

1                                   **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**  
2                                   **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3                                   \* \* \* \* \*

4  
5   **In the Matter of Charges and Complaint**

Case No. 23-43977-1

6   **Against:**

**FILED**

7   **IRA ALAN KLEIN, M.D.,**

JUL 27 2023

8   **Respondent.**

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF  
MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: 

9  
10                                   **COMPLAINT**

11                   The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners  
12 (Board), by and through William P. Shogren, Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC,  
13 having a reasonable basis to believe that Ira Alan Klein, M.D., (Respondent) violated the provisions  
14 of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter  
15 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges  
16 and allegations as follows:

17                   1.       Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an  
18 active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 16161). Respondent was  
19 originally licensed by the Board on October 13, 2015.

20                   2.       Patient A<sup>2</sup> was a seventy-two (72) year-old female at the time of the events at issue.

21                   3.       On or about August 16, 2018, Patient A presented to Mountain View Hospital with  
22 complaints of feeling dehydrated and weak.

23                   4.       After arriving at Mountain View Hospital, Patient A was diagnosed with massive  
24 recurrence of oral tongue cancer extending to the area of the vocal cords, associated with the  
25 inability to swallow.

26  
27                   <sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal  
28 Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, Chair, Aury Nagy,  
M.D., and Michael C. Edwards, M.D., FACS.

<sup>2</sup> Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient  
Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

1           5.       While at Mountain View Hospital, the medical professionals caring for Patient A  
2 determined that Patient A should undergo a diagnostic endoscopic procedure and placement of a  
3 percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube, to allow access for medication and nutrition.  
4 These procedures were scheduled for August 18, 2018.

5           6.       Respondent was the anesthesiologist for Patient A's August 18, 2018, diagnostic  
6 endoscopic procedure and PEG tube placement.

7           7.       Patient A's medical notes and records, which were available to Respondent prior to  
8 the diagnostic endoscopic procedure and PEG tube placement procedure, indicated possible  
9 airway management difficulties and difficulty with intubation, including an x-ray report signed  
10 and dated on August 16, 2018, showed thickening in Patient A's throat area, suggesting either  
11 epiglottitis or angioedema.

12           8.       Respondent's pre-operative assessment of Patient A did not adequately address the  
13 anticipated airway difficulties consistent with possible epiglottitis or angioedema.

14           9.       The PEG placement procedure on August 18, 2018, took place in the endoscopy  
15 suite at Mountain View Hospital, instead of the operating room. Respondent did not follow an  
16 epiglottitis or angioedema protocol by not having the procedure performed in an operating room  
17 with a surgeon and staff available for a surgical airway.

18           10.      During the August 18, 2018, procedure Respondent provided monitored anesthesia  
19 care to Patient A. Respondent sedated Patient A with 2 mg of Midazolam and 70 mg of Propofol  
20 intravenously.

21           11.      During the August 18, 2018, procedure Patient A developed low blood oxygen  
22 levels and stopped breathing upon the admission of anesthesia. Respondent was able to oxygenate  
23 but could not ventilate Patient A. Patient A suffered cardiac arrest.

24           12.      A rapid response team was called, who then attempted full CPR, ventilation, and  
25 pharmacologic intervention. Patient A was then resuscitated.

26           13.      Respondent unsuccessfully attempted a direct laryngoscopy in order to intubate  
27 Patient A's trachea, but was unable to do so due to Patient A's tumor distorting the airway and  
28 making it impossible to visualize the larynx.

1 14. Surgeons were called into the procedure room, where they performed an  
2 emergency tracheostomy on Patient A. Patient A was then transferred to the ICU in an unstable  
3 condition, where she eventually was stabilized.

4 15. Respondent's post-anesthesia assessment record indicated that there were no  
5 complications involved with the August 18, 2018 PEG tube placement procedure.

6 16. Respondent further did not record any post-procedure notes regarding Patient A.

7 **COUNT I**

8 **NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice**

9 17. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by  
10 reference as though fully set forth herein.

11 18. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating  
12 disciplinary action against a licensee.

13 19. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a  
14 patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar  
15 circumstances."

16 20. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed  
17 to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when  
18 rendering medical services to Patient A, when (1) Respondent's pre-operative assessment of  
19 Patient A did not adequately address Patient A's preoperative status, including anticipated airway  
20 difficulties consistent with possible epiglottitis or angioedema; (2) Respondent did not perform the  
21 intubation in an operating room with a surgeon and staff available for a surgical airway; and (3)  
22 Respondent failed to anticipate that a direct laryngoscopy and successful intubation for Patient A  
23 would not have been possible during the procedure on or about August 18, 2018.

24 21. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as  
25 provided in NRS 630.352.

26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///

**COUNT II**

**NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records**

22. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

23. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the “failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient” constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.

24. Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient A by failing to adequately document a pre-operative assessment of Patient A’s difficult airway, including assessment of possible epiglottitis or angioedema, prior to initiating Patient A’s anesthetic care on or about August 18, 2018. Respondent also failed to document that Patient A suffered complications during her procedure on or about August 18, 2018. Respondent further failed to record any post-procedure notes, including any follow-up visits with Patient A.

25. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

**WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays:

1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);

3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:



WILLIAM P. SHOGREN

Deputy General Counsel

9600 Gateway Drive

Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: [shogrenw@medboard.nv.gov](mailto:shogrenw@medboard.nv.gov)

*Attorney for the Investigative Committee*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA            )  
                                          : ss.  
COUNTY OF WASHOE        )

Bret W. Frey, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2023.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:   
BRET W. FREY, M.D.  
*Chairman of the Investigative Committee*