

1                                   **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**  
2                                   **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3                                   \* \* \* \* \*

4  
5   **In the Matter of Charges and Complaint**

Case No. 24-28386-1

6   **Against:**

7   **HILARY LYNN MALCARNEY, M.D.,**

8   **Respondent.**

**FILED**

**MAR 28 2024**

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF  
MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: \_\_\_\_\_

9  
10                                   **COMPLAINT**

11           The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners  
12 (Board), by and through Deonne E. Contine, General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a  
13 reasonable basis to believe that Hilary Lynn Malcarney, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions  
14 of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter  
15 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges  
16 and allegations as follows:

17           1.     Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an  
18 active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 10754). Respondent was  
19 originally licensed by the Board on December 29, 2003.

20           2.     Patient A<sup>2</sup> was a sixty-three (63) year-old male at the time of the events at issue in  
21 this Complaint.

22           3.     Patient A first saw Respondent on March 3, 2014, with complaints of bilateral knee  
23 pain. Over the next several years, Respondent periodically treated Patient A with steroid injections  
24 and later with viscosupplementation injections in his right knee.

25    ///

26  
27           <sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal  
28 Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Aury Nagy, M.D., Ms. Maggie Arias-Petrel,  
and Nicola M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G.

<sup>2</sup> Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect his privacy but is disclosed in the Patient  
Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

1           4.     On July 16, 2018, Patient A saw Respondent for continued pain in his right knee.  
2 Records show that Respondent again suggested injections for treatment but because he had limited  
3 pain relief from previous conservative treatment, and after discussion about the procedure,  
4 Patient A indicated his desire for a total knee replacement.

5           5.     Patient A saw Respondent for a preoperative appointment on January 23, 2019,  
6 during which he consented to the surgery and on February 5, 2019, Respondent performed a right  
7 total knee arthroplasty on Patient A.

8           6.     Records indicate that at the time of surgery the initial placement of the 5-degree  
9 intramedullary guide (femoral jig) did not allow for a cut to the medial bone, so the jig was moved  
10 back from 9mm to 12mm.

11          7.     This readjustment resulted in a significant valgus malalignment of the right femoral  
12 component because this original malalignment of the jig reinforced the incorrect angle at a more  
13 proximal level.

14          8.     Post operative imaging evidenced the alignment of the knee in valgus in relation to  
15 the mechanical axis.

16          9.     Patient A did not recover well from the total knee arthroplasty surgery. He had  
17 some initial healing issues including cellulitis (which recovered well with treatment). Patient A  
18 saw Respondent for six (6) post operative appointments during which he continued to complain of  
19 swelling and pain.

20          10.    On March 5, 2019, Patient A sought a second opinion from Eric Boyden, M.D.  
21 related to continued pain in his right knee. Chart notes from this initial visit of Patient A indicate  
22 that Patient A presented with “. . . severe, severe pain that is persisting. He cannot be on his leg for  
23 any period of time. He has also noticed that the angle of his knee does not seem right to him. He  
24 seems like he is a little bit more knock-kneed than what he would expect.”

25          11.    Dr. Boyden’s notes indicate his review of four (4) views of Patient A’s knee  
26 including a full-length standing view which showed the distal cut on the femur to be about 10  
27 degrees over ideal.

28     ///



1 **WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays:

2 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against her and give  
3 her notice that she may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in  
4 NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

5 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early  
6 Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);

7 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been  
8 a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

9 4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this  
10 case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

11 5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact,  
12 conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

13 6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these  
14 premises.

15 DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2024.

16 INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
17 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

18 By:

*Deonne E. Contine*

19 DEONNE E. CONTINE

General Counsel

9600 Gateway Drive

Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: [dcontine@medboard.nv.gov](mailto:dcontine@medboard.nv.gov)

*Attorney for the Investigative Committee*

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL  
Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners  
9600 Gateway Drive  
Reno, Nevada 89521  
(775) 688-2559

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA        )  
                                  : ss.  
COUNTY OF CLARK     )

Aury Nagy, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 28th day of March, 2024.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
AURY NAGY, M.D.  
*Chairman of the Investigative Committee*