BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and) Case No. 16-39003-1
Complaint Against) FILED
Wilson F. Bernales, M.D.	JAN - 3 2017
Respondent.	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAD EXAMINERS By:

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, having a reasonable basis to believe that Wilson F. Bernales, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as Dr. Bernales or Respondent), has violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its formal Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations, as follows:

Respondent's Licensure Status

- 1. Respondent is currently licensed in active status (License No. 14208), and was so licensed on December 16, 2011 by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners pursuant to the provisions of NRS Chapter 630. Respondent submitted license renewal applications on or about April 6, 2013, and again on or about May 15, 2015.
- 2. On or about February 19, 2016, Respondent entered into a Consent Agreement with the New York State Board of Professional Medical Conduct, which precluded him from practicing medicine in New York State and from practicing in any setting where his practice is based solely

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time the filing of this complaint was approved, was composed of Theodore B. Berndt, M.D., Wayne Hardwick, M.D., and Mr. M. Neil Duxbury.

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 688-2559 on his New York license. On or about March 8, 2016, the New York State Board of Professional Medical Conduct adopted the terms of the consent agreement.

- 3. On or about April 2, 2015, the Virginia Board of Medicine notified Respondent that it would convene a formal hearing to consider his application for licensure and issued a Statement of Particulars alleging grounds for denying the application. The Statement of Particulars alleged that Respondent provided fraudulent, false and misleading information in his application dated October 2, 2012 by submitting two false evaluations that purported to be from past employers and failing to disclose that he had been summarily suspended from the Obstetric Fellowship by the Family Medicine Department at Louisiana State University Lafayette on or about February 17, 2006. The hearing was initially scheduled for May 14, 2015. However, based on Respondent's request, the hearing was rescheduled to June 19-20, 2015.
- 4. On or about July 15, 2015, the Virginia Board of Medicine denied Respondent's application for licensure on the following grounds: (1) submission of fraudulent, false or misleading information by falsifying two evaluations by past employers; (2) engaging in fraud or deceit in the course of obtaining admission to practice medicine by bringing a cell phone and pager into the testing room during the examination, in violation of testing protocols; and (3) incompetence to practice medicine based on his dismissal in January 2002 from the Floyd Medical Center, Family Medicine Residency Program in Rome, Georgia, and his summary suspension on or about February 17, 2006 from the Obstetrics Fellowship in the Department of Family Medicine of the Louisiana State University, University Medical Center in Lafayette.²
- 5. On or about May 15, 2015, Respondent answered no to the following question on the Nevada license renewal application:

² Other states have brought complaints against Respondent, which are not at issue because they were subsequent to Respondent's submission of his 2015 renewal application. However, if any of those complaints results in additional disciplinary action, any subsequent failures to timely report the same could be grounds for the initiation of additional disciplinary action by the IC. Other states that have issued complaints include New Mexico, which issued a Notice of Contemplated Action against Respondent on or about June 14, 2016; the Connecticut Department of Public Health, which issued a Statement of Charges against Respondent on or about July 5, 2016; and the Florida Department of Health, which issued two Administrative Complaints against Respondent on or about March 14, 2016 and on or about August 19, 2016.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Have you been: a) asked to respond to an investigation; b) notified that you were under investigation for; c) investigated for; d) charged with; or e) convicted of any violation of a statute, rule or regulation governing your practice as a physician by any medical licensing board, hospital, medical society, governmental entity or agency other than the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners?

At no time did Respondent disclose the denial of licensure by Virginia, or the 6. Consent Agreement and preclusion from practice in New York.

Count I

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 7. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 8. NRS 630.301(3) provides that any disciplinary action, including the revocation, suspension, modification or limitation of a license to practice any type of medicine taken by another state is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
 - Respondent was denied licensure by the Virginia Board of Medicine. 9.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State 10. Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

Count II

- 11. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.301(3) provides that any disciplinary action, including the revocation, 12. suspension, modification or limitation of a license to practice any type of medicine taken by another state is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- Respondent was disciplined by the New York State Board of Professional Medical 13. Conduct as described above and precluded from practicing medicine in the State of New York, and, accordingly, is in violation of NRS 630.301(3).
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State 14. Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Count III

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 15. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.304(1) provides that obtaining, maintaining or renewing or attempting to 16. obtain, maintain or renew a license to practice medicine by bribery, fraud or misrepresentation or by any false, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete statement is grounds for initiating disciplinary action.
- Respondent's denial of the question set forth in Paragraph 5, asking whether he had 17. been: a) asked to respond to an investigation; b) notified that he was under investigation for; c) investigated for; d) charged with; or e) convicted of any violation of a statute, rule or regulation governing his practice as a physician by any medical licensing board, on his 2015 renewal application was a false, misleading, inaccurate or incomplete statement on his renewal application because he falsely denied that he had been asked to respond to an investigation, was notified that he was under investigation, and, in fact, was under investigation by the Virginia Board of Medicine at the time he submitted his Nevada license renewal application.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State 18. Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

Count IV

- 19. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.306(1)(k) provides that failure by a licensee or applicant to report in 20. writing, within 30 days, any disciplinary action taken against the licensee or applicant by another state, the Federal Government or a foreign country, including, without limitation, the revocation, suspension or surrender of a license to practice medicine in another jurisdiction is grounds for initiating disciplinary action.
- Respondent failed to report within 30 days the denial of licensure by the Virginia 21. Board of Medicine.

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State 22. Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

Count V

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 23. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.306(1)(k) provides that failure by a licensee or applicant to report in 24. writing, within 30 days, any disciplinary action taken against the licensee or applicant by another state, the Federal Government or a foreign country, including, without limitation, the revocation, suspension or surrender of a license to practice medicine in another jurisdiction is grounds for initiating disciplinary action.
- Respondent failed to report within 30 days the disciplinary action imposed by the 25. New York State Board of Professional Medical Conduct precluding him from practicing in the State of New York.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State 26. Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners give Respondent notice of the 1. charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint.
- That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners set a time and place for a 2. formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners determine what sanctions to 3. impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent; and
- That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners make, issue and serve on 4. Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

1	5. That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners take such other and further	
2	action as may be just and proper in these premises.	
3	DATED this 3 rd day of January, 2017.	
4	INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF	
5	THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS	
6	By: Casmine Latter	
7	Robert Kilroy, Esq. General Counsel and Attorney for the Investigative Committee	
8 9	Jasmine K. Mehta, Esq. Deputy General Counsel and Attorney for the Investigative Committee	
10	Committee	
11	VERIFICATION	
12	STATE OF NEVADA)	
13	COUNTY OF WASHOE : ss.	
14	Wayne Hardwick, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty	
15		
16		
17	the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the	
18	investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in	
19	the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate, and correct.	
20	DATED this 3 day of SANUARY, 2017.	
21		
22	Maye Hardwick	
23	Wayne Hardwick, M.D.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on 3rd day of January 2017; I served a file copy of COMPLAINT & Fingerprint information, via USPS certified return receipt mail to the following:

Wilson F. Bernales, M.D. 1539 N. Hazeltine Dr. Fayetteville, AR 72704-6164

Dated this 3rd day of January, 2017.

Angelia L. Donohoe Legal Assistant