ne Matter

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANJAY VOHRA, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 25-8654-1

FILED

SEP 16 2025

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Ian J. Cumings, Senior Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Sanjay Vohra, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

- 1. Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 6086). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on July 1, 1990. Respondent's specialty is listed on the Board's website as internal medicine, cardiovascular diseases and interventional cardiology.
 - 2. Patient A^2 was a fifty-five (55) year-old male at the time of the events at issue.
- 3. On June 25, 2018, Patient A presented to the hospital with complaints of intermittent nausea and shortness of breath for a period of three (3) days. Patient A had a history of nonischemic cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure with an ejection fraction of twenty-two percent (22%), and hypertension.

2627

28

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Victor M. Muro, M.D., Chowdhury H. Ahsan, M.D., Ph.D., FACC, and Ms. Pamela Beal.

² Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect his privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- After evaluation in the emergency department (ER), Patient A was documented as 4. being hypotensive with a blood pressure of 103/86 mmHg and having supraventricular tachycardia with a heart rate of 168 beats per minute (bpm) with atrial flutter after being administered with adenosine, an antidysrhythmic. After his initial presentation to the ER and dosage with adenosine, Patient A's heart rhythm converted to atrial fibrillation, and was then administered with amiodarone.
- On June 25, 2018, Respondent was consulted on Patient A's condition, who 5. recommended continuing administering amiodarone, and starting metoprolol and Lovenox, with an echocardiogram to be obtained the next morning.
- On the morning of June 26, 2018, despite Patient A's persistent low blood pressure 6. and atrial fibrillation, Respondent gave hospital staff a verbal order to administer to Patient A 125mg of Cardizem, a negative inotropic medication, and 400mg amiodarone. Respondent then ordered 50mg of verapamil, a calcium channel blocker, which was infused at or about Additionally, Patient A received 250mcg of digoxin, and more verapamil and 11:11 a.m. Cardizem.
- 7. On June 26, 2018, at or about 10:00 a.m., Patient A's blood pressure was noted to be low at 84/52 mmHg, with a persistently elevated heart rate of 120-150 bpm. At 12:30 p.m. Patient A experienced an asystole cardiopulmonary arrest.

COUNT I

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 8. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- NAC 630,040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a 10. patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."

111

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed
to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when
rendering medical services to Patient A by prescribing calcium channel blockers and negative
inotropic agents to a patient with decompensated systolic heart failure with atrial fibrillation and
hypertension, and persistently low blood pressure.

12. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the IC prays:

- That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give 1. him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been 3. a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;
- That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, 5. conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and
- 6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this day of September, 2025.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

IAN J. CUMINGS

Senior Deputy General Counsel

9600 Gateway Drive

Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: icumings@medboard.nv.gov Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
	: SS.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Chowdhury H. Ahsan, M.D., Ph.D., FACC, having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this /b/day of September, 2025.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

CHOWDHURY H. AHSAN, M.D., PH.D., FACC Chairman of the Investigative Committee