

1 **BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS**
2 **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3 * * * * *

4
5 **In the Matter of Charges and Complaint**
6 **Against**
7 **ERIK JON SIRULNICK, M.D.,**
8 **Respondent.**

Case No. 20-30471-1

FILED

SEP 18 2020

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF
MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: 

9
10 **COMPLAINT**

11 The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
12 (Board), by and through Robert Kilroy, Esq., General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a
13 reasonable basis to believe that Erik Jon Sirulnick, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of
14 Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630
15 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and
16 allegations as follows:

17 1. Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an
18 active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 11522). Respondent was
19 originally licensed by the Board on July 14, 2005.

20 2. Patient A's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect her privacy, but is
21 disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

22 3. On October 13, 2015, Patient A was admitted for a pacemaker lead change, lead
23 extraction, and placement of an automatic implantable cardioverterdefibrillator. At approximately
24 11:45 p.m., Patient A was taken to the operating room (OR) and the aforementioned procedures were
25 to be done by Respondent (main operator) and Dr. Kahn (co-operator as Respondent lacked privileges
26 to perform extraction). Respondent kept a laser sheath located in the Patient A's right ventricle and

27
28 ¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Wayne Hardwick, M.D., Chairman, Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, and Aury Nagy, M.D.

1 placed a wire through the sheath into the right ventricle. Believing his procedure to be complete,
2 Respondent left the OR. Upon Respondent returning to the OR, there were two other wires in Patient
3 A's heart that inadvertently cannulated into a likely third space within the dissected media. Patient
4 A's innominate artery was made friable by the laser removal, which could have exposed a flap of
5 vulnerable tissue. Near the end of these procedures, Patient A's laser lead was removed and she
6 became hypotensive. Subsequently, the dismissed (by the Respondent) cardiac surgeon was called
7 back into the OR, and Patient A underwent a median sternotomy, which lasted several hours, in order
8 to control the bleeding coming from what was identified as a large laceration on the underside of the
9 left subclavian-innominate vein, superior vena cava, and the right atrium. This surgeon noted a right
10 hemothorax, a fair amount of mediastinal hematoma encountered with free blood in the pericardial
11 space, and free blood exited from the right atrium and the underside of the innominate vein. Patient A
12 died shortly thereafter.

13 4. On October 14, 2015, the Clark County Nevada Coroner opined Patient A's death was
14 caused by accident (therapeutic complication). Patient A died of exsanguination due to laceration of
15 the subclavian vein, innominate vein, superior vena cava and right atrium, due to laser pacemaker lead
16 extraction, due to pacemaker-dependent congestive heart failure and other significant contributing
17 conditions, including hypotensive and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

18 **COUNT I**

19 **NRS 630.301(4) (Malpractice)**

20 5. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
21 reference as though fully set forth herein.

22 6. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating
23 disciplinary action against a licensee.

24 7. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as the failure of a physician, in treating a patient,
25 to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances.

26 /

27 /

28

1 8. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed
2 to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when
3 he provided medical services to Patient A.

4 9. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
5 provided in NRS 630.352.

6 **COUNT II**

7 **NRS 630.3062(1)(a) (Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records)**

8 10. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
9 reference as though fully set forth herein.

10 11. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate
11 and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient is grounds
12 for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.

13 12. Respondent failed to maintain complete medical records relating to the diagnosis,
14 treatment and care of Patient A, by failing to document his actions when he treated Patient A,
15 whose medical records were not timely, legible, accurate, and complete.

16 13. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as
17 provided in NRS 630.352.

18 **COUNT III**

19 **(NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2) (Violation of Standards of Practice Established by Regulation)**

20 14. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by
21 reference as though fully set forth herein.

22 15. Violation of a standard of practice established by regulation of the Board is
23 grounds for imitating disciplinary action pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(b)(2).

24 16. NAC 630.210 requires a physician to seek consultation with another provider of
25 health care in doubtful or difficult cases whenever it appears that consultation may enhance the
26 quality of medical services.

27 17. Respondent failed to timely seek consultation with regard to Patient A's medical
28 condition of hypotension and Respondent should have consulted with an appropriate care provider

1 to address the doubtfulness of the diagnosis of this condition, and such a consultation would have
2 confirmed or denied such a diagnosis.

3 **WHEREFORE**, the Investigative Committee prays:

4 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give
5 him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2)
6 within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

7 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early
8 Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);


9 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been
10 a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;

11 4. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact,
12 conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and

13 5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these
14 premises.

15 DATED this 20 day of September, 2020.

16 INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
17 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

18 By: 
19 Robert Kilroy, Esq., General Counsel
20 Attorney for the Investigative Committee

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
 : ss.
COUNTY OF WASHOE)

Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 18th day of September, 2020.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

M. NEIL DUXBURY

M. Neil Duxbury, Chairman