# **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

\* \* \* \* \*

In The Matter of Charges and

**Complaint Against** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

SEAN BEATY, M.D.,

**Respondent.** 

Case No. 10-31729-1

FILED

MAY 17 2010 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

### COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, composed of Benjamin J. Rodriguez, M.D., Chairman, Van V. Heffner, Member, and Beverly A. Neyland, M.D., Member, by and through Bradley O. Van Ry, Deputy General Counsel for the Nevada State Medical Examiners, having reasonable basis believe that Board of а to Sean Beaty, M.D., hereinafter referred to as Dr. Beaty, has violated the provisions of NRS Chapter 630, hereby issues its formal Complaint, stating the Investigative Committee's charges and allegations, as follows:

19 1. Dr. Beaty is currently licensed in active status, and was so licensed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, on May 18, 2006 (License No. 11844), pursuant to the 20 provisions of Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, and at all times addressed herein was 21 so licensed. 22

23

2. Patient A was a sixty-six year old (66) female at the time of the incidents in question. Her true identity is not disclosed to protect her privacy, but her identity is disclosed in the Patient 24 Designation served on Dr. Beaty along with a copy of this Complaint. 25

Patient A underwent an outpatient upper endoscopy and colonoscopy on 26 3. September 7, 2006 performed by another physician. After the procedure, that physician 27 recommended that Patient A go to Sunrise Hospital for a barium enema. 28

1

Dr. Beatty on the same day. Prior to the barium enema, a "scout film" (x-ray) was taken per standard 2 procedures. The "scout film" (x-ray) showed extra luminal gas. Dr. Beaty failed to review the "scout 3 film" x-ray until after the barium enema procedure. This extra luminal gas would have led Dr. Beaty 4 to immediately inform the referring physician of a suspected colonic perforation and not proceed 5 with the barium enema. Unfortunately, this never took place and the barium enema proceeded. 6 7 5. As the procedure progressed, the barium enema began to seep into the peritoneum 8

4.

1

9

10

18

19

20

from a perforation in the colon. The barium procedure was halted, and the ordering physician was notified. It is believed that the colonic perforation was not caused by Dr. Beaty.

Patient A went to Sunrise Hospital for the barium enema to be performed by

6. The barium leakage led to massive internal injuries that required two (2) abdominal surgeries, a colostomy, caused respiratory failure, and a spleenectomy. Patient A remained in the hospital from September 7, 2006 until October 7, 2006. Then she was confined in Rehabilitation Hospital of Las Vegas from October 7, 2006 to October 26, 2006. Finally, she was in Kindred Hospital of Las Vegas from October 26, 2006 to November 10, 2006.

7. Dr. Beaty never reviewed the "scout film" (x-ray) before the procedure. He did, however, review the "scout film" (x-ray) after the barium enema and observed that free air was seen and not appreciated prior to the administration of the barium enema. If Dr. Beaty had reviewed the film prior to the administration of the barium enema, he would have likely decided upon further diagnostic exams before proceeding.

### **Count I**

8. Nevada Administrative Code Section 630.040 defines malpractice as the failure of 21 a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used 22 under similar circumstances. 23

Nevada Revised Statute Section 630.301(4) provides that malpractice is grounds 9. 24 for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee. 25

10. Dr. Beaty failed to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used 26 under the same or similar circumstances when he failed and omitted to review the "scout film" 27 (x-ray) prior to performing a barium enema on Patient A. 28

2

Board of Medical Examiners as provided in Section 630.352 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. 2 **WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays: 3 4 WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays: 5 That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners give Dr. Beaty notice of the 1. 6 charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein 7 as set forth in Section 630.339 of the Nevada Revised Statutes within twenty (20) days of service 8 of the Complaint. 9 That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners set a time and place for a 2. 10 formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3); 11 That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners determine what sanctions it 3. 12 determines to impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical 13 Practice Act (Nevada Revised Statutes Chapter 630) committed by Dr. Beaty; and 14 4. That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners make, issue and serve on 15 Dr. Beaty its findings of facts, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions 16 imposed; and 17 5. That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners take such other and further 18 action as may be just and proper in these premises. DATED this  $\cancel{7^{\prime\prime}}$  day of May, 2010. 19 20 THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS 21 22 By: 23 Bradley O. Van Ry Deputy General Counsel and Attorney for the Investigative Committee 24 25 26 27 28

By reason of the foregoing, Dr. Beaty is subject to discipline by the Nevada State

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 688-2559 11.

1

3

#### VERIFICATION

## STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

(775) 688-2559  : ss.

Benjamin J. Rodriguez, M.D., hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Nevada that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the foregoing Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered during the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, that he believes the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate, and correct.

Dated this  $\underline{17}^{H}$  day of May, 2010.

Benjamin J. Rodriguez, M.D.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 688-2559	1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
	2	I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and
	3	that on 17 <sup>th</sup> day of May 2010, I served a file copy of the COMPLAINT, PATIENT
	4	DESIGNATION, SETTLEMENT WAIVER & CONSENT AGREEMENT and Fingerprint
	5	Information, by mailing via USPS certified return receipt to the following:
	6	Sean Beaty, M.D.
	7	4101 Wagon Trail Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89118
	8	
	9	Dated this 17 <sup>th</sup> day of May 2010.
	10	
	11	And I Doucher
	12	Angelia L. Donohoe
	13	Legal Assistant
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	