

1 **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**
2 **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3 * * * * *

4
5 **In the Matter of Charges and Complaint**

Case No. 24-44573-1

6 **Against:**

FILED

7 **CONRADO CHRISTIAN DILIG CONCIO, M.D.,**

FEB 29 2024

8 **Respondent.**

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF
MEDICAL EXAMINERS
By: 

9
10 **COMPLAINT**

11 The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
12 (Board), by and through Alexander J. Hinman, Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC,
13 having a reasonable basis to believe that Conrado Christian Dilig Concio, M.D. (Respondent)
14 violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada
15 Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues
16 its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

17 1. Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an
18 active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 16344). Respondent was
19 originally licensed by the Board on March 8, 2016.

20 2. Patient A² was a forty-one (41) year-old female at the time of the events at issue.

21 3. Patient A was admitted to Centennial Hills Hospital on May 3, 2017, for a suicide
22 attempt and overdose of multiple drugs including, Benadryl, Cymbalta, and Ambien.

23 4. Shortly thereafter, Patient A was placed on a ventilator to assist with her breathing
24 and placed in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) at Centennial Hills Hospital.

25 ///

26
27 ¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal
28 Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Bret W. Frey, M.D., Col. Eric D. Wade USAF
(Ret.), and Carl N. Williams, Jr., M.D., FACS.

² Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient
Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

1 5. On May 6, 2017, Patient A was weaned off the ventilator, extubated, and
2 transferred to a medical floor. Patient A was documented as having “no shortness of breath.”

3 6. Patient A was initially showing signs of improvement and was “on room air”
4 without the need for supplemental oxygen; however, Patient A’s condition began to deteriorate in
5 the coming days.

6 7. On May 10, 2017, Patient A, still in the hospital, was seen by a physical therapist
7 that noted in their medical report at 11:35 a.m., “[patient] exhibits very shallow and more labored
8 breathing compared to initial eval,” and “[patient] ambulated 10’ to chair requiring very long
9 seated break afterwards with VC’s (verbal cues) for pursed lip breathing,” and that the patient
10 “demos significantly decreased activity tolerance.”

11 8. A few hours later, at 3:13 p.m., a nurse documented, “[patient] has “complaints of
12 shortness of breath.” Respondent had still not re-examined Patient A after her deterioration.

13 9. At 4:11 p.m., a progress note from the same RN stated, “[patient] complaining of
14 increased labor of breathing, [she] states she feels like she is drowning.” A breathing treatment
15 was ordered, and Patient A was given Ativan for anxiety; however, she showed no improvement.
16 Patient A was then moved to get a chest X-ray (CXR).

17 10. At 4:21 p.m., a “Valley Health System Rapid Response Protocol” was ordered,
18 signifying that Patient A was in a high degree of distress from her shortness of breath. Patient A
19 was not examined by a physician after this event.

20 11. After the CXR was completed and Patient A’s arterial blood gas analysis (ABG)
21 was resulted, there were no changes on the CXR and the ABG was consistent with a patient who
22 had been hyperventilating. This should have alerted Respondent to Patient A’s deteriorating state.

23 12. At 5:12 p.m., Patient A’s pulmonologist wrote a progress note which stated,
24 “patient had rapid called when down for CXR.” The pulmonologist then recommended that a
25 computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the chest be performed. Inexplicably, this
26 recommendation was not followed at this time. He also notes, “increased dyspnea poss[ibly] from
27 too rapid taper steroid.”

28 ///

1 22. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed
2 to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when
3 rendering medical services to Patient A when he failed to physically examine her despite the
4 substantial warning signs elucidated in the medical records and her continuous complaints of
5 respiratory symptoms.

6 23. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
7 provided in NRS 630.352.

8 **COUNT II**

9 **NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice**

10 24. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
11 reference as though fully set forth herein.

12 25. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating
13 disciplinary action against a licensee.

14 26. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as “the failure of a physician, in treating a
15 patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar
16 circumstances.”

17 27. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed
18 to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when
19 rendering medical services to Patient A when he ordered an additional dose of Ativan within the
20 span of one (1) hour, a drug that is a sedative and respiratory depressant, without physically
21 examining Patient A.

22 28. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
23 provided in NRS 630.352.

24 **COUNT III**

25 **NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records**

26 29. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
27 reference as though fully set forth herein.

28 ///

1 30. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the “failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate
2 and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient” constitute
3 grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.

4 31. Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis,
5 treatment and care of Patient A, by, among other things, failing to correctly document any
6 consideration of moving Patient A to a higher level of care when the patient had refractory
7 shortness of breath issues.

8 32. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
9 provided in NRS 630.352.

10 **WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays:

11 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give
12 notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in
13 NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

14 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early
15 Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);

16 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been
17 a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

18 4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this
19 case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

20 5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact,
21 conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 29th day of February, 2024.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: 

ALEXANDER J. HINMAN
Deputy General Counsel
9600 Gateway Drive
Reno, NV 89521
Tel: (775) 688-2559
Email: ahinman@medboard.nv.gov
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
 : ss.
COUNTY OF WASHOE)

Bret W. Frey, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 29th day of February, 2024.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:



BRET W. FREY, M.D.
Chairman of the Investigative Committee