

1 uterus...Patient has a laceration in the right epigastric artery and brisk bleeding from the right
2 lower quadrant trocar site...Fibrillar was placed in the right pelvis...a Carter-Thompson device
3 and 2-0 Vicryl suture was used to repair the injury to the epigastric vessel.”

4 4. On September 24, 2018, Patient A was seen by Respondent for the removal of the
5 urinary catheter, which was placed during the September 21, 2018, procedure post-operatively, for
6 urinary retention purposes. At this visit, Patient A was hypotensive with a blood pressure (BP)
7 reading of 73/36 mm/Hg. Respondent’s notes indicated that Patient A was hypotensive and was
8 experiencing mental status changes. According to Patient A’s husband, Patient A was taking both
9 Norco and Tizanidine on an empty stomach. Respondent counseled both Patient A and her
10 husband to present to an emergency room (ER) if Patient A’s mental status deteriorated.
11 Patient A’s vaginal culture indicated a light growth of pseudomonas in a pre-operative test. There
12 was no documentation indicating that this was ever treated by Respondent. Additionally, there
13 was no indication Respondent attempted to diagnose or treat Patient A’s hypotension, based upon
14 her blood pressure reading, or that Respondent attempted to diagnose Patient A’s mental status
15 changes.

16 5. On September 26, 2018, Patient A was admitted to Mountain View Hospital ER
17 after she had vomited, with complaints about pain in her right lower abdomen and could not
18 answer questions as her mental status continued to deteriorate. A CT scan with IV contrast of her
19 abdomen and pelvis indicated the following: 1) large volume of pneumoperitoneum; 2) diffuse
20 peritoneal hyperenhancement and thickening compatible with peritonitis; 3) developing
21 abscess/phlegmon along the inferior margin of the right hepatic lobe, right paracolic gutter, and
22 with the interior peritoneum; 4) mild colonic mucosal hypo enhancement; 5) moderate
23 extrahepatic and minimal intrahepatic ductal dilatation status post cholecystectomy; and 6) air
24 located within the endometrial canal.

25 6. On September 27, 2018, Patient A was taken to the operating room for an
26 emergency surgery, which included an exploratory laparotomy, sigmoidectomy with an end
27 colostomy, drainage of the pelvis abscess and right gutter abscess, and temporary abdominal
28 closure with ABThera. Her diagnosis was as follows: 1) peritonitis; 2) pneumoperitoneum;

1 3) severe sepsis; and 4) an acute kidney injury to her only kidney. She was admitted to the ICU
2 (Intensive Care Unit) where she was intubated, placed on a ventilator, received a 2D echo, and a
3 blood transfusion. She further received vasopressors, a broad spectrum of antibiotics, and her labs
4 and cultures were monitored.

5 7. On September 30, 2018, Patient A underwent another surgery, her second surgery
6 since Respondent's surgery (third surgery in total since September 21, 2018). This surgery
7 included reopening the recent laparotomy, performing an abdominal washout, drainage of the
8 intrabdominal abscess, dual abdominal pack placement, and abdominal closure. Her postoperative
9 diagnosis was as follows: 1) septic shock; 2) perforated sigmoid status post sigmoidectomy, 3)
10 peritonitis, and 4) closed abdomen.

11 **COUNT I**

12 **NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice**

13 8. All the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
14 reference as though fully set forth herein.

15 9. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a Physician is grounds for initiating
16 disciplinary action against a licensee.

17 10. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a
18 patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar
19 circumstances."

20 11. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed
21 to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when
22 rendering medical services to Patient A when Respondent inappropriately performed the operation
23 of a laparoscopic bilateral salpingo-ophorectomy; when Respondent inappropriately placed the
24 laparoscopic trocars perforating the viscus thus likely causing sepsis and an abscess formation;
25 and when Respondent failed to treat or diagnose Patient A's hypotension or mental status changes
26 at the follow-up encounter.

27 12. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
28 provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT II

NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records

13. All the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

14. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the “failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient” constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.

15. Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment, and care of Patient A, by failing to correctly document her actions when she treated Patient A and failed to specifically document the exact anatomical placements of the trocars when conducting the operation on Patient A.

16. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT III

NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2) - Violation of Standards of Practice Established by Regulation –

17. All the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

18. Violation of a standard of practice adopted by the Board is grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2).

19. NAC 630.210 requires a physician to “seek consultation with another provider of health care in doubtful or difficult cases whenever it appears that consultation may enhance the quality of medical services.”

20. Respondent failed to timely seek consultation with regard to Patient A’s medical condition from September 21, 2018, to September 24, 2018. Respondent should have consulted with an appropriate care provider to address the doubtfulness of the diagnosis of Patient A’s medical conditions of hypotension and/or mental status changes and such a timely consultation would have confirmed or denied such a diagnosis and may have enhanced the quality of medical care provided to Patient A.

