BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and

Complaint Against

CARLOS ENRIQUE FONTE, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 18-9800-01

FILED

DEC 1 2 2018

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
By:

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) hereby issues this Complaint (Complaint) against Carlos Enrique Fonte, M.D. (Respondent), a licensed physician in Nevada. After investigating this matter, the IC¹ has a reasonable basis to believe that Respondent has violated provisions of Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) Chapter 630 and the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively Medical Practice Act). The IC alleges the following facts:

- 1. Respondent was licensed by the Board, pursuant to the provisions of the Medical Practice Act, on August 25, 1990, and is currently licensed in active status (License No. 6114).
- 2. Patient A was a 90-year-old female at the time of the incidents in question. Her name and date of birth are not disclosed in this Complaint to protect her identity, but this information is disclosed in the Patient Designation contemporaneously served on Respondent with a copy of this Complaint.
- 3. At the time of the events in question, Patient A was a resident of a nursing home in Las Vegas, Nevada and suffered from dementia, among other conditions.

¹ At the time of filing, the IC was composed of Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Chairman, Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, and Aury Nagy, M.D.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On October 7, 2014, at a location outside of the nursing home, Patient A underwent 4. a procedure by Respondent for the installation of a pacemaker with no apparent complications at that time.
- On October 20, 2014, Patient A complained of pain in her left hip and staff 5. reported a popping noise upon movement of her left leg from the hip area. An x-ray was ordered, which showed no fracture or acute dislocation.
- On October 21, 2014, nursing staff noted that Patient A's left foot was cool to the touch and her left leg exhibited skin color changes.
- On October 21, 2014, a venous duplex ultrasound was performed. It revealed no 7. evidence of a deep vein thrombosis. However, on the same date, an arterial duplex ultrasound revealed "no flow present" in Patient A's left proximal superficial femoral artery, left popliteal artery, left anterior and posterior tibial artery, and left dorsalis pedis artery. The report stated that there was "severe mild atherosclerotic plaquing in the left lower extremity with no detectable flow beyond the distal thigh." Further evaluation with a computed tomography (CT) angiogram was recommended, but was not ordered.
- From October 1, 2014 through October 29, 2014, Patient A's progress notes 8. indicated that Patient's left leg was cool to the touch, exhibited skin color changes and Patient A continued to experience pain in her left leg. Patient A was treated for pain.
- On October 24, 2014, Patient A had a follow-up visit with Respondent. 9. Respondent was advised that Patient A's daughter wanted to speak to Respondent about Patient A, but Respondent refused to call her either before or during his evaluation of Patient A.
- Respondent instead relied on Patient A's recall and reporting of her condition, in 10. spite of the fact that Patient A has dementia. Respondent's medical records of Patient A reflect no indication of the pain she had been experiencing in her left leg and no indication of the pain medication she had been taking since October 20, 2014. The medical records of October 24, 2014, further reflect that Respondent examined Patient A's legs and noted that pulses were palpable and symmetrical, even though the arterial duplex ultrasound on October 21, 2014, detected no flow present in Patient A's left leg below the femoral artery. Respondent's October

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

24, 2014, medical record also noted "normal dorsalis pedis pulses, normal posterior tibial pulses" even though the arterial duplex ultrasound on October 21, 2014, detected no flow present below the femoral artery in Patient A's left leg.

- Respondent's medical record dated October 24, 2014, states that Patient A's 11. cardiovascular exam indicates a bradycardic rate and irregular rhythm, but then states that she has a history of symptomatic bradycardia, which was resolved after the pacemaker implantation, indicating that the exam was not accurate.
- Respondent failed to notice and document that the leg was cool to the touch and 12. there were skin color changes, which were noted by the nursing staff at Patient A's nursing home on October 21, 2014.
- Respondent's medical record of October 24, 2014, further indicated that Patient A 13. had no edema in her extremities, even though the day prior, the nurse practitioner at the nursing home noted that both legs were swollen.
- Respondent's medical record dated October 24, 2014, was essentially the same as 14. the medical record of September 25, 2014, and was likely the result of a pre-populated electronic medical record, calling into question whether Respondent actually performed a physical examination of Patient A or instead just relied on an existing electronic medical record.
- Respondent's medical records of October 24, 2014, further listed eleven 15. medications that Patient A was taking, but omitted the hydrocodone she had been prescribed since October 20, 2014.
- Respondent's medical records of October 24, 2014, further indicated that: Patient 16. A occasionally consumed alcohol, in spite of the fact that she was a resident of a nursing home; he counseled her regarding cessation of smoking, even though her medical record indicates that she ceased smoking in 1980; he counseled her in detail regarding lipid goals, even though Patient A suffered from dementia and may not have understood a detailed explanation of lipid goals; he counseled her regarding excessive sun and UV-light exposure, including tanning beds, even though Patient A was a nursing home resident.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On October 29, 2014, Patient A underwent an ultrasound of her lower left leg and 17. an emergency angiograph, which showed total occlusion of the left limb aortic stent graft, left common iliac, external iliac and common femoral arteries. The attending surgeon's initial assessment was that the leg would have to be amputated either above or below the knee.
- On October 30, 2014, Patient A was taken to surgery for a bilateral, common 18. femoral endarterectomy, left profunda femoral endarterectomy, and a right femoral to left femoral Gore-Tex graft, which surgery was successful and ultimately saved Patient A's leg from amputation.

COUNT I

(Malpractice)

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 15. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician 16. is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 630.040 defines malpractice for the purpose 17. of NRS chapter 630 as the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances.
- Respondent failed to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used 18. under similar circumstances, including but not limited to the conduct described herein, when he failed to discuss Patient A's condition with Patient A's daughter either before or during the evaluation of Patient A, given that Patient A was diagnosed with dementia.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 19. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT II

(Failure to Maintain Timely, Legible, Accurate and Complete Medical Records)

All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 20. reference as though fully set forth herein.

- 21. Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 630.3062(1)(a) provides that failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 22. Respondent failed to keep accurate and complete medical records when he documented, *inter alia*, that Patient A's left leg had palpable and symmetrical pulses and normal dorsalies pedis pulses and normal posterior tibial pulses in spite of an arterial duplex ultrasound that only days earlier detected no flow beyond the femoral artery.
- 23. Respondent failed to keep accurate and complete medical records, as demonstrated by the fact that Respondent's medical records further listed eleven medications that Patient A was taking, but omitted the hydrocodone that she had been prescribed since October 20, 2014.
- 24. Respondent failed to keep accurate and complete medical records, as demonstrated by the fact that his medical records further indicated that: Patient A occasionally consumed alcohol, in spite of the fact that she was a resident of a nursing home; he counseled Patient A regarding cessation of smoking, even though her medical record indicates that she ceased smoking in 1980; he counseled her in detail regarding lipid goals, even though Patient A suffered from dementia and may not have comprehended such a conversation; he counseled her regarding excessive sun and UV-light exposure, including tanning beds, in spite of the fact that Patient A was a resident of a nursing home.
- 25. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the IC prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it finds and concludes that there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

- 4. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, to include sanctions to be imposed; and,
- 5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this <u>/2</u> day of December, 2018.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDIÇAL EXAMINERS

By:

Jasmine K. Mehta, Esq. Deputy Executive Director

Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

VERIFICATION

| STATE OF NEVADA | | | | |) | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----|----------|------|-----------|----|
| COUNT | Y OF | WA | ASHOE | | : s:) | 3. |
| Wayne Hardwick, M.D., he | | | | | | |
| of the | state | of | Nevada | a th | at | he |
| Nevada | State | Bos | ard of N | Med: | ical | F |

Wayne Hardwick, M.D., hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Nevada that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the foregoing Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered during the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

Dated this <u>12</u>th day of December, 2018.

Wayne Hardwick, M.D.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 12th day of December, 2018, I served a filed copy of COMPLAINT, via USPS e-certified return receipt mail to the following:

Carlos Enrique Fonte, M.D. 3201 Sourth Maryland Parkway, Suite 502 Las Vegas, NV 89109

Dated this 12th day of December, 2018.

Sheri L. Quigley
Legal Assistant