

1                                   **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**  
2                                   **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3                                   \* \* \* \* \*

4  
5 **In the Matter of Charges and Complaint**

Case No. 25-30436-1

6 **Against:**

**FILED**

7 **QUI HY THAI, M.D.,**

**MAR 24 2025**

8 **Respondent.**

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF  
MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: 

9  
10                                   **COMPLAINT**

11                   The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners  
12 (Board), by and through William P. Shogren, General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a  
13 reasonable basis to believe that Qui Hy Thai, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada  
14 Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630  
15 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and  
16 allegations as follows:

17                   1.       Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an  
18 active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 11488). Respondent was  
19 originally licensed by the Board on July 1, 2005.

20                   2.       Patient A<sup>2</sup> was a sixty-one (61) year-old female when she first presented to  
21 Respondent's practice in Las Vegas, Nevada.

22                   3.       Starting on or about January 10, 2011, Respondent became the primary care  
23 provider for Patient A.

24                   4.       On or about October 2, 2014, Patient A presented to Respondent with complaints  
25 of right knee pain. Respondent recommended that Patient A try a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory  
26

27                   <sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal  
28 Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Victor M. Muro, M.D., Chowdhury H. Ahsan,  
M.D., PhD., FACC, and Ms. Pamela J. Beal.

<sup>2</sup> Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient  
Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

1 drug (NSAID) to manage her knee pain, and also prescribed an opioid, Tylenol #3  
2 (acetaminophen and codeine), in case the NSAID did not adequately manage her pain.

3 5. On October 29, 2014, Patient A underwent an x-ray of her right knee, which  
4 demonstrated evidence of arthritis and/or calcium pyrophosphate dihydrate disease (CCPD).

5 6. On November 4, 2014, Patient A underwent laboratory bloodwork that revealed  
6 evidence of renal insufficiency, making her unable to tolerate long term pain management with  
7 NSAID therapy.

8 7. On December 18, 2014, March 3, 2015, and March 17, 2015, Respondent  
9 continued to recommend that Patient A use NSAIDs to manage her knee pain flare-ups, in  
10 addition to Tylenol #3.

11 8. Respondent continued to prescribe opioids from 2014 until at least October 2021 to  
12 manage Patient A's right knee pain. Over the course of this treatment, Patient A first prescribed  
13 Tylenol #3, then switched to Tylenol #4, then switched to Norco (acetaminophen and  
14 hydrocodone), and then to Percocet (oxycodone).

15 9. Respondents' medical records concerning Patient A do not mention exploration of  
16 non-pharmacologic options to treat Patient A's right knee inflammation and pain prior to  
17 prescribing opioids, such as referral to an orthopedic surgeon or referral to physical therapy.  
18 Patient A's medical records further do not mention if Respondent performed an arthrocentesis  
19 with joint fluid analysis to confirm the diagnosis of CPPD, or if Respondent referred Patient A to  
20 a rheumatologist or orthopedic specialist to perform the arthrocentesis with joint fluid analysis.

21 10. Additionally, the mainstay of CPPD treatment consists of anti-inflammatory agents  
22 to reduce the inflammation generated by deposition of the calcium pyrophosphate crystals.  
23 Opioids generally do not effectively treat joint inflammation resulting from arthritis and/or CPPD.

24 11. Starting on or about October 2, 2014, Respondent also began prescribing  
25 benzodiazepines to Patient A in order to manage her anxiety and/or stress.

26 12. Respondent's medical records concerning Patient A do not mention any referrals to  
27 counseling prior to prescribing benzodiazepines. Additionally, Respondent's medical records  
28 concerning Patient A do not mention a trial of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) prior

1 to prescribing benzodiazepines, even though SSRIs are less likely to cause sedation, cognitive side  
2 effects, and/or risk of dependence than benzodiazepines.

3 13. Between October 2014 and October 2021, Respondent repeatedly prescribed  
4 benzodiazepines and opioids concomitantly to Patient A, an older adult, at least twenty (20) times.  
5 Respondent prescribed the opioid medications and NSAIDs for Patient A's right knee  
6 inflammation and pain and benzodiazepines for anxiety and/or stress. Patient A filled  
7 prescriptions from Respondent for both Percocet and alprazolam consistently between 2020 and  
8 2021.

9 14. Concurrent use of benzodiazepines and opioids by older adults increases the risk of  
10 sedation and respiratory depression.

11 15. Additionally, between 2017 and 2021, Respondent prescribed opioids listed in  
12 schedule II, III, or IV to Patient A over several intervals greater than ninety (90) days without  
13 accessing the Nevada State Pharmacy Board's Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) report.

14 16. Between 2011 and 2021, Respondent's records and notes concerning Patient A  
15 were typically handwritten and barely legible.

16 **COUNT I**

17 **NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice**

18 17. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by  
19 reference as though fully set forth herein.

20 18. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating  
21 disciplinary action against a licensee.

22 19. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a  
23 patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar  
24 circumstances."

25 20. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed  
26 to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when  
27 rendering medical services to Patient A, when Respondent (1) concomitantly prescribed opioids  
28 and benzodiazepines, which are known to cause sedation and respiratory depression when used

1 together, over an extended period of time to Patient A, an older adult; (2) prescribed opioids to  
2 treat Patient A's right knee inflammation and/or pain, despite the ineffectiveness of opioids for  
3 anti-inflammatory purposes, without first attempting more appropriate treatment for Patient A's  
4 inflammation; (3) did not document pursuit of non-pharmacologic options prior to prescribing  
5 NSAIDs and/or opioids; and (4) prescribed benzodiazepines to Patient A over an extended period  
6 of time for anxiety and/or stress, without first attempting a trial of SSRI medications and/or a  
7 referral to counseling.

8 21. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as  
9 provided in NRS 630.352.

10 **COUNT II**

11 **NRS 630.306(1)(b)(3) – Violation of Statutes and Regulations of the Nevada State**

12 **Board of Pharmacy**

13 22. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by  
14 reference as though fully set forth herein.

15 23. Respondent is a practitioner as defined by NRS 639.0125(1), as a physician who  
16 holds a license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada.

17 24. NRS 630.306(1)(b)(3) provides that engaging in conduct that violates a provision  
18 of Chapter 639 of NRS, or a regulation adopted by the Pharmacy Board pursuant thereto, that is  
19 applicable to a licensee who is a practitioner, as defined in NRS 639.0125, is grounds for initiating  
20 discipline against a licensee.

21 25. NRS 639.23507(1) provides:

22 Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, a practitioner, other  
23 than a veterinarian, shall, before issuing an initial prescription for a  
24 controlled substance listed in schedule II, III or IV or an opioid  
25 that is a controlled substance listed in schedule V and at least once  
26 every 90 days thereafter for the duration of the course of treatment  
27 using the controlled substance, obtain a patient utilization report  
28 regarding the patient from the computerized program established  
by the Board and the Investigation Division of the Department of  
Public Safety pursuant to NRS 453.162. The practitioner shall:

- (a) Review the patient utilization report; and
- (b) Determine whether the patient has been issued another prescription for the same controlled substance that provides for ongoing treatment using the controlled substance. If the practitioner determines from the patient utilization report or from any other source that the patient has been issued such a

1 prescription, the practitioner shall not prescribe the controlled  
2 substance unless the practitioner determines that issuing the  
prescription is medically necessary.

3 26. Respondent violated NRS 639.23507(1), starting in 2017, by failing to obtain or  
4 review the patient utilization report for Patient A every ninety (90) days during Patient A's course  
5 of treatment using controlled substances listed in schedule II, III, or IV.

6 27. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as  
7 provided in NRS 630.352.

8 **COUNT III**

9 **NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Proper Medical Records**

10 28. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by  
11 reference as though fully set forth herein.

12 29. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the "failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate  
13 and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient" constitute  
14 grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.

15 30. Respondent failed to maintain legible, accurate and complete medical records by  
16 maintaining handwritten and barely legible records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of  
17 Patient A.

18 31. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as  
19 provided in NRS 630.352.

20 **WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays:

21 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give  
22 him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in  
23 NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

24 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early  
25 Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);

26 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been  
27 a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2025.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:



WILLIAM P. SHOGREN  
Deputy General Counsel  
9600 Gateway Drive  
Reno, NV 89521  
Tel: (775) 688-2559  
Email: [shogrenw@medboard.nv.gov](mailto:shogrenw@medboard.nv.gov)  
*Attorney for the Investigative Committee*

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL  
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners  
9600 Gateway Drive  
Reno, Nevada 89521  
(775) 688-2559

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


**VERIFICATION**

STATE OF NEVADA        )  
                                  : ss.  
COUNTY OF CLARK     )

Chowdhury H. Ahsan, M.D., PhD., FACC, having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 24th day of March, 2025.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHOWDHURY H. AHSAN, M.D., PH.D., FACC  
*Chairman of the Investigative Committee*