3

4

6 | Against:
7 | CHARLES PATRICK VIRDEN, M.D.,

8 Respondent.

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \*

Case No. 21-10736-1

FILED

NOV - 5 2021

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

#### **COMPLAINT**

The Investigative Committee<sup>1</sup> (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, J.D., General Counsel, and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Charles Patrick Virden, M.D., (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

- 1. Respondent was at all times relevant to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 7420). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on May 18, 1995.
- 2. Pursuant to NRS 202.248(1)(a), except for use in the treatment of retinal detachment, it is unlawful for a person to inject any liquid silicone substance into the human body.
- 3. Pursuant to NRS 202.248(2), a person who violates NRS 202.248(1)(a) is guilty of a category D felony.
- 4. The injection of liquid silicone substances into the human body for use in treatments other than retinal detachment, such as in cosmetic enhancement of the lips, face, ears, breasts or other areas of the body, may cause substantial bodily injury, including serious and often irreparable

<sup>2728</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board Members Victor M. Muro, M.D., Chair, Weldon Havins, M.D., J.D., and Ms. Maggie Arias-Petrel.

28 /

inflammatory and granulomatous reactions, deformities, draining wounds, chronic infections, and other serious problems that worsen over time and that often arise years after the injection.

- 5. Silikon® 1000 (Silikon) (also known as dimethylpolysiloxane, polydimethylsiloxane, or dimethicone) is a liquid silicone substance, sold as a highly purified long-chain polydimethylsiloxane trimethysiloxy terminated silicone oil. It is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for post-operative tamponade during vitreoretinal surgery. It is not FDA-approved for other applications to the human body.
- 6. Silikon is manufactured by Alcon, and sold by McKesson as a single-use vial consisting of 8.5 mL. Pursuant to the manufacturer's instructions and directions included on or with the single-use vial, Silikon is indicated for use as a prolonged retinal tamponade in selected cases of complicated retinal detachments where other interventions are not appropriate for patient management. Silikon is not indicated for other uses.
- 7. ADATO® SIL-OL 5000 (Adatosil) is also a liquid silicone substance, sold as a sterile, highly purified long chain polydimethylsiloxane. It is FDA-approved for retinal tamponade. It is not FDA-approved for other applications to the human body.
- 8. Adatosil is manufactured and sold by Bausch & Lomb as a single-dose unit, in 10 ml sterile glass syringes, capped with a stopper and housed inside sterilized peel back pouches. Pursuant to the manufacturer's instructions and directions included on or with the single-dose unit, Adatosil is indicated for use as a prolonged retinal tamponade in selected cases of complicated retinal detachments where other interventions are not appropriate for patient management. Adatosil is not indicated for other uses.
- 9. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."

#### **COUNT I**

## NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

10. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

///

- 11. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 12. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient A's² body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 13. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient A for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 14. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT II**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 15. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 17. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient B's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 18. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient B for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 19. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

1///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Patients A-O true identities are not disclosed herein to protect his or her privacy, but are disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent contemporaneously, along with a copy of this Complaint.

#### 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

# 

## 

### 

### 

### 

# 

## 

#### -

## 

# 

### 

# 

### 

#### **COUNT III**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 20. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 22. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient C's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 23. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient C for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 24. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT IV**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 25. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 27. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient D's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 28. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient D for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.

1	
2	p
3	
4	
5	
6	re
7	
8	d
9	
10	b
11	
12	ir
13	re
14	fa
15	w
16	
17	pi
18	
19	
20	
21	re
22	
23	di
24	
25	b
26	
27	in
28	re

29. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as rovided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT V**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 30. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 32. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient E's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 33. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient E for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 34. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT VI**

#### **NRS 630.301(4) – Malpractice**

- 35. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 37. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient F's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 38. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient F for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions,

failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.

39. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT VII**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 40. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 41. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 42. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient G's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 43. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient G for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 44. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT VIII**

#### **NRS 630.301(4) – Malpractice**

- 45. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 46. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 47. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient H's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.

- 48. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient H for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 49. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.
- 50. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT IX**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 51. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 53. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient I's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 54. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient I for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 55. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT X**

#### **NRS 630.301(4) – Malpractice**

56. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

- 57. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 58. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient J's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 59. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient J for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 60. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT XI**

### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 61. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 62. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 63. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient K's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 64. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient K for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 65. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

27 | | / / /

28 | / / /

#### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

# 

# 

### 

# 

# 

### 

# 

#### **COUNT XII**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 66. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 68. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient L's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 69. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient L for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 70. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT XIII**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 71. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 73. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient M's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 74. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient M for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.

1	
2	ŗ
3	
4	
5	
6	r
7	
8	C
9	
10	ł
11	
12	i
13	r
14	f
15	v
16	
17	ŗ
18	
19	
20	
21	r
22	
23	c
24	
25	b
26	
27	i
28	r
- 11	

75. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT XIV**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 76. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 78. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient N's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 79. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient N for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions, failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.
- 80. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

#### **COUNT XV**

#### NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- 81. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 82. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 83. Respondent injected a liquid silicone substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient O's body for a treatment other than retinal detachment.
- 84. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent, by injecting a liquid silicon substance, Adatosil or Silikon, into Patient O for a treatment other than retinal detachment, and in a manner inconsistent with the manufacturer's instructions and directions,

failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to his patients.

85. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

### WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;
- 5. That the Board make, issue, and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and
- 6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 5 day of November, 2021.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

AARON BART FRICKE, ESQ.

General Counsel 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

Tel: (702) 486-3813

Email: <u>africke@medboard.nv.gov</u>
Attorney for the Investigative Committee

1	VERIFICATION	
2	STATE OF NEVADA )	
3	COUNTY OF CLARK : ss.	
4	Victor M. Muro, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of	
5	perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of	
6	Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read	
7	the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the	
8	investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the	
9	foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.	
10	DATED this <u>5</u> day of November, 2021.	
11	INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE	
12	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS	
13	By: UM MUND MED	
14	VICTOŘ M. MURO, M.D.  Chairman of the Investigative Committee	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 5th day of November, 2021, I served a file-stamped copy of the foregoing **COMPLAINT**, via U.S. Certified Mail to the following parties:

CHARLES PATRICK VIRDEN, M.D. 960 Caughlin Crossing N., Ste. 100 Reno, NV 89519

Certified Mail Receipt No.: 9171 9690 0935 0252 1579 31

With courtesy copy by U.S. Mail and electronic mail to:

ROGER M. O'DONNELL, ESQ. Joey Gilbert Law 201 West Liberty Street, Ste. 210 Reno, NV 89501 Roger@joeygilbertlaw.com

DATED this \_\_\_\_day of November, 2021.

MERCEDES FUENTES

Legal Assistant

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners