OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

4

1

2

3

5

Against:

7

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

7 |

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

SILVANA R. ARCINIEGAS RODRIGUEZ, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 24-40713-1

FILED

SEP 19 2024

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through William P. Shogren, Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Silvana R. Arciniegas Rodriguez, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

- 1. Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 14767). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on April 17, 2013.
- 2. Patient A² was a three (3) month-old female at the time of the events at issue. Patient A was prematurely born at thirty-three (33) weeks.
- 3. On February 18, 2020, Patient A presented to Sunrise Hospital with reports of heavy breathing, cough, and congestion. Patient A was subsequently diagnosed with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) bronchiolitis and respiratory failure requiring respiratory support.

///

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Bret W. Frey, M.D., Col. Eric D. Wade, USAF (Ret.), and Carl N. Williams, Jr., M.D., FACS.

² Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- On February 22, 2020, a pediatric pulmonologist performed a bronchoscopy on 4. Patient A, due to mucus plugging, partial collapsed lung, and respiratory insufficiency. Due to oxygen desaturation following this procedure, Respondent, a pediatric intensivist, emergently performed an endotracheal intubation on Patient A.
- Respondent documented that she performed the intubation with a 4.5 sized cuffed 5. endotracheal tube.
- A 4.5 sized cuffed endotracheal tube is typically recommended for children two to 6. three years of age, and not three (3) month-old children such as Patient A. The use of an endotracheal tube that is too large carries a significant risk factor for the development of subglottic stenosis (narrowing of the airway below the vocal cords and above the trachea).
- 7. After the February 22, 2020, procedure, Patient A remained intubated at Sunrise Hospital.
- 8. Respondent documented that she evaluated Patient A later in the day on February 22, 2020, after performing the intubation. Respondent also documented that she evaluated Patient A on February 24, 2020.
- During these two (2) visits, Respondent did not document the size of Patient A's 9. intubation tube and failed to document the tube cuff pressures and presence or absence of an air leak around the endotracheal tube.
- On March 4, 2020, another pediatric intensivist extubated Patient A and placed her 10. on high flow nasal cannula. Due to increased labored breathing and upper airway stridor, this pediatric intensivist removed the 4.5 sized endotracheal tube and re-intubated Patient A with a smaller, 3.5 sized tube. The pediatric intensivist noted that Patient A was originally intubated with a 4.5 sized endotracheal tube.
- On March 20, 2020, Sunrise Hospital staff noted that Patient A was in stable 11. condition and discharged Patient A with instructions for close interval follow-up and return precautions.

27 111

28 ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

On March 26, 2020, Patient A was re-admitted to Sunrise Hospital with respiratory 12. distress. During this admission, Patient A received a tracheostomy and was noted to have severe granulation obstructing the airway. Patient A was subsequently diagnosed with subglottic stenosis, scarring of the posterior vocal cords, scarred arytenoids, and the need for airway reconstruction.

COUNT I

NRS 630.301(4) – Malpractice

- 13. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630,301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating 14. disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 15. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed 16. to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when treating Patient A, by failing to ensure that the appropriately sized endotracheal tube was used during Respondent's February 22, 2020, intubation of Patient A.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 17. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT II

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 18. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a Physician is grounds for initiating 19. disciplinary action against a licensee.

27 111

28 111

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
;	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

28

1

2

3

4

	20.	N	IAC (530.040	defines	malpra	actice	as "th	e failur	e of a	physiciar	n, in t	reating a
patient	to	use	the	reasona	ible ca	re, ski	ll, or	know	ledge	ordinari	ly used	under	simila
circum	etan	ces "											

- 21. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when treating Patient A, by failing, after the February 22, 2020, intubation of Patient A, to reintubate Patient A with the appropriately sized endotracheal tube despite evaluating Patient A on February 22, 2020, and February 24, 2020.
- 22. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT III

NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records

- 23. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the "failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient" constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- 25. Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient A, by failing to correctly document her actions when she treated Patient A, by failing to document the monitoring of Patient A's endotracheal tube cuff pressures and the presence or the absence of an air leak around the endotracheal tube, on and after February 22, 2020.
- 26. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT IV

NRS 630.306(1)(g) - Continual Failure to Exercise Skill or Diligence

27. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

28

///

///

1

28.

Continual failure by the Respondent to exercise the skill or diligence or use the

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 19th day of September, 2024.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

WILLIAM P. SHOGREN

Deputy General Counsel 9600 Gateway Drive

Reno, NV 89521 Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: shogrenw@medboard.nv.gov
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)		
	: SS.		
COUNTY OF WASHOE)		

Bret W. Frey, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 19 day of September, 2024.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Chairman of the Investigative Committee