THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and

Complaint Against

ROSNER PATRICK LUSS, M.D.,

Respondent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 19-10860-1

FILED

MAR 2 8 2019

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) hereby issues this formal Complaint (Complaint) against Rosner Patrick Luss, M.D. (Respondent), a licensed physician in Nevada. After investigating this matter, the IC1 has a reasonable basis to believe that Respondent has violated provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act). The IC alleges the following facts:

- Respondent was licensed by the Board, pursuant to the provisions of the Medical 1. Practice Act, on July 1, 1998, and is currently licensed in active status (License No. 8699).
- Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is 2. disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.
- On August 3, 2013, Patient A, a 70-year-old female, presented to St. Rose 3. Dominican Hospital and complained of shortness of breath with a past medical history of coronary artery disease, asthma/COPD, insulin-dependent diabetes, obesity with sleep apnea, hypertension, anemia, and a history of DVT on chronic anticoagulation therapy.
- On August 4, 2013, Respondent took over care of Patient A without a "sign out" 4. and lacked information about this patient's medical condition. Respondent was contacted by the nursing staff, informing him that he was now the attending physician. Respondent ordered IV

At the time filing of the Complaint was approved, the IC was composed of Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Chairman, Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, and Aury Nagy, M.D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Solu-Medrol and epinephrine for the swelling and difficulty breathing, along with IV Hydralazine for hypertension. Additionally, Respondent ordered an upper GI and MRI of the neck to evaluate Patient A's dysphagia and neck swelling, and she was transferred to the ICU for close observation. Patient A received a CT scan of her neck without contrast. As the ordering physician, the Respondent did not indicate any findings within the medical records, from the ordered CT scan. Respondent documented Patient A's condition of epiglottitis, but did not document the possibility of an infectious etiology or suggest starting antibiotics, despite medical information indicating Patient A's high risk. Respondent documented Patient A's white blood count spike on August 9, 2013 was attributed to the steroids ordered that morning, but Patient A's blood was drawn at essentially the same time the steroids were given, and her white blood cell count spiked as her steroids were being tapered.

Previous to the preparation of this Complaint, the Board solicited the services of an 5. independent medical expert (IME) to review Patient A's medical records and the care provided to such patient by Respondent. This IME opined that Respondent's care of Patient A violated the Medical Practice Act due to his acts and omissions when rendering care to Patient A and further opined that it was below the standard of care for Respondent to have never addressed the CT scan findings and not consider an infectious etiology.

Count I

(Malpractice)

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 6. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating 7. disciplinary action against a licensee.
- NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, 8. to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances.
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed 9. to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to Patient A.

(775) 688-2559

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

Count II

(Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records)

- 11. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient is grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- 13. Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient A, by failing to document his actions when he treated Patient A, whose medical records were not timely, legible, accurate, and complete.
- 14. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the IC prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it finds and concludes that there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, to include sanctions to be imposed; and

|| ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gareway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

5. That the Board takes such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this day of March, 2019.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Robert Kilroy, Esq. General Counsel

Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
	: ss
COUNTY OF WASHOE)
1 · 1	MD

(775) 688-2559

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Nevada that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the foregoing Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered during the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

Dated this **28** day of March, 2019.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Chairman

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 9th day of April, 2019, I served a filed copy of the formal COMPLAINT, via USPS e-certified, return receipt mail to the following:

Rosner P. Luss, M.D. 161 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 16B Las Vegas, NV 89119

Dated this $\underline{\underline{9}^{th}}$ day of April, 2019.

Sheri L. Quigley, Legal Assistant