



Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

Press Release – December 6, 2006

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS TAKES DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST PHYSICIAN

At its quarterly meeting on December 1 & 2, 2006, the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners took disciplinary action against the following licensed medical doctor:

Kent Skogerson, M.D.

The Board found that Kent Skogerson, M.D. committed malpractice for failing to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances in providing care or treatment to a patient, a violation of NRS 630.301(4). The Board ordered that Dr. Skogerson receive a public reprimand and that he reimburse the Board's costs and expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of the case against him, payable within 90 days of the date of the Board's order.

Disciplinary action against this physician is reported to all other states in which he may be licensed and to the National Practitioner Data Bank and Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States.

At its quarterly meeting on December 1 & 2, 2006, the Board ratified licensure of 95 physicians, 21 physician assistants and 18 practitioners of respiratory care, and requested personal appearances of 15 physician applicants for licensure.

Of the 15 physician applicants who were requested to personally appear, the Board granted licenses to 12, 4 with no conditions or restrictions, 2 by endorsement, 3 subject to passing a peer review, and 3 subject to other conditions. The Board declined to exercise its statutory discretion to grant licensure by endorsement to 2 physicians, and the remaining applicant withdrew his application during his appearance before the Board.

From January 1, 2006 to December 6, 2006, the Board has licensed 546 physicians administratively, that is, applicants who met all of the statutory and regulatory requirements for licensure. This includes 53 physicians who were issued special purpose licenses, 103 who were issued limited licenses as medical residents, and 3 who were issued restricted licenses (but does not include the applicants who appeared before the Board at the December meeting).