BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CALVIN HENRY VAN REKEN, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 25-6122-1

FILED

JAN 2 7 2025

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Alexander J. Hinman, Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Calvin Henry Van Reken, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

- 1. Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a physician holding an active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 4220). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on January 10, 1981, with a specialty in Internal Medicine.
- 2. Patient A² was a twenty-two (22) year-old female when she first presented to Respondent's practice, Reno Sparks MedSpa.
- 3. Patient A was initially seen by Respondent in 2013 for cosmetic issues, including acne treatment, at Respondent's Reno Med-Spa practice.

24

111

111

25

26

27

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Chowdhury H. Ahsan, M.D., Ph.D., FACC, Ms. Pamela J. Beal, and Irwin B. Simon M.D., FACS.

² Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Patient A's cosmetic treatment included Botox injections for wrinkles, laser 4. treatment for the removal of a neck tattoo, and laser treatment for the removal of a skin discoloration (birthmark) on her breast area.
- Starting August 6, 2014, Respondent became the Primary Care Physician ("PCP") 5. for Patient A, and he began treating Patient A for multiple issues including chronic wrist/hand pain due to tendinitis, chronic back pain, major depression, ADHD, bipolar disorder, and continuing acne treatment. Patient A also saw Respondent for acute issues including acute upper respiratory infections.
- Respondent was Patient A's PCP from August 6, 2014, to July 30, 2019, when 6. "[he] terminated the doctor-patient relationship by certified mail leaving her time to find another physician," as Patient A's medical insurance was no longer accepted by Respondent's medical practice and Patient A had accrued sizeable medical debt.
- Throughout the course of Respondent's treatment of Patient A, many, if not most, 7. of the medical issues Patient A saw Respondent for were psychiatric in nature.
- Respondent is not a psychiatrist, rather, he is the sole practitioner of Reno Sparks 8. MedSpa, which offers, "state of the art technology to help you improve the appearance of your skin," and he is certified in Internal Medicine and (previously) Geriatric Medicine. Despite this, Respondent never consulted with a psychiatrist or referred Patient A to a physician specializing in that field.
- In 2018, Respondent wrote prescriptions for Patient A, which included, nine 9. hundred and forty-two (942) Oxycodone 10mg (a Schedule II controlled substance), six hundred and forty-two (642) Dextroamphetamine 20mg (a Schedule II controlled substance), eight hundred and ninety-five (895) Clonazepam 1mg (a Schedule IV controlled substance), and one hundred and twenty (120) Zolpidem 10mg (a Schedule IV controlled substance). All of these medications are controlled substances.
- During the period of January 3, 2019, to August 11, 2019, Patient A filled 10. prescriptions written by Respondent for three hundred and twenty-five (325) Oxycodone 10mg, 111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

two hundred and forty (240) Dextroamphetamine 20mg, one hundred and eighty (180) Lorazepam 2mg, one hundred and twenty (120) Clonazepam 1mg, and twenty-one (21) Hydrocodone 10 mg.

- During the approximately five (5) year period that Respondent was Patient A's 11. PCP, there were no documented drug toxicity tests, Patient Risk Assessments, nor is there documentation of informed written consent for controlled substances.
- Further, there is no documentation for a Risk of Abuse Assessment or a 12. Prescription Medication Agreement.
- Despite this, Respondent continued to prescribe controlled substances for over 13. three hundred and sixty-five (365) days, he prescribed opiates and benzodiazepines together, and he never completed a self-query of his prescriptions.
- Additionally, there was evidence of prior opioid abuse and/or dependence and on 14. July 23, 2018, Patient A was prescribed Tramadol for use for pain associated with withdrawal symptoms (withdrawal from oxycodone per the chart notations).
- Despite the symptoms of withdrawal when not using oxycodone, the medication 15. was continued with no other interventions.
- On January 10, 2020, Patient A self-referred herself to a psychiatrist with 16. complaints of an opioid addiction and depression. The psychiatrist diagnosed Patient A with Opioid Use Disorder, and she was started on Buprenophine. Patient A was also diagnosed with an unspecified mood and anxiety disorder.
- On September 17, 2020, Patient A's psychotherapist charted that Patient A had a 17. serious prescription pain pill addiction, costing her an estimated one hundred fifty dollar (\$150) per day.
 - On September 10, 2021, Patient A began regular psychotherapy visits. 18.
- Respondent's treatment of Patient A resulted in, among other things, her 19. developing a severe opioid addiction requiring long-term psychiatric care and a treatment program with Buprenorphine.

111 27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT I

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 20. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating 21. disciplinary action against a licensee.
- NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a 22. patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed 23. to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to Patient A, when Respondent prescribed substantial quantities of pain medications, benzodiazepines, and amphetamines over an extended period of time, ultimately leading to Patient A's need for opioid addiction treatment and long-term psychiatric care.
- Further, Respondent failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge used 24. under similar circumstances by failing to order and/or document a single:
 - Drug Toxicity Test;
 - Patient Risk Assessment; b.
 - Informed written consent for controlled substances; c.
 - Prescription Medication Agreement; and d.
 - e. Risk of Abuse Assessment.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 25. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT II

NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records

All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 26. reference as though fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the "failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate 27. and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient" constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, 28. treatment and care of Patient A, by failing to correctly document his actions when he treated Patient A, by, among other things, not documenting any Drug Toxicity Tests, any Patient Risk Assessments, informed written consent for Patient A's long-term use of controlled substances, a Prescription Medication Agreement, as well as a Risk of Abuse Assessment. Thus, Respondent's medical records were not timely, legible, accurate, and complete.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 29. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT III

NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2) - Violation of Standards of Practice Established by Regulation

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 30. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- Violation of a standard of practice adopted by the Board is grounds for disciplinary 31. action pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2).
- NAC 630.210 requires a physician to seek consultation with another provider of 32. health care in doubtful or difficult cases whenever it appears that consultation may enhance the quality of medical services."
- Respondent failed to timely seek consultation with regard to Patient A's medical 33. condition from August 6, 2014, to July 30, 2019, and Respondent should have consulted with an appropriate care provider to address a proper diagnosis of Patient A's medical condition and such a timely consultation would have confirmed or denied a particular diagnosis that would likely have enhanced the quality of medical care provided to the Patient with regard to her presenting psychiatric condition.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 34. provided in NRS 630.352.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COUNT IV

NRS 630.306(1)(p) - Unsafe or Unprofessional Conduct

- All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated as if fully set 35. forth herein.
- Engaging in any act that is unsafe or unprofessional conduct in accordance with 36. regulations adopted by the Board is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(p).
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent wrote 37. prescriptions to Patient A for opiates, benzodiazepines, and CNS stimulants throughout the course of his treatment of Patient A in a manner that deviated from the professional standards for the prescribing these controlled substances.
 - Respondent's conduct was unsafe and unprofessional. 38.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 39. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT V

NRS 630.306(1)(e) - Practice Beyond Scope of License

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 40. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.306(1)(e) provides that practicing or offering to practice beyond the 41. scope permitted by law or performing services which the licensee knows or has reason to know that he or she is not competent to perform or which are beyond the scope of his or her training constitutes grounds for initiating disciplinary action.
- Psychiatry is the medical specialty that focuses on the diagnosis, treatment, and 42. prevention of mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders. Learned psychiatrists are licensed by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, which requires, among other things, an accredited psychiatry residency and the development of skills in the field.

///

28 ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Respondent was not qualified to manage Patient A's psychiatric issues, which 43. included chronic back pain, ADHD, major depression, and bipolar disorder, all of which can require complex diagnostic testing and treatment.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 44. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT VI

NRS 630.301(8) - Failure to Provide Procedures, Studies, Services, Referrals

- All of the allegations in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated as if fully set 45. forth herein.
- NRS 630.301(8) provides that the failure to offer appropriate procedures or studies, 46. to provide necessary services or to refer a patient to an appropriate provider, when the failure occurs with intent of positively influencing the financial well-being of the practitioner are grounds for discipline.
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent 47. violated NRS 603.301(8) with regard to Patient A's medical conditions, such as chronic back pain, ADHD, major depression, and bipolar disorder when Respondent failed to offer appropriate procedures or studies and to provided necessary services or to refer Patient A to an appropriate provider as Respondent's failure to perform all of the aforementioned occurred with the intent of positively influencing the financial well-being of the Respondent's practice.
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent 48. violated NRS 603.301(8) with regard to Patient A's medical conditions, such as chronic back pain, ADHD, major depression, and bipolar disorder when Respondent failed to offer appropriate procedures or studies and to provided necessary services or to refer Patient A to an appropriate provider as Respondent's failure to perform all of the aforementioned occurred with the intent of positively influencing the financial well-being of the Respondent's practice.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 49. provided in NRS 630.352.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT VII

NRS 630.306(1)(g) - Continual Failure to Exercise Skill or Diligence

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 50. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- Continual failure by the Respondent to exercise the skill or diligence or use the 51. methods ordinarily exercised under the same circumstances by physicians in good standing practicing in the same specialty or field is grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(g)
- Respondent continually failed to exercise skill or diligence as demonstrated by his 52. continual prescribing of addictive medications to Patient A, over a period of years, such as Oxycodone, Dextroamphetamine, Lorazepam, Clonazepam, Hydrocodone, and Zolpidem without ever documenting drug toxicity tests, Patient Risk Assessments, a Prescription Medication Agreement, or a Risk of Abuse of Assessment. Further, Respondent prescribed these medications to treat conditions that necessitated diagnostics in areas outside of his specialties.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 53. provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give 1. him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early 2. Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been 3. a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this 4. case as outlined in NRS 622.400;
- That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, 5. conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 12th day of January, 2025.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

ALEXANDER J. HINMAN Deputy General Counsel 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: <u>ahinman@medboard.nv.gov</u>
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
	: SS.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Chowdhury H. Ahsan, M.D., Ph.D., FACC, having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 27th day of January, 2025.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

CHOWDHURY H. AHSAN, MW., PH.D., FAC Chairman of the Investigative Committee

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 28th day of January, 2025, I served a file-stamped copy of the foregoing COMPLAINT via USPS Certified Mail, postage pre-paid, to the following parties:

CALVIN HENRY VAN REKEN, M.D. 55 Foothill Road #1 Reno, NV 89511

Tracking No.: 9171 9690 0935 0254 6097 42

DATED this _2025.

MERCEDES UENTES

Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners