OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Worn #201

COPY

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In The Matter of Charges and

Case No. 09-8180-1

Complaint Against

JANET ALBRIGHT, M.D.,

Respondent.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, composed of Sohail U. Anjum, M.D., S. Daniel McBride, M.D., and Mr. Van V. Hefner, by and through Edward Cousineau, General Counsel for the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, having a reasonable basis to believe that Janet Albright, M.D., hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," has violated the provisions of NRS Chapter 630, hereby issues its formal Complaint, stating the Investigative Committee's charges and allegations, as follows:

- 1. Respondent is licensed in active status to practice medicine in the state of Nevada, and at all times alleged herein, was so licensed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 2. Patient A was a fifty-one-year-old female at the time of events at issue. Her true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served on Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.
- 3. Patient A first presented to Respondent for a consultation on May 3, 2005, with complaints of varicose veins in both legs. Respondent ordered a venous duplex scan which was later accomplished by Patient A. The scan demonstrated an incompetent right greater saphenous vein, but showed no evidence of an abnormal left greater saphenous vein. Additionally, the left lesser saphenous vein was found to be incompetent. Respondent recommended a right greater

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

saphenous and left lesser saphenous venous ablation surgical procedure to address Patient A's medical circumstance.

- 4. On October 20, 2005, after informed consent was obtained for the aforementioned surgical procedure, Respondent ablated both the right and left greater saphenous vein and the incompetent left lesser saphenous vein was left untouched.
- 5. Based upon the foregoing, Respondent failed to use the reasonable knowledge, skill and expertise ordinarily used in similar circumstances in his treatment of Patient A, in that the ablation of the left greater sapheous vein was unnecessary based upon the preoperative venous duplex scan and that the surgical procedure accomplished by Respondent did not coincide with the informed consent obtained from the patient.
- 6. Section 630.301(4) of the Nevada Revised Statutes provides that malpractice, defined as the failure to use the reasonable knowledge, skill and expertise ordinarily used in similar circumstances, is grounds for discipline.
- 7. Respondent committed malpractice in the course of providing care and treatment to Patient A and is subject to discipline by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- 1. That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners fix a time and place for a formal hearing;
- 2. That the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him, the time and place set for the hearing, and the possible sanctions against him;
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions it deems appropriate to impose for the violation committed by Respondent; and
 - That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of facts, 4.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed.

DATED this _____ day of May, 2009.

By: Edward Cousineau

Attorney for the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

ERIFICATION

VE
STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK : ss.
Sohail U. Anjum, M.D., having bee
of perjury that he is the Chairman of the
Medical Examiners that authorized the Co
the foregoing Complaint; and that based
investigation into a complaint against Resp
the foregoing Complaint against Responde
Dated this day of May, 200

en duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of mplaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read d upon information discovered in the course of the pondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in ent are true, accurate, and correct.

9.

Sohail U. Anjum, M.D.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 29th day of May 2009, I served a file copy of the COMPLAINT, PATIENT DESIGNATION, FINGERPRINTING INFORMATION & SETTLEMENT, WAIVER & CONSENT AGREEMENT, by mailing via USPS certified return receipt to the following:

Edward J. Lemons, Esq. Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas St., Ste. 300 Reno, NV 89509

Dated this 29th day of May 2009.

Angelia Donohoe Legal Assistant