# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of the Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JON LANE SIEMS, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No.: 24-13009-1

**FILED** 

SEP 16 2024

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
By:

### ORDER OF SUSPENSION AND NOTICE OF HEARING

The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) hereby **IMMEDIATELY SUSPENDS** the license of Jon Lane Siems, M.D. (Respondent) from the practice of medicine, pursuant to the Board's authority to regulate the practice of medicine in the State of Nevada pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630.

The IC issues this Order of Suspension (Order) based on its determination that Respondent violated a Board Order when he did not satisfy all of the conditions contained in the discipline issued by the Board after adjudication at the Board meeting on December 1, 2023. See Exhibit 1.

Prior to the preparation of this Order, Board Staff presented to the IC the following:

- 1. Respondent is a medical doctor licensed to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 9250). The Board issued his license on December 20, 1999.
- 2. On December 27, 2022, in Case No. 19-13009-2, the IC suspended Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada pending a show cause hearing regarding the subject of that summary suspension via an Order of Summary Suspension.
- 3. On January 30, 2023, the IC filed a formal Complaint against Respondent in Case No. 23-13009-1 which facts relate directly back to an Order issued in Case No. 19-13009-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners is composed of Board members Bret W. Frey, M.D., Chairman, Carl N. Williams, Jr., M.D., and Col. Eric D. Wade, USAF (Ret.).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. On February 2, 2023, a show cause hearing was held regarding Respondent's suspension.
- 5. After attempts to serve Respondent with the formal Complaint on a previous date, Respondent was served with the formal Complaint in Case 23-13009-1 on March 3, 2023.
  - 6. On April 13, 2023, a formal hearing was held in Case No. 23-13009-1.
- 7. On December 1, 2023, the Board adjudicated the matter and found that Respondent did indeed violate the single count of Knowing or Willful Failure to Comply with a Board Order, as provided by NRS 630.3065(2)(a), and imposed discipline.
- 8. The discipline the Board imposed was a written public reprimand, that Respondent's license be suspended for a period of one (1) year from December 28, 2022 to December 27, 2023, and on December 28, 2023, Respondent's license status shall be reinstated to an active-probation status; Respondent shall complete the University of San Diego Physician Assessment and Competency Evaluation Program (PACE), Competency Assessment, or substantially similar assessment by another entity approved by the Board within six (6) months of issuance of the Order; that any continuing medical education received for attending the program shall be in addition to the requirements that are regularly imposed upon Respondent as a condition of licensure in the State of Nevada pursuant to NAC 630.153(1); and Respondent was required to reimburse the Board the reasonable and necessary costs and expenses actually incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the amount of seven thousand four hundred and ninety-nine dollars, and twenty cents (\$7,499.20) within six (6) months of service of the Order.
- 9. Respondent received a deadline of June 1, 2024, to pay the fines and costs in Case No. 23-13009-1.
- 10. Johnna LaRue, CMBI, Compliance Officer for the Board sent Respondent a letter dated December 19, 2023, containing helpful instructions and deadlines to assist Respondent in complying with the Board Order. See Exhibit 2.
- 11. As of today's date, Respondent has not paid the costs in the amount of seven thousand four hundred and ninety-nine dollars, and twenty cents (\$7,499.20) and is therefore in violation of the Board's Order.

5	`
4	<b>[</b> ]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-11

2

3

	12.	NRS 630.3065(2)(a) states in pertinent part that [e]xcept as otherwise provided in
NRS 6	30.267	2, knowingly or willfully failing to comply with: (a) [a] regulation, subpoena or
order of the Board or a committee designated by the Board to investigate a complaint against a		
physici	ian, con	stitutes grounds for initiating disciplinary action.

13. Based on the foregoing, the IC finds that Respondent has willfully and knowingly violated the Board Order and is immediately suspended from the practice of medicine in the State of Nevada. See NRS 630.326(1).

### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the License of Jon Lane Siems, M.D. (9250) is immediately SUSPENDED until further order of the IC or Board, and Respondent is prohibited from engaging in any acts that constitute the practice of medicine pursuant to NRS 630.020; and

October, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., at the Board's office located at 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521, with video conferencing to the Las Vegas office at 325 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 225, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 to determine whether this suspension may continue, unless the parties mutually agree in writing to a different date and/or time to hold the hearing. See NRS 630.326(2).

DATED this 16th day of September, 2024.

1837

2027

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

BRET W. F. EY, M.D.

Chairman of the Investigative Committee

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 16th day of September, 2024, I served a file-stamped copy of the foregoing **ORDER OF SUSPENSION AND NOTICE OF HEARING**, via Fed Ex (priority overnight), postage prepaid, to the following parties:

JON LANE SIEMS, M.D. 31852 Via Patito Coto de Caza, CA 92679

*Tracking No.:* 778618923066

DATED this <u>//e</u> day of September, 2024.

MEG BYRD

Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

### EXHIBIT 1

# EXHIBIT 1

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against

JON LANE SIEMS, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 23-13009-1

DEC 1 9 2023

**NEVADA STATE BOARD OF** MEDICAL EXAMINERS

### FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

This case was presented for adjudication and decision before the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), during a regularly scheduled Board meeting on December 1, 2023, at 8:40 a.m., (Pacific Standard Time), located at 325 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 225, Las Vegas, NV 89119, video conferenced to 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, NV 89521. Jon Lane Siems, M.D., (Respondent), was properly served with a notice of the adjudication, including the date, time and location, and was present and not represented by counsel. The adjudicating members of the Board participating in these Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order (FOFCOL) were: Nick M. Spirtos M.D., F.A.C.O.G., Ms. Maggie Arias-Petrel, Ms. Pamela Beal, Irwin B. Simon, M.D., FACS, Joseph Olivarez, P.A.-C, and Jason B. Farnsworth, RRT, MBA. Chricy E. Harris, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, served as legal counsel to the Board.

The Board, having received and read the Complaint and exhibits admitted at the hearing of this matter, the Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendations1, and the transcript of the hearing, made its decision pursuant to its authority and provisions of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), NRS Chapter 622A, and NRS Chapter 233B, as applicable.

///

111

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendations were prepared by Charles Woodman, Esq., who was appointed as Hearing Officer under NRS 630.106 in this matter and presided over the hearing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Board, after due consideration of the record, evidence, and law, and being fully advised in the premises, makes its FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER in this matter, as follows:

### FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent held an Active-Probation license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada issued by the Board from December 3, 2021, until December 27, 2022. An Order for Summary Suspension of Respondent's license was filed on December 27, 2022, served on December 27, 2022, which immediately suspended Respondent's license until a show cause hearing could be held to determine if his medical license would be reinstated during the regular hearing process. On February 2, 2023, a show cause hearing was held at the office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and the Hearing Officer entered his order during the hearing that continued the suspension of Respondent's medical license throughout the hearing process.

II.

On January 30, 2022, the Investigative Committee filed its formal Complaint in Case No. 23-13009-1, alleging Respondent violated the Medical Practice Act. Respondent was personally served with the Complaint by a USPS Certified mail on March 3, 2023. The Complaint alleges as follows: Count I, a violation of NRS 630.3065(2)(a) Knowing or Willful Failure to Comply with a Board Order.

Respondent did not answer or file a response to the allegations set forth in the Complaint. Pursuant to NAC 630.460(4), the allegations of the Complaint are deemed generally denied if an answer is not filed.

III.

An Early Case Conference was held at the conclusion of the show cause hearing. Donald K. White, Senior Deputy General Counsel was present on behalf of the Investigative Committee (IC) of the Board, with Hearing Officer Charles Woodman, Esq., Respondent participated in the Early Case Conference and show cause hearing but was not represented by an attorney.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In compliance with NAC 630.465 an Order After Prehearing and Order Confirming Hearing Date was filed March 1, 2023, setting dates for the formal hearing calendared to commence on April 13, 2023, at the Office of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, 9600 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521 video conferenced to the Board's Las Vegas Office, located at 325 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 225, Las Vegas, NV 89119. All documents intended to be introduced as evidence in the case were to be exchanged on or before March 13, 2023. Respondent was served this Order via USPS Certified Mail on March 6, 2023, at his address of record.

### IV.

On April 13, 2023, as duly noticed and ordered, a hearing was held before the Hearing Officer to receive evidence and to hear arguments of both parties. Legal counsel for the Investigative Committee, Donald K. White, Senior Deputy General Counsel appeared, along with Respondent, without legal counsel, and Hearing Officer Charles Woodman, Esq. Mr. White presented the IC's case, offered documentary evidence, and presented witness testimony. Exhibits one (1) through (4) from the IC and several of Respondent's exhibits, were marked and admitted into evidence.

The Hearing Officer provided the Synopsis and Analysis of Record, filed May 9, 2023. This matter was scheduled for final adjudication on December 1, 2023, at a regularly scheduled Board meeting.

The notice of the adjudication was sent via USPS Certified Mail on October 30, 2023, and was delivered to Respondent on November 3, 2023, at 10:14 a.m.

A copy of the adjudication materials along with a copy of the Hearing Officer's Synopsis and Analysis of Record were mailed via Fed Ex 2-Day and were delivered to Respondent's address of record on November 10, 2023.

### V.

Pursuant to NRS 622A.300(5)(a), the Findings and Recommendations of the Hearing Officer are hereby approved by the Board in their entirety, with modification to the discipline, and 111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

are hereby specifically incorporated and made part of this Order by reference and are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

### VI.

In accordance with the Synopsis and Analysis of Record, the Board hereby finds that the count set forth in the Complaint, and as recapitulated in Paragraph II above, have been established by a preponderance of the evidence.

### VII.

If any of the foregoing Findings of Fact is more properly deemed a Conclusion of Law, it may be so construed.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

I.

The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the Complaint, and an adjudication of this matter by the Board members as set forth herein is proper.

### II.

Respondent was timely and properly served with the Complaint, and all notices and orders in advance of the hearing and adjudication thereon, in accordance with NRS and NAC Chapters 630, NRS Chapters 241, 622A and 233B, and all legal requirements of due process.

### III.

With respect to the allegations of the Complaint, the Board concludes that Respondent has violated NRS 630.3065(2)(a) Knowing or Willful Failure to comply with a Board Order, as alleged in Count I. Accordingly, Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to NRS 630.352.

### IV.

The Board finds that, pursuant to NRS 622.400, recovery from Respondent of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the Board as part of its investigation and disciplinary proceedings against Respondent is appropriate. The Board has reviewed the Investigative Committee's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Attorneys' Fees, and the Board finds them to be the actual fees and costs incurred by the Board as part of its investigative, administrative and disciplinary proceedings against Respondent, and finds them to be reasonable,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

necessary, and actually incurred based on: (1) the abilities, training, education, experience, professional standing and skill demonstrated by Board staff and attorneys; (2) the character of the work done, its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where, as in this case, they affected the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the Board's attorneys and staff, and the skill, time and attention given to that work; and (4) the product of the work and benefits to the Board and the people of Nevada that were derived therefrom.

V.

If any of the foregoing Conclusions of Law is more properly deemed a Finding of Fact, it may be so construed.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and good cause appearing therefore,

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Respondent has violated the Medical Practice Act, as alleged in the Complaint, as follows: one (1) violation of NRS 630.3065(2)(a), Knowing or Willful Failure to comply with a Board Order.
- Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(e), the Board shall administer a written public 2. reprimand to Respondent.
- Respondent's license shall be suspended for a period of one year, from 3. December 28, 2022, to December 27, 2023.<sup>2</sup> On December 28, 2023, Respondent's license status shall be reinstated to an Active-Probation status.
- Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(k), Respondent shall complete at the University of 4. San Diego Physician Assessment and Competency Evaluation Program (PACE), Competency Assessment, or substantially similar assessment by another entity approved by the Board within six (6) months of issuance of this Order. Any aforementioned hours of Continuing Medical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pursuant to the Board's motion imposing discipline, the one-year suspension imposed upon Respondent shall take into account the time he has been suspended since the Order of Summary Suspension, which was served upon him on December 28, 2022.

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

	4
	5
	5 6 7 8
	7
	8
	9
	10
ners	11
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-259	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

1

3

Education received for attending the program that is substantially related to PACE shall be in addition to the requirements that are regularly imposed upon Respondent as a condition of licensure in the State of Nevada pursuant to NAC 630.153(1).

- 5. Respondent shall reimburse the Board the reasonable and necessary costs and expenses actually incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the amount of seven thousand four hundred and ninety-nine dollars, and twenty cents (\$7,499.20) within six (6) months of service of this Order. The Board, and/or its designee, are granted the authority to collect any and all funds due under this Order.
- 6. Investigation Case Nos. 18-18146, 19-18953, 20-19625, 20-19679, 20-19736, 21-20367, 22-21285, 22-21357, 23-22654, 23-22790, and 23-22844 shall be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 19th day of December, 2023.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

NICK M. SPIRTÖS, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.

President of the Board

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

### **CERTIFICATION**

I certify that the foregoing is the full and true original FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER on file in the office of the Board of Medical Examiners in the matter of **JON LANE SIEMS, M.D.**, Case No. 23-13009-1.

I further certify that Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G., is the President of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that full force and credit is due to his official acts as such; and that the signature to the foregoing ORDER is the signature of said Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in my official capacity as Secretary-Treasurer of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners.

DATED this 19th day of December, 2023.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

MAGGIE ARIAS-PETREL

Maggie Arias-Petrel

Secretary-Treasurer and Public Member of the Board

# EXHIBIT 1

# EXHIBIT 1

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

### FILED

### OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MAY - 9 2023

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Case No.: 23-13009-1

Against (Case No.:19-13009-2)

JON L. SIEMS, M.D.,

Respondent.

### SYNOPSIS AND ANALYSIS OF THE RECORD

Hearing Officer Charles B. Woodman, having heard a formal pre-hearing conference, as well as the formal Hearing of this matter, hereby presents the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners with his formal Analysis of this case. This Analysis is based upon all evidence adduced at the formal Hearing, this Hearing Officer's findings of facts and conclusions of law, which findings include the credibility of the witnesses who gave evidence.

### RELEVANT BACKGROUND

This is a combined case as shown in the caption, whereby the Investigative Committee ("IC") of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Nevada ("Board") alleged that Dr. Jon Lane Siems, M.D., ("Dr. Siems") failed to comply with the Settlement Agreement he entered into as resolution to Board Case 19-13009-2. The final page of that Settlement Agreement contains the Board's order mandating compliance with the Agreement. A duly noticed formal hearing of the matter was held before the undersigned Hearing Officer on April 13, 2023 at the Northern Nevada office of the Board in Reno. Mr. Donald K. White, Esq., Senior Deputy General Counsel appeared on behalf of the Board's IC. Dr. Siems appeared via videoconference from the Board's Southern Nevada office representing himself in pro se. The matter was duly recorded by a licensed reporter and is a matter of public record.

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

The formal Complaint in Board Case 23-13009-1 alleges a single count of Knowing or Willful Failure to Comply with a Board Order, a violation of NRS 630.3065(2)(a), which states that:

The following acts, among others, constitute grounds for initiating disciplinary action or denying licensure: \* \* \*

- 2. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 630.2672, knowingly or willfully failing to comply with:
- (a) A regulation, subpoena or order of the Board or a committee designated by the Board to investigate a complaint against a physician;

More specifically, the IC alleges at paragraphs 8-9 of the Complaint that:

- Respondent [Dr. Siems] knowingly or willfully failed to comply with an order of the Board when he failed to complete 20 hours of CMEs or the PACE Program by or within the deadline he agree to with the Board [in Case 19-13009-2].
- 9. Additionally, the Settlement Agreement [in Case 19-13009-2] that Respondent signed and was subsequently approved by the Board clearly states that Respondent agreed to be placed on stayed suspension and that if he violated any terms of the agreement that the IC shall be authorized to immediately suspend Respondent's license to practice medicine in Nevada pending an Order to Show Cause hearing.

Accordingly, the two cases are inextricably linked inasmuch as Dr. Siems' alleged violation of the Order at the final page of the Settlement Agreement entered into in Case 19-13009-2 makes up the entirety of the basis for Case 23-13009-1. Further, it appears that the Board may determine to take formal action in both such cases.

At commencement of the formal Hearing of this matter, Dr. Siems was again advised of his right to be represented by counsel, as this Hearing Officer has so advised him in prior proceedings. The Hearing proceeded with Dr. Siems electing to represent himself. See Transcript at page 5 ("T.5").

It is noted very significantly here that the Board has already determined that Dr. Siems "willfully and knowingly violated his Settlement Agreement" via the Board's Order of Suspension And Notice of Hearing filed December 27, 2022. That Order was issued summarily based on evidence proffered by the IC. A duly noticed formal Order to Show

Cause hearing to determine whether that summary suspension should continue was heard before the undersigned on February 2, 2023, with Dr. Siems in attendance, also representing himself at that time. After that hearing and in light of evidence provided by the IC and by Dr. Siems, this Hearing Officer confirmed the suspension pending further decisions by the Board and pending adjudication of the new complaint in Case 23-13009-1. The April 13 hearing was held to formally adjudicate Case 23-13009-1. At the hearing, the IC's burden was to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Dr. Siems committed the violation alleged in the Complaint in Case 23-13009-1, i.e. that he knowingly or willingly failed to comply with the Board's order confirming his Settlement Agreement.

1.3

### THE EVIDENCE

The evidence adduced at the April 13 hearing is summarized as follows (bold and italics are inserted by the Hearing Officer):

The IC's Deputy Chief of Investigations and Compliance Officer Johnna LaRue testified that:

Following the Board's approval of the Settlement Agreement entered into between Dr. Siems and the IC, Ms. LaRue mailed notice of the Board's decision to Dr. Siems' then counsel on December 14, 2021. T.15-17;

Ms. LaRue's letter, including a copy of the Settlement Agreement, disclosed the specific requirements with which Dr. Siems would have to comply to meet his obligations under the Settlement Agreement, and the fact that he would have one year from the date of the Board hearing to so comply, which would allow Dr. Siems until December 3, 2022 to meet all the conditions outlined in the Settlement Agreement, and required by the Board's Order thereon. T.18-20;

Receipt of Ms. LaRue's letter containing the Settlement Agreement and Board Order by Dr. Siems' then counsel<sup>1</sup> was confirmed via documentary evidence showing that it was received on December 18, 2021. T.21-22, 26, IC Exhibit 4;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As noted, Dr. Siems was not represented by counsel at the formal Hearing in Case 19-13009-2. However, he retained counsel after the Hearing which culminated in execution of the Settlement Agreement.

 Dr. Siems and his counsel both signed the Settlement Agreement. T.28;

Via a November 18, 2022 email to the IC's counsel Mr. White, Dr. Siems' counsel advised Mr. White that he was no longer representing Dr. Siems. T.29-30;

At no time did Dr. Siems' counsel or any other person ever contact Ms. LaRue or anyone else at the Board to request an extension of time for Dr. Siems to complete his requirements under the Settlement Agreement. T.31-33;

Extensions of time to comply with settlement agreement conditions are routinely given under reasonable circumstances. T.33-34;

Dr. Siems did not complete all his CMEs required by the Settlement Agreement within the mandated one-year period, nor did he complete the PACE program. T.34-35. Rather, the last required CMEs were completed within a few days following the February 2, 2023 hearing on the license suspension, (which would have been approximately two months late). T.35;

Dr. Siems pressed Ms. LaRue on whether the Board should have required him (Dr. Siems) to complete the PACE program when he discovered that the program could not accommodate him. However, Ms. LaRue responded by saying that the Board would have amended the Settlement Agreement had Dr. Siems contacted the Board within the one-year period to advise that PACE could not accommodate him. T.38-43;

In response to Dr. Siems raising the issue of possibly not receiving a copy of the Settlement Agreement from his counsel, Ms. LaRue advised that she had worked a number of times in the past with the same attorney who represented Dr. Siems, and she has never had any issues with practitioners not receiving documents from that attorney. T.45-46;

Had the IC known at any time prior to expiration of his one-year timeline that the PACE program could not presently accommodate Dr. Siems, arrangements would have been made to take the matter back to the Board to alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement. T.47-48;

Dr. Siems recognized a copy of the Settlement Agreement and acknowledged that he signed it. T.51-52;

 Dr. Siems acknowledged that he knew his deadline for complying with the terms of the Settlement Agreement was December 3, 2022. T.54;

At some time during December, 2022, Dr. Siems had his manager Isabella call the IC to provide an update on his process of complying with the Settlement Agreement, but not to request an extension of time. T.55-56;

Dr. Siems confirmed that while he does not recall receiving the IC's letter via his counsel following the approval of the Settlement Agreement, that does not mean that he does not remember the "settlement demands." "That's not my claim. I was aware of what the settlement demands were." On questioning by Mr. White, Dr. Siems acknowledged he was aware of the settlement demands as of the date he signed the document, i.e. November 24, 2021. T.57;

Email correspondence between Dr. Siems and the PACE program showed that Dr. Siems was not yet enrolled with PACE as of December 20, 2022, as his outstanding balance due for participation was \$10,000.00. The balance due check was dated December 30, 2022, three days after service of the suspension order. T.61, 63-65, Respondent's Exhibits p.0003, 00095;

The first time that Dr. Siems, or his office personnel, contacted the PACE program was October 31, 2022 (or approximately 33 days prior to the compliance deadline in the Settlement Agreement). T.63;

When asked directly if he complied with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Siems answered "No." T.65;

Dr. Siems was advised that he had the opportunity to present a defense case, but as the Board and its IC have the burden of proof, he (Dr. Siems) had no obligation to do so. Dr. Siems chose to provide a defense case. T.67-68;

Beginning at page 75 of the transcript, Dr. Siems provided a quite thorough history of some highly traumatic personal crises which began happening in his life in November, 2022, i.e. approximately one month or less before the December 3, 2022 deadline for complying with the Settlement Agreement. Those circumstances include a November 22, 2022 trip to Europe for approximately two weeks due to family medical emergencies,

physically and emotionally consuming. T.75-141;

Dr. Siems received a letter from the PACE program advising that the program could not accommodate Dr. Siems. That letter was dated April 6, 2023. T.82,
Respondent's Exhibits p.0147;

protect the privacy of Dr. Siems' and his family members. Suffice it to say that

 Dr. Siems believes it is the Board's responsibility to determine whether or not the PACE program could accommodate him. Dr. Siems does not feel it is his responsibility to make that determination. T.84;

ongoing emergent care of a young man that Dr. Siems refers to as his step-son upon return

to the United States from Europe, and the necessity of a restraining order on December 23,

2022. The undersigned Hearing Officer declines to include details of those matters here to

circumstances involving the mother of his children, and the young man whom Dr. Siems

refers to as his step-son, were in crisis stage, which, according to sworn testimony, were

Dr. Siems testified that he waited four months, i.e. until the PACE program letter of April 6, 2023, to discover / confirm that PACE could not accommodate him. T.89;

Dr. Siems asked his witness Amel Youssef, O.D., if the trauma they jointly experienced because of her son's medical emergencies could distract a person "enough that mundane parts of life, perhaps, were ignored and made oblivious?" She testified in the affirmative. T.114-115;

### **ANALYSIS**

This Hearing Officer did not find any witness who testified at the hearing to have credibility issues. While the witnesses called by Dr. Siems could be argued to be self-serving, those witnesses presented as genuine and factual. This Hearing Officer takes their testimony, along with all of that elicited by the IC, at full face value.

Dr. Siems executed the Settlement Agreement on November 24, 2021. He soon thereafter learned that pursuant to an Order of the Board, he had until December 3, 2022, to complete the conditions of his resolution. He first contacted the PACE program on October 31, 2022, one month and three days before his deadline for full completion of all conditions. That deadline came and went without Dr. Siems handling his CME or PACE

obligations. On December 27, 2022, Dr. Siems' license was summarily suspended for his failure to comply with the conditions of the Settlement Agreement. A formal noticed hearing confirming the suspension was held February 2, 2023. It was after that hearing that Dr. Siems completed his CME requirements, which was more than two months out of compliance. Dr. Siems received a letter confirming that the PACE program could not accommodate him on or after April 6, 2023, just a week prior to the formal Hearing on the Complaint alleging his failure to comply. And while Dr. Siems had his office manager contact the IC with a status update on his compliance at some time in December, 2022, (most likely after his December 3 deadline), at no time – either before or after the deadline – did Dr. Siems or his staff ever request additional time to complete his requirements under the Settlement Agreement.

Dr. Siems offered what is genuinely a compassion-evoking explanation of a series of unfortunate and even tragic events in his life - not the results of his doing - and which no doubt required a great deal of his time and attention. The evidence is clear that he responded well to loved ones in need. His actions were indeed admirable.

Unfortunately, Dr. Siems' defense is measured against three harsh realities. First, as he readily acknowledged, Dr. Siems failed to comply with the terms of his Settlement Agreement and the Board's Order mandating such. Second, Dr. Siems made no contact with the PACE program till he was only thirty-three days away from his deadline. Third, Dr. Siems did not reach out to the IC until after his deadline passed, and even when he had his office manager call, it was to provide a status update and not to seek additional time to comply. Further, it is apparent from the record that the great majority of the challenges that occurred in Dr. Siems' personal life arose either just prior to his compliance deadline—and some even occurred thereafter (such as the necessity of obtaining a restraining order).

Dr. Siems also offers as part of his defense that it should have been the Board's responsibility to ensure that the PACE program could accommodate his area of specialty / expertise before including such in the Settlement Agreement. While not fully articulated in the record, it appears to the Hearing Officer that those involved in crafting the Settlement Agreement had ample cause to believe based on past experience that the PACE program

could serve a physician of Dr. Siems' specialty. It is also apparent from the record that Covid-19 had impacted the ability of the PACE program to accommodate some specialties. Accordingly, the Hearing Officer does not recognize a basis to leave upon the Board sole responsibility for the potential availability of the PACE program to function for Dr. Siems. As stated repeatedly by the IC's counsel Mr. White, had Dr. Siems not waited 333 days to initiate contact with PACE, this case could have been quite different. Ms. LaRue made sufficiently clear that timely notice of any deficiency in the ability of the PACE program to serve in this case would have allowed the Board to amend its requirements. Finally, there is the logical reality that the party on the hook, i.e. the one with his licensure at stake, ought to engage in sufficient due diligence to ensure he is doing all that is required to preserve his valuable practice. Dr. Siems offered no explanation as to why he did not reach out to PACE until October 31, 2022, or why he did not complete his CMEs from the time he signed the Settlement Agreement in November, 2021, until his personal challenges arose in late November 2022. Finally, it must be recognized that Dr. Siems' counsel - who was an extension of Dr. Siems, participated in the negotiating and crafting of the Settlement Agreement. And it was Dr. Siems who executed that Agreement. And accordingly, Dr. Siems shares responsibility for what that Agreement contains.

It is also significant that the statute at issue here is one of strict liability. While Dr. Siems argues that the statute does not prohibit consideration of extenuating circumstances, that does not obviate the plain language of the law that "knowingly or willfully failing to comply with . . . [an] order of the Board constitutes grounds for initiating disciplinary action. The Settlement Agreement became an Order of the Board when the Board approved it. The Order is the final page of the Agreement. Dr. Siems candidly admitted he knew that he did not comply with that Order. The knowing prong of the statute is thus satisfied. And while not a necessary finding or conclusion since either a knowing or willful violation will trigger the ramifications of the statute, it can reasonably be determined that Dr. Siems' failure to comply was willful considering the long delay before he took any action whatsoever. The Hearing Officer finds Dr. Siems' passive description of the Board's Order as a "mundane part of life" as a reflection of the amount of concern

he had for the Order, which is further reflected in the long delay before any action was taken whatsoever. In any event, the knowing violation is clear and convincing, thus exceeding the IC's burden of proving their case by a preponderance of the evidence.

### **CONCLUSION**

This Hearing Officer, while readily acknowledging the significant trying life events experienced by Dr. Siems and his family, must recommend that the Board find that Respondent Dr. Jon Lane Siems, M.D., violated the statute as alleged in the Complaint, in that he knowingly failed to comply with the terms of the Order contained within the Settlement Agreement. There is no doubt room for compassion for Dr. Siems in all he experienced in his personal life right around the compliance deadline. But those extenuating circumstances do not negate the knowing failure to meet his mandated obligations, especially when he took no action to inform the Board of those circumstances and/or to seek additional time to comply, or to have the requirements duly amended.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of May, 2023.

Charles B. Woodman, Hearing Officer

### EXHIBIT 2

# EXHIBIT 2

### **NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.

Board President



Edward O. Cousineau, J.D. Executive Director

December 19, 2023

Jon Lane Siems, M.D. 41493 Margarita Road, #G109 Temecula, CA 92591-5570

Re: Compliance Case #23-13009-1

Dear Dr. Siems:

On December 1, 2023, the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, approved and accepted the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order regarding the complaint filed on Case No. 23-13009-1 finding the following:

 Respondent has violated the Medical Practice Act, as alleged in the Complaint, as follows: one (1) violation of NRS 630.3065(2)(a), Knowing or Willful Failure to comply with a Board Order.

As a result, the Board entered its **ORDER** as follows:

- Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(e), the Board shall administer a written public reprimand to Respondent;
- Respondent's license shall be suspended for a period of one year, from December 28, 2022 to December 27, 2023. On December 28, 2023, Respondent's license status shall be reinstated to Active-Probation status;
- Respondent shall complete at the University of San Diego Physician Assessment and Competency Evaluation Program (PACE), Competency Assessment, or substantially similar assessment by another entity approved by the Board within six (6) months of issuance of this Order. Any aforementioned hours of Continuing Medical Education received for attending the program that is substantially related to PACE shall be in addition to the requirements that are regularly imposed upon Respondent as a condition of licensure in the State of Nevada pursuant to NAC 630.153(1);
- Respondent shall reimburse the Board the reasonable and necessary costs and expenses
  actually incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the amount of seven
  thousand four hundred and ninety-nine dollars, and twenty cents (\$7,499.20) within six (6)
  inonths of service of this Order. The Board, and/or its designee, are granted the authority to
  collect any and all funds due under this Order;
- Investigation Case Nos. 18-18146, 19-18953, 20-19625, 20-19679, 20-19736, 21-20367, 22-21285, 22-21357, 23-22654, 23-22790, and 23-22844 shall be dismissed with prejudice.

Your compliance with the terms and conditions pertaining to CME's will be monitored by Johnna S. LaRue, CMBI, Compliance Officer. Please contact me at (775) 324-9377 for any questions or concerns regarding CME's.

Your compliance with the terms and conditions pertaining to **Administrative Costs and Fines** will be monitored by Donya Jenkins, Chief of Finance and Human Resources. Please contact Ms. Jenkins at (775) 324-9354 for any questions or concerns regarding payment of costs and fines.

Please make note of the Board's new policies for making payments, credit cards, cashier's checks and money orders are the only form of payment accepted.

Payment can be made online at https://nsbme.us.thentiacloud.net/webs/nsbme/service/#/login.

Included in the Order are mandatory actions that you must fulfill some of which include:

- 1.) The costs in the amount of \$7,499.20 are due by **June 1, 2024**.
- 2.) The University of San Diego Physician Assessment and Competency Evaluation Program (PACE), Competency Assessment, or substantially similar assessment by another entity is due by **June 1, 2024**.

Please contact me, in writing, within 30 days and provide the most expeditious method of contacting you. Thereafter, please update me immediately upon any change in your contact information. You may mail the information to the address below, fax it to 775-688-2553 or email it to me at <a href="mailto:jlarue@medboard.nv.gov">jlarue@medboard.nv.gov</a>. In addition, any additional information required from you should be submitted to the same contact numbers and address.

If you have any questions, please call, or write. Thank you for your cooperation on this matter.

Respectfully,

Johnna S. LaRue, CMBI

Deputy Chief of Investigations/Compliance Officer

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive

Reno, NV 89521

(775) 324-9377