor did he
19 contact the Board, IC, or Hearing Officer regarding the proceeding. At the scheduled Early Case
20 Conference, Hearing Officer Lipparelli set the date for the Prehearing Conference, the deadline for
21 the parties to exchange lists of witnesses and documents, and the date for the formal Hearing.

22 On June 17, 2025, in compliance with NAC 630.465, a Scheduling Order setting the
23 Prehearing Conference and Hearing was filed. Pursuant to that Order, the Prehearing Conference
24 was set for June 27, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The Scheduling Order was sent to Respondent's address in
25 Coto de Caza, California via U.S. Certified Mail and was returned undelivered. A second copy of
26 the Scheduling Order was sent via Fed Ex Overnight, postage pre-paid, to Respondent's Coto de
27 Caza, California address which was signed for on June 24, 2025. A copy was also emailed to
28 Respondent, at his email address of record with the Board, on June 17, 2025.

1 The IC's Prehearing Conference Statement was sent to Respondent's Coto de Caza,
2 California address via Fed Ex Overnight and was signed for on June 27, 2025. A copy of the IC's
3 Prehearing Conference Statement was also emailed to Respondent, at his email address of record
4 with the Board, on June 25, 2025.

5 The Prehearing Conference was held telephonically as noticed and ordered at which time,
6 legal counsel for the IC, Mr. White, appeared. Neither the Respondent nor any representative of
7 the Respondent participated in the Prehearing Conference, nor did Respondent contact the Board,
8 IC, or Hearing Officer regarding the proceeding.

9 V.

10 On July 29, 2025, at 9:00 a.m., as duly noticed and ordered, a formal hearing was held
11 before the Hearing Officer to receive evidence and to hear arguments of both parties. Legal
12 counsel for the IC, Mr. White, appeared. Respondent did not attend the Hearing, nor did counsel
13 appear on his behalf. Mr. White presented the IC's case and offered Exhibits 1 through 6, which
14 were marked and admitted into evidence.

15 The Hearing Officer provided Findings and Recommendations, which were filed
16 August 5, 2025. This matter was scheduled for final adjudication on September 12, 2025, at a
17 regularly scheduled Board meeting.

18 On August 8, 2025, a notice of the adjudication was sent via U.S. Certified Mail to
19 Respondent's address in Coto de Caza, California and was returned as undeliverable. Copies of
20 the same were also sent to Respondent's email address of record on August 12, 2025.

21 A copy of the adjudication materials, as well as the Investigative Committee's
22 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Attorney's Fees along with a copy of the Hearing
23 Officer's Findings and Recommendation was sent via Fed Ex Overnight to Respondent's address
24 in Coto de Caza, California, and was signed for on August 19, 2025.

25 VI.

26 Pursuant to NRS 622A.300(5)(a), the Findings and Recommendations of the Hearing
27 Officer are hereby approved by the Board and are hereby specifically incorporated and made part

28 ///

1 of this Order by reference. A copy of the Findings and Recommendations filed August 5, 2025, in
2 this matter are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

3 **VII.**

4 The Board hereby finds that Count I, as set forth in the Complaint, and as recapitulated in
5 Paragraph III above, has been established by a preponderance of the evidence.

6 **VIII.**

7 If any of the foregoing Findings of Fact are more properly deemed a Conclusion of Law, it
8 may be so construed.

9 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

10 **I.**

11 The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the Complaint, and an adjudication of this
12 matter by the Board members as set forth herein is proper.

13 **II.**

14 Respondent was timely and properly served with the Complaint, and all notices and orders
15 in advance of the hearing and adjudication thereon, in accordance with NAC Chapter 630,
16 and NRS Chapters 630, 241, 622A and 233B, and all legal requirements of due process.

17 **III.**

18 With respect to the allegations of the Complaint, the Board concludes that Respondent has
19 violated the Medical Practice Act, as alleged in the Complaint, as follows: one (1) count of
20 NRS 630.3065(2)(a), Knowing and Willful Failure to Comply with a Board Order. Accordingly,
21 Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to NRS 630.352.

22 **IV.**

23 The Board finds that, pursuant to NRS 622.400, recovery from Respondent of reasonable
24 attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the Board as part of its investigation and disciplinary
25 proceedings against Respondent is appropriate. The Board has reviewed the Investigative
26 Committee's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Attorneys' Fees; and the Board finds
27 them to be the actual fees and costs incurred by the Board as part of its investigative,
28 administrative and disciplinary proceedings against Respondent, and finds them to be reasonable

1 and necessary based on: (1) the abilities, training, education, experience, professional standing
2 and skill demonstrated by Board staff and attorneys; (2) the character of the work done, its
3 difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and
4 the prominence and character of the parties where, as in this case, they affected the importance of
5 the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the Board's attorneys and staff, and the skill,
6 time and attention given to that work; and (4) the product of the work and benefits to the Board
7 and the people of Nevada that were derived therefrom.

8 **V.**

9 If any of the foregoing Conclusions of Law is more properly deemed a Finding of Fact, it
10 may be so construed.

11 **ORDER**

12 Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and good cause
13 appearing therefore,

14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

15 1. Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(d), Respondent's license to practice medicine is
16 hereby revoked, and in accordance with NRS 622A.410(1), the Board hereby prescribes a period
17 of five (5) years during which the Respondent may not reapply for licensure in the State of
18 Nevada;

19 2. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board reasonable and necessary costs
20 and expenses actually incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the amount of nine
21 thousand five hundred eighty-three dollars and eighty-four cents (\$9,583.84), which amount
22 Respondent shall pay within ninety (90) days of service of this Order;

23 3. Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(e), a written public reprimand shall be issued to
24 Respondent; and

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. Respondent's discipline shall be reported to the appropriate entities, including the National Practitioner Databank (NPDB).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st day of October, 2025.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: 
NICK M. SPIRATOS, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.
President of the Board

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is the full and true original **FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER** on file in the office of the Board of Medical Examiners in the matter of **JON LANE SIEMS, M.D.**, Case No. 24-13009-1.

I further certify that Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G., is the President of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that full force and credit is due to his official acts as such; and that the signature to the foregoing **ORDER** is the signature of the said Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in my official capacity as Secretary-Treasurer of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners.

DATED this 1st day of October, 2025.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: Maggie Arias-Petrel
MAGGIE ARIAS-PETREL
Secretary-Treasurer and Public Member of the Board