1	<b>BEFORE THE BOARD O</b>	F MEDICAL EXA	MINERS			
2	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA					
3	* * *	* * *				
4						
5	In the Matter of Charges and Complaint	Case No. 21-27978-1				
6	Against:	interna Barris Roman Rom	FILED			
7	ALI KIA, M.D.,	-	DEC 1 6 2021			
8	Respondent.	NE\ N	ADA STATE BOARD OF			
9		Ву:	-l-			
10	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT					
11	The Investigative Committee <sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners					
12	(Board), by and through SARAH A. BRADLEY, J.D., Deputy Executive Director and attorney for					
13	the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that ALI KIA, M.D., (Respondent) violated the					
14	provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC					
15	Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC'					
16	charges and allegations as follows:					
17	1. Respondent was at all times relati	ve to this Complaint a m	edical doctor holding an			
18	active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 11940). Respondent wa					
19	originally licensed by the Board on July 7, 2006.					
20	2. Patient $A^2$ was a twenty-nine (2	9) year-old female at th	e time of the events at			
21	issue. <sup>3</sup>					
22	3. On or about July 9, 2016, Patient	A was admitted to Sunri	se Hospital and Medical			
23	Center (Sunrise Hospital) for a cesarean delivery	of her fourth child. On	July 10, 2016, she was			
24	discharged from Sunrise Hospital.					
25						
26	<sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this forma Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Aury Nagy, M.D					
27	and Mr. M. Neil Duxbury. <sup>2</sup> Patient A's true identity is not disclosed here					
28	Designation served upon Respondent August 14, 2021. <sup>3</sup> Patient A turned thirty (30) years old on July 15, 2016 while she was hospitalized at Sunrise Hospital and					
	Medical Center.					

1 of 7

Subsequently, on July 14, 2016, Patient A was admitted to Sunrise Hospital's 4. 1 2 Emergency Department for severe abdominal pain.

5. Patient A was under the care of Respondent during her hospitalization from July 14, 2016 to July 16, 2016 because he was the hospitalist. 4

6. When she was first examined on July 14, 2016, Patient A's medical records reflect the following regarding her abdomen: "epigastric tenderness, no riglidity, no peritoneal signs small bowel obstruction, free fluid, possible intra-abdominal abscesses."

7. Patient A received a computed tomography (CT) scan of her abdomen and pelvis on July 14, 2016 which showed: (a) "Gas and fluid distension of stomach and proximal small bowel compatible with small bowel obstruction" and (b) "Moderate amount of free fluid in the abdomen and pelvis with several small gas bubbles anterior to the uterus. Intraperitoneal abscess suspected."

8. On July 14, 2016, Patient A's evaluation showed leukocytosis as a primary clinical impression with anemia, hypokalemia, partial bowel obstruction, sepsis, and status post cesarean section as secondary impressions.

9. Leukocytosis is not a normal symptom or a result of post-cesarean deliveries.

10. Patient A's white blood cell count (WBC) on July 14, 2016 was 19,700 WBCs per 17 mcL, and it climbed to 20,600 WBCs per mcL, the next day, on July 15, 2016. This is much 18 19 higher than the usual post-cesarean increase, the average increase of a patient's white blood count 20 after a cesarean delivery is about 22%.

Records for Patient A dictated by Respondent on July 14, 2016 state "We will 21 11. admit the patient under my service to medical surgical unit. ... We will keep the patient nothing 22 by mouth, IV fluid hydration, NG tube if need be, and repeat radiographic imaging of the 23 abdomen." 24

Patient A's medical records show that only one CT scan was performed on 12. 25 July 14, 2016. 26

As of July 15, 2016, Patient A received 3,050 ccs of fluid via an intravenous line 27 13. (IV) over the course of twenty-four (24) hours. 28

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2 of 7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

14. Patient A was not taking any fluid or food orally.

15. On July 15, 2016, Patient A continued to complain of abdominal pain, indicated that she was not feeling better, reported that she was not passing gas, and had not had a bowel movement.

16. Patient A was also experiencing nausea and vomiting, and was treated with ondanestron HCI via IV at 8:37 p.m. on July 15, 2016.

17. A physical examination of Patient A's abdomen on July 15, 2016 revealed "abnormal bowel sounds, distended (NO RIGIDITY), no rebound."

18. On July 15, 2016, Respondent notes in Patient A's medical records that she was not passing gas, had not had a bowel movement, yet he recommended Patient A try clear liquids that evening to the following night, with her discharge to occur on July 16, 2016.

19. Despite an abdominal X-ray on July 16, 2016, showing persistant small bowel obstruction without further imaging and continued nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain, Respondent discharged Patient A with pain medications and Senokot for constipation, with instructions to follow up with her obstetrician for further care.

20. Respondent indicated in Patient A's medical records that he discussed Patient A's case with Patient A's obstetrician by telephone and general surgeon and that they both concurred with the discharge of Patient A.

19 21. Patient A's medical record does not show any documentation or notes from
20 Patient A's obstetrician or the general surgeon.

21 22

23

22. On July 16, 2016, Patient A was discharged from Sunrise Hospital.

23. The CT scan findings for Patient A were not consistent with constipation.

24. No rectal examination was done to indicate presence of stool in the rectum.

24 25. Only one CT scan was done for Patient A, and further imaging is recommended to
25 manage a small bowel obstruction.

26 26. Respondent stated that his discharge plan was discussed with Patient A who agreed
27 with the plan.

28 || / / /

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

1	27. On July 17, 2016, the day after being discharged from Sunrise Hospital, Patient A		
2	was admitted to Centennial Hills Hospital Medical Center.		
3	28. While at Centennial Hills, Patient A had an exploratory laparotomy performed on		
4	July 18, 2016.		
5	29. She was then diagnosed with an infarcted omentum which required a partial		
6	omentectomy.		
7	30. Patient A had a complication of respiratory failure requiring ventilator support for		
8	acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).		
9	31. After multiple additional complications, Patient A was transferred to a long-term		
10	acute care (LTAC) facility for further care on September 2, 2016.		
11	32. Respondent's management of Patient A's small bowel obstruction during her		
12	hospitalization on July 14, 2016 to July 16, 2016 deviated from the standard of care.		
13	33. The standard of care for management of small bowel obstruction is to monitor the		
14	patient for seventy-two (72) hours with repeated imaging needed to show resolution of the		
15	obstruction.		
16	34. A nalogastric tube is recommended for decompression of the gut.		
17	35. Before discharge, Patient A should have had documented flatus, bowel movements,		
18	and adequate oral intake.		
19	36. Patient A's persistent abdominal pain, symptoms and imaging consistent with a		
20	bowel obstruction, leukocytosis, continued nausea and vomiting, and suggestion of an abscess		
21	warranted a formal surgical consultation.		
22	37. The lack of a formal consultation by the general surgeon made Respondent the sole		
23	physician responsible for Patient A during her hospitalization.		
24	38. Respondent's failure to properly manage Patient A's bowel obstruction led to a		
25	delay in her diagnosis and proper treatment.		
26	39. As a result, Patient A experienced a more complicated hospitalization, which could		
27	have been avoided.		
28	///		

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

	1	<u>COUNT I</u>
	2	NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice
	3	40. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
	4	reference as though fully set forth herein.
	5	41. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating
	6	disciplinary action against a licensee.
	7	42. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a
	8	patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar
	9	circumstances."
	10	43. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed
	11	to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when
	12	rendering medical services to Patient A.
600	13	44. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
6667-000 (c11)	14	provided in NRS 630.352.
c//)	15	<u>COUNT II</u>
	16	NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2) - Violation of Standards of Practice Established by Regulation –
	17	Failure to Consult
	18	45. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
	19	reference as though fully set forth herein.
	20	46. Violation of a standard of practice adopted by the Board is grounds for disciplinary
	21	action pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2).
	22	47. NAC 630.210 requires a physician to seek consultation with another provider of
	23	health care in doubtful or difficult cases whenever it appears that consultation may enhance the
	24	quality of medical services."
	25	48. Patient A's symptoms warranted a formal consultation with a surgeon during her
	26	hospitalization from July 14, 2016 to July 16, 2016, and Respondent failed to seek a formal
	27	consultation with a surgeon regarding to her medical condition in violation of the standard of care
	28	and which may have enhanced the quality of medical care provided to Patient A.
		5 of 7
	ļ	

149. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State2Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

**WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays:

1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);

3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;

5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 16th day of December, 2021.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

SARAH A. BRADLEY, J.D., MBA Deputy Executive Director 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521 Tel: (775) 688-2559 Email: <u>bradleys@medboard.nv.gov</u> *Attorney for the Investigative Committee* 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	VERIFICATION         STATE OF NEVADA       )         COUNTY OF WASHOE       )         Bret W. Frey, M.D., having been duly swom, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.         DATED this 16th day of December, 2021.         INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS         By:         By:         BEET W. FRM, M.D.         Chairman for the Investigative Committee         The Investigative Committee	
		7 of 7	

	- 1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	2	I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and
	3	that on the 17th day of December, 2021, I served a file-stamped copy of the foregoing FIRST
	4	AMENDED COMPLAINT, via USPS Certified Mail, with a courtesty copy by electronic mail,
	5	to the following parties:
	6	ALI KIA, M.D.
	7	c/o Linda K. Rurangirwa, Esq. Collinson, Daehnke, Inlow & Greco
	8	2110 E. Flamingo Rd., Ste. 212 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Linda.Rurangirwa@cdiglaw.com
	9	Tracking No.: 9171 9690 0935 0252 5627 28
SEL	10	
COUNSEL aminers	11	Additionaly, I served by electronic mail, file-stamped copies of the same to:
L CC Exami	12	PATRICIA HALSTEAD, ESQ.
GENERAL rd of Medical Ex ateway Drive Nevada 89521 i) 688-2559	13	PATRICIA HALSTEAD, ESQ. <u>phalstead@halsteadlawoffices.com</u> Hearing Officer
THE GENERAL COU State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559	14	DATED this 17 <sup>m</sup> day of December, 2021.
THE C tate Board 9600 Gat Reno, N (775)	15	
OI OI	16	
OFFICE Nev	17	MERCEDES FUENTES Legal Assistant
OF	18	Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	