	OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
OF THE STA	<b>FE OF NEVADA</b>
* *	* * *
In the Matter of Charges and Complaint	Case No. 20-5783-1
Against:	FILED
RICHARD ALLAN BARGEN, M.D.,	OCT - 2 2023
Respondent.	NEVADA STATE BOATTA

The above-entitled matter came on regularly for decision before the Nevada State Board of 11 Medical Examiners (Board), on September 15, 2023, at the Board's office located at 9600 12 herein. Complaint filed 89521, the 13 Gateway Drive, Reno. Nevada, on Richard Allan Bargen, M.D., (Respondent), was properly served with a notice of the adjudication, 14 including the date, time, and location. Respondent was not present personally or through an 15 The adjudicating members of the Board participating in these Findings of Fact, 16 attorney. Conclusions of Law, and Order (FOFCOL) were: Aury Nagy, M.D., Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., 17 F.A.C.O.G., Ms. Maggie Arias-Petrel, Bret W. Frey, M.D., Carl N. Williams, M.D., and Irwin B. 18 Simon, M.D., FACS. Harry Ward, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, served as legal counsel to the 19 20 Board.

The Board, having received and read the formal Complaint (Complaint) and exhibits admitted at the hearing of this matter, the Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendations,<sup>1</sup> and the transcript of the hearing, made its decision pursuant to its authority and provisions of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), NRS Chapter 622A, and NRS Chapter 233B, as applicable.

27 ////

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendations were prepared by Nancy Moss Ghusn, Esq., who was appointed as Hearing Officer under NRS 630.106 in this matter and presided over the hearing.

4

5

6

7

8

The Board, after due consideration of the record, evidence and law, and being fully advised in the premises, makes its FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND 2 ORDER in this matter, as follows: 3

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

## I.

Respondent held a license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada issued by the Board from September 15, 1979, to July, 27, 2020.

#### II.

Complaint in filed its 10. 2020, the Investigative Committee 9 On June Case No. 20-5783-1, alleging Respondent violated the Medical Practice Act. The Complaint was 10 mailed to Respondent by certified mail with return receipt requested at his last known address in 11 Reno, Nevada, and was returned undelivered. Pursuant to NRS 630.344, if a Complaint cannot be 12 served on Respondent personally, or by registered or certified mail with return receipt requested, 13 addressed to the Respondent at his or her last known address, the Board shall cause notice to be 14 published once a week for four (4) consecutive weeks in a newspaper published in the county of 15 the last known address of the licensee or, if no newspaper is published in that county, then in a 16 newspaper widely distributed in that county. Respondent was served with the Complaint by 17 publication in the Reno Gazette-Journal, a newspaper published at Reno, Nevada, on 18 February 7, 2023, February 14, 2023, February 21, 2023, and February 28, 2023. 19

The Complaint alleges one (1) violation of the Medical Practice Act that constitutes 20 grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee, as follows: one (1) count of 21 NRS 630.301(4) Malpractice (Count I), one (1) count of NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2) Violation of 22 Standards of Practice (Count II), and one (1) count of NRS 630.3062(1)(a) Failure to Maintain 23 Complete Medical Records (Count III). 24

Respondent did not answer or file a response to the allegations set forth in the Complaint. 25 Pursuant to NAC 630.460(4), the allegations of the Complaint are deemed generally denied if an 26 answer is not filed. 27

1 An Order Setting Early Case Conference was filed on March 9, 2023, scheduling the Early 2 Case Conference for the pending matter for April 3, 2023, at 11:30 a.m. This Order was mailed 3 via USPS Certified Mail, postage pre-paid, to Respondent's address of record, and returned 4 undelivered. 5

On April 3, 2023, Hearing Officer Nancy Moss Ghusn, Esq., conducted a telephonic Early 6 Case Conference (ECC) in this matter. Deonne Contine, General Counsel, was present on behalf 7 of the Investigative Committee (IC). Respondent did not participate in the proceeding, nor did he 8 contact the Board, IC, or Hearing Officer regarding the proceeding. At the ECC dates for the 9 Prehearing Conference, exchange of witnesses and documents, and the date for the Hearing were 10 set. 11

On April 18, 2023, in compliance with NAC 630.465, a Scheduling Order setting the 12 Prehearing Conference and Hearing was filed. Pursuant to that Order, the Prehearing Conference 13 was set for May 8, 2023, at 11:00 a.m. The Scheduling Order was sent to Respondent's address 14 on file with the Board and was also emailed to Respondent on April 18, 2023. 15

Respondent was timely and properly served with the Prehearing Conference Statement and 16 the mandated prehearing disclosures in accordance with NRS and NAC Chapters 630, 17 NRS Chapters 241, 622A and 233B, and the requirements of due process, by US Certified Mail, to 18 Respondent address of record on April 26, 2023. A copy of the Prehearing Conference Statement 19 20 with disclosures was also emailed to Respondent on April 26, 2023.

The Prehearing Conference was held telephonically as noticed and ordered, at which time, 21 legal counsel for the IC, Ms. Contine, appeared. Neither the Respondent nor any representative of 22 the Respondent participated in the Prehearing Conference, nor did Respondent contact the Board, 23 IC, or Hearing Officer regarding the proceeding. 24

25

## IV.

On May 15, 2023, as duly noticed and ordered, a Hearing was held before the Hearing 26 Officer to receive evidence and to hear arguments of both parties. Legal counsel for the IC, 27 Ms. Contine, appeared. Respondent did not attend the Hearing, nor did counsel appear on his 28

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

22

23

behalf. Ms. Contine presented the IC's case and offered Exhibits 1 through 6, which were marked 2 and admitted into evidence.

The Hearing Officer provided Findings and Recommendations, which were filed 3 July 7, 2023. This matter was scheduled for final adjudication on September 15, 2023, at a 4 regularly scheduled Board meeting. 5

The notice of the adjudication was sent via US Certified Mail to Respondent's address of 6 7 record and by email.

A copy of the adjudication materials along with a copy of the Hearing Officer's Findings and Recommendation were mailed via US Certified Mail to Respondent's address of record on September 5, 2023, with an additional copy by email. 10

V.

Pursuant to NRS 622A.300(5)(a), the Findings and Recommendations of the Hearing Officer are hereby approved by the Board, and are hereby specifically incorporated and made part of this Order by reference. A copy of the Findings and Recommendations filed July 7, 2023, in this matter are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

#### VI.

The Board hereby finds that Counts I, II and III, as set forth in the Complaint, and as 17 recapitulated in Paragraph II above, has been established by a preponderance of the evidence. 18

VII.

If any of the foregoing Findings of Fact is more properly deemed a Conclusion of Law, it 20 21 may be so construed.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

#### I.

The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the Complaint, and an adjudication of this 24 25 matter by the Board members as set forth herein is proper.

- 111 26
- 111 27
- 111 28

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559 1

2

3

4

5

12

#### II.

Respondent was timely and properly served with the Complaint, and all notices and orders in advance of the hearing and adjudication thereon, in accordance with NAC Chapter 630, and NRS Chapters 630, 241, 622A and 233B, and all legal requirements of due process.

#### III.

With respect to the allegations of the Complaint, the Board concludes that Respondent has
violated the Medical Practice Act, as alleged in the Complaint, as follows: one (1) count of
NRS 630.301(4) Malpractice (Count I), one (1) count of NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2) Violation of
Standards of Practice (Count II), and one (1) count of NRS 630.3062(1)(a) Failure to Maintain
Complete Medical Records (Count III). Accordingly, Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant
to NRS 630.352.

### IV.

The Board finds that, pursuant to NRS 622.400, recovery from Respondent of reasonable 13 attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the Board as part of its investigation and disciplinary 14 The Board has reviewed the Investigative proceedings against Respondent is appropriate. 15 Committee's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Attorneys' Fees; and the Board finds 16 them to be the actual fees and costs incurred by the Board as part of its investigative, 17 administrative and disciplinary proceedings against Respondent, and finds them to be reasonable 18 and necessary based on: (1) the abilities, training, education, experience, professional standing 19 and skill demonstrated by Board staff and attorneys; (2) the character of the work done, its 20 difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and 21 the prominence and character of the parties where, as in this case, they affected the importance of 22 the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the Board's attorneys and staff, and the skill, 23 time and attention given to that work; and (4) the product of the work and benefits to the Board 24 and the people of Nevada that were derived therefrom. 25

26

If any of the foregoing Conclusions of Law is more properly deemed a Finding of Fact, it
may be so construed.

V.

1	ORDER	
2	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and good cause	
3	appearing therefore,	
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
5	1. Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(e), a written public reprimand shall be issued to	
6	Respondent;	
7	2. Pursuant to NRS 630.352(4)(d), Respondent's license to practice medicine is	
8	hereby revoked, and in accord with NRS 622A.410(1), the Board hereby prescribes a period of	
9	three (3) years during which the Respondent may not reapply for licensure in the State of Nevada;	
10	3. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board the reasonable and necessary	
11	costs and expenses actually incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the amount of	
12	four thousand two hundred forty-nine dollars and thirty-four cents (\$4,249.34), which amount	
13	Respondent shall pay immediately upon reapplication for licensure in the State of Nevada; and	
14	4. Discipline shall be reported to the appropriate entities, including the National	
15	Practitioner Databank.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	DATED this 2nd day of October, 2023.	
18	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS	Ì
19		
20	Tred M Franks	
21	NICK M. SPIRTOS, M.D., F.A.C.O.G. President of the Board	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6	

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

1	CERTIFICATION
2	I certify that the foregoing is the full and true original FINDINGS OF FACT,
3	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER on file in the office of the Board of Medical
4	Examiners in the matter of RICHARD ALLEN BARGEN, M.D., Case No. 20-5783-1.
5	I further certify that Nick M. Spirtos, M.D., F.A.C.O.G., is the President of the Nevada
6	State Board of Medical Examiners and that full force and credit is due to his official acts as such;
7	and that the signature to the foregoing <b>ORDER</b> is the signature of the said Nick M. Spirtos, M.D.,
8	F.A.C.O.G.
9	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in my official capacity as
10	Secretary-Treasurer of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners.
11	DATED this <u>2nd</u> day of October, 2023
12	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
13	By: Moggie Arias-Fetrel
14	MAGGIE ARIAS-PETREL
15	Secretary-Treasurer and Public Member of the Board
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

# **EXHIBIT 1**

## **EXHIBIT 1**

1	
1	<b>BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS</b>
2	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
3	* * * *
4	
5	In the Matter of Charges and Case No. 20-5783-1
6	Complaint Against FILED
7	RICHARD ALLAN BARGEN, M.D., JUL - 7 2023
8	Respondent. NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
9	By:By:
10	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
11	TO: Deonne E. Contine, Esq.
12	Deputy General Counsel Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
13	9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521
14	
15	Richard Allan Bargen, M.D. 490 HIGHLAND AVE. #24
16 17	Reno, NV 89512
17 18	This matter came for hearing on May 15, 2023. Present were Deonne E. Contine, Esq. on
	behalf of the Investigative Committee (the "IC") of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
19 20	("NSBME"), and the undersigned hearing officer. Respondent Richard Allan Bargen, M.D. ("Dr.
20	Bargen") did not appear nor otherwise participate.
21	Notice to Dr. Bargen was confirmed on the record. Specifically, proof was submitting
23	indicating that the Scheduling Order, filed April 18, 2023, which contained notice of the hearing
24	was sent by certified mail to Dr. Bargen by Ms. Mercedes Fuentes on April 19, 2023, at his last
25	known address on file with the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (the "Board"). See
26	NRS 630.254; NRS 630.255; NRS 630.344; Scheduling Order, p. 4. While Dr. Bargen had
27	communications with the Board, the record indicates that he did not provide an alternative
28	address, was aware of the proceedings, and did not engage in the proceedings despite several
	1

1 notices and attempts to contact him.<sup>1</sup> See Volume 1, Exhibit 2. No continuance of the hearing was requested by any interested party. 2 With Dr. Bargen having failed to appear and no continuance having been requested nor 3 granted, the matter was heard as scheduled pursuant to NRS 622A.350, which provides: 4 5 1. If a party fails to appear at a scheduled hearing and a continuance has not been scheduled or granted, any party who is present at the hearing may 6 make an offer of proof that the absent party was given sufficient legal notice. Upon a determination by the regulatory body or hearing panel or officer that the absent 7 party was given sufficient legal notice, the regulatory body or hearing panel or 8 officer may proceed to consider and dispose of the case without the participation of the absent party. 9 10 2. If the licensee fails to appear at a hearing, the regulatory body or hearing panel or officer may accept the allegations against the licensee in the 11 charging document as true. 12 As well as pursuant to NAC 630.470(2), which provides: "If a licensee fails to appear at a 13 scheduled hearing and no continuance has been requested and granted, the evidence may be heard 14 and the matter may be considered and disposed of on the basis of the evidence before the Board, 15 panel or hearing officer in the manner required by this section." 16 In so proceeding, undersigned heard evidence from the IC in support of the Complaint 17 allegations that consist of: Count I, NRS 630.301(4), Malpractice; and Count II, NRS 18 630.306(1)(b)(2), Violation of Standards of Practice; and Count III, NRS 630.3062(1)(a), Failure 19 to Maintain Complete Medical Records), premised upon controlled substances being prescribed 20 and administered by an unknown provider, by and through credentials held by Dr. Bargen, and by 21 Dr. Bargen himself. Through sworn testimony of Chief Investigator for the Nevada State Board 22 of Medical Examiners Ernesto Diaz, and through the admission of Exhibits 1-6, the IC established 23 as follows: 24 1. Dr. Bargen was employed as a locums for Dr. Gary Ridenour, a physician located 25 in Fallon, Nevada. See Volume I, Exhibit 2. 26 27 <sup>1</sup> In this respect, there are no filings in the record on behalf of Dr. Bargen including, but not limited to, an Answer to 28 the Complaint. 2

1	2. While investigating to file a Complaint against another physician, Dr. Gary
2	Ridenour, a peer review from Robert Gong, MD, dated January 6, 2020, referenced Dr. Richard
3	Bargen as a physician whose treatment fell below the standard of care. See Volume I, Exhibit 1,
4	p. 1, paragraph 10; Transcript of Hearing Proceedings, p. 9.
5	3. Multiple attempts were made by the IC to reach Dr. Bargen, to no avail. See
6	Volume I, Exhibit 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6; Transcript of Hearing Proceedings, pp. 9-17.
7	4. The NSBME arranged with a process server, Legal Process Service, to personally
8	serve Dr. Bargen at the last known address that he supplied to NSBME and the IC. See Volume I,
9	Exhibit 1, p. 3; Exhibit 2; Exhibit 5; Transcript of Hearing Proceedings, pp. 23-25.
10	5. When the NSBME was unable to contact Dr. Bargen, it arranged for service by
11	publication. Volume I, Exhibit 6; Transcript of Hearing Proceedings 25-26.
12	
13	
14	WHEREFORE the undersigned hearing officer finds as follows:
15	Proper notice of the proceedings, inclusive of the evidentiary hearing, was provided to Dr.
16	Bargen and no continuance of the evidentiary hearing was sought nor granted;
17	Pursuant to NRS 622A.350(2) the undersigned accepts the allegations against Dr. Bargen
18	in the Complaint, filed June 10, 2020, as true;
19	Aside from the authority granted by NRS 622A.350(2), the undersigned hearing officer
20	finds that the IC established a violation of Count I, NRS 630.301(4), Malpractice, by establishing,
21	as alleged, that Dr. Bargen dispensed, and prescribed excessively high doses of controlled
22	substances to Patient A and that Dr. Bargen further failed to monitor, assess, or review the use of
23	opioids or discuss alternatives with the patient, which compounded the improprieties engaged in
24	by Dr. Bargen with respect thereto. The foregoing establishes that Dr. Bargen failed to use the
25	reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when he provided
26	medical services to Patient A, in a manner not authorized by law as contemplated by NRS
27	630.306(4).
28	

1 Aside from the authority granted by NRS 622A.350(2), the undersigned hearing officer finds that the IC established a violation of Count II, NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2), Violation of Standards 2 of Practice, by establishing that Dr. Bargen furnished opioids to Patient A as described above, i.e., 3 in excessively high doses while failing to justify changes in doses, in a combination with other 4 medications without documenting the medical justification, failing to review the PMP after 5 encounters with the patient, failing to assess the patient for alternative non-opioid therapy or to 6 assess the possible interaction of patient's concurrent medications with opioid therapy; failing to 7 8 assess the patient for possible drug abuse, drug diversion or other non-medical related activity, 9 failing to review the PMP data or to assess the patient for possible drug screens. The foregoing 10 establishes that Dr. Bargen engaged in behavior that violated the professional standards in the 11 practice of medicine contrary to law.

Aside from the authority granted by NRS 622A.350(2), the undersigned hearing officer
finds that the IC established a violation of Count III, NRS 630.3062(1)(a), Failure to Maintain
Complete Medical Records, by establishing that Dr. Bargen failed to maintain timely, complete,
legible, and accurate medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment, and care of Patient A.

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING, it is recommended that the Board find Dr. Bargen in
violation of NRS 630.301(4), Malpractice, as alleged in Count I of the Complaint filed on June
10, 2020; NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2), Violation of Standards of Practice, as alleged in Count II of the
Complaint filed on June 10, 2020; and NRS 630.3062(1)(a), failure to Maintain Complete
Medical Records, as alleged in Count III of the Complaint filed on June 10, 2020.

4

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of July 2023.

mahur

Nancy Moss Ghusn, Esq., Hearing Officer for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 675 West Moana Lane Ste. #107 Reno, NV 89509 (775) 772-5644 Nmg416@gmail.com

27 28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and
3	that on the 10th day of July, 2023, I served a file-stamped copy of the foregoing FINDINGS AND
4	RECOMMENDATIONS, via U.S. Certified Mail, return receipt requested, to the following
5	parties:
6	RICHARD ALLEN BARGEN, M.D.
7	490 Highland Ave. #24 Reno, NV 89512
8	Tracking No.: 9171 9690 0935 0255 6831 78
9	ha
10	DATED this 10 <sup>11</sup> day of July, 2023.
11	
12	MERCEDES FUENTES
13	Legal Assistant Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
14	Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
15 16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	