

The Board, having previously received and read the Second Amended Complaint
and exhibits admitted in the matter, as well as the Synopsis of Record prepared by the
hearing officer who presided over the hearing and the transcript of the hearing, and
hearing arguments from Mr. Mortensen and Ms. Beggs, proceeded to make a decision
pursuant to the provisions of NRS chapters 233B and 630.

1	The Board, after due consideration of the record, evidence, arguments, law, and
2	Petition for Judicial Review filed by Respondent, and being fully advised in the premises,
3	amends its FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER in this matter
4	as follows:
5	FINDINGS OF FACT
6	Ι.
7	Respondent held a license to practice medicine in the state of Nevada at all
8	relevant times.
9	II.
10	On October 30, 2008, the Investigative Committee filed the Complaint in this
11	matter alleging violations of Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. A First
12	Amended Complaint was subsequently filed on December 19, 2008. A Second
13	Amended Complaint was filed on January 27, 2010.
14	III.
15	On January 27, 2010 and January 28, 2010, a hearing was held before an
15 16	On January 27, 2010 and January 28, 2010, a hearing was held before an appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended
	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended
16	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended
16 17	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory
16 17 18	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At
16 17 18 19	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed.
16 17 18 19 20	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. IV.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. IV. The matter was presented to the above-listed Board members for consideration
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. IV. The matter was presented to the above-listed Board members for consideration at the regularly scheduled Board meeting on June 11, 2010, and after discussion and
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. <i>IV.</i> The matter was presented to the above-listed Board members for consideration at the regularly scheduled Board meeting on June 11, 2010, and after discussion and deliberation the Board entered its previously filed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. <i>IV.</i> The matter was presented to the above-listed Board members for consideration at the regularly scheduled Board meeting on June 11, 2010, and after discussion and deliberation the Board entered its previously filed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. <i>IV.</i> The matter was presented to the above-listed Board members for consideration at the regularly scheduled Board meeting on June 11, 2010, and after discussion and deliberation the Board entered its previously filed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order. <i>V.</i>
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	appointed hearing officer on the allegations contained within the Second Amended Complaint. Respondent was represented by counsel; Hal Taylor, Esq. and C. Gregory Tiemeier, Esq. The Investigative Committee was represented by Lyn E. Beggs, Esq. At the time of hearing, count II was dismissed. <i>IV.</i> The matter was presented to the above-listed Board members for consideration at the regularly scheduled Board meeting on June 11, 2010, and after discussion and deliberation the Board entered its previously filed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order. <i>V.</i> On July 22, 2010, through new counsel of record, David J. Mortensen, Esq.,

2

in and for Carson City, a timely Petition for Judicial Review contesting and appealing the
 Board's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

VI.

3

4

5

6

7

The Board has reconsidered its previously entered findings and in consideration and review of all information before it on March 11, 2011, the Board deems it appropriate to enter an Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order and withdraw the previously issued Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

8

VII.

Patient N came to Valley Eye Center on June 18, 2008 for Lasik surgery to be
performed by Respondent. Prior to surgery, Respondent performed a slit-lamp
examination on Patient N through the Nidek microscope. All findings of the examination
on Patient N were within normal limits, but the slit-lamp examination was not noted in
Patient N's medical records.

14

VIII.

The Board finds by a preponderance of the evidence that although Respondent did not commit medical malpractice, Respondent violated NRS 630.3062(1) in that he failed to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient N when he failed to note in the medical records that he performed a normal slit-lamp examination of Patient N through the Nidek microscope.

21

IX.

The Board rescinds its previous finding that Respondent violated NRS 630.301(4)
as alleged in Count I, and NRS 630.306(7) as alleged in Count III, of the Second
Amended Complaint, as the evidence does not support such a finding.

25

If any of the foregoing Findings of Fact is more properly deemed a Conclusion of
Law, it may be so construed.

Χ.

28 || ///

1	
1	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
2	I. The Decad has invitation over Decadent
3	The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent.
4	II.
5	Respondent was properly served with notice of the hearing via certified mail at
6	the address on file with the Board pursuant to NRS and NAC chapters 630 and
7	NRS chapter 233B.
8	III.
9	The Board concludes that Respondent has violated NRS 630.3062(1).
10	IV.
11	If any of the foregoing Conclusions of Law is more properly deemed a Finding of
12	Fact, it may be so construed.
13	ORDER
14	Based upon the foregoing Amended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law,
15	and good cause appearing therefore,
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
17	1. The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on June 23,
18	2010 is RESCINDED.
19	2. Respondent shall reimburse the Board the reasonable costs and expenses
20	incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the amount of \$15,000.
21	Dated this 11 th day of March, 2011.
22	
23	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
24	
25	CroboD
26	CHARLES N. HELD, President
27	Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
28	

	CEDTICICATION	
1	CERTIFICATION	
2	I certify that the foregoing is the full and true original Amended FINDINGS OF	
3	FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER on file in the office of the Board of	
4	Medical Examiners in the matter of PAUL CUTARELLI, M.D., Case no. 08-29655-3.	
5	I further certify that CHARLES N. HELD, M.D. is the President of the Nevada	
6	State Board of Medical Examiners and that full force and credit is due to his official acts	
7	as such; and that the signature to the foregoing ORDER is the signature of said	
8	CHARLES N. HELD, M.D.	
9	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in my official capacity as	
10	Secretary-Treasurer of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners.	
11		
12		
13	Valerie Člark, BS N , RHU, LUTCF Secretary-Treasurer	
14	Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5	