///

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of Charges and	)	Case No. 14-11807-1
	)	
Complaint Against	)	
GEORGE VAGUJHELYI, M.D.,	)	FILED
Respondent.	)	JUN 1 2 2014
	) )	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:
		,

### **COMPLAINT**

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), composed at the time of filing of Beverly A. Neyland, M.D., Sue Lowden and Bashir Chowdhry, M.D., by and through Bradley O. Van Ry, Board General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that George Vagujhelyi, M.D. (Respondent), has violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630, collectively the Medical Practice Act (MPA), hereby issues its formal Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations, as follows:

- 1. Respondent has been licensed in active status since March 25, 2003 (License No. 10444), pursuant to the provisions of the MPA.
- 2. Patient A was a forty-one (41)-year-old female at the time of the incidents in question. Her true identity is not disclosed in this Complaint to protect her identity, but her identity is disclosed in the Patient Designation contemporaneously served on Respondent with this Complaint.
- 3. Patient A presented to the Renown Regional Medical Center emergency room (ER) on September 4, 2011, at 2123, hrs for esophageal food impaction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. Respondent evaluated Patient A and performed an upper endoscopy a few hours after Patient A's admission to the ER, on September 5, 2011, at 0141 hrs. The endoscopic findings noted esophageal rings, a food bolus in the distal esophagus and a significant esophageal tear at approximately 32-33 cm.
- Significantly, the esophageal rings should have raised the suspicion of eosinophilic esophagitis, which suspicion should have indicated to Respondent that Patient A was at an increased risk of having an esophageal perforation.
- 6. Respondent dislodged the food bolus and discharged Patient A with instructions to follow up in four weeks for further evaluation and to obtain biopsies from the esophagus. Unfortunately, Respondent did not order any further diagnostic tests, such as chest imaging. including an x-ray, MRI or CT scan.
- 7. On September 11, 2011, Patient A returned to the ER and an esophageal perforation of 1 cm was found located at approximately 35 cm down the esophagus. esophageal perforation led to numerous complications, ongoing hospital stays and surgeries for Patient A until November 8, 2011.

### Count

### (Malpractice)

- 8. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. NAC 630.040 defines malpractice as the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances.
- 10. NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 11. As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent's care and treatment of Patient A shows a failure to use reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances by, among other things, failing to address the possibility of the esophageal perforation in the presence of a significant esophageal tear and esophageal rings indicative of such a perforation.

12. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners as provided in NRS 630.352.

### WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

- 1. That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it finds and concludes that there has been a violation or violations of the MPA committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and,
- 5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 2 day of June, 2014.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Bradley O. Van Ry, Esq.

General Counsel

Attorney for the Investigative Committee

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301

### VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA	)
COUNTY OF CLARK	: ss. )

Beverly A. Neyland, M.D., hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Nevada that she is the Chair of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the foregoing Complaint against the Respondent herein; that she has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered during the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, she believes the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

Dated this  $12^{1/7}$  day of June, 2014.

Beverly A. Neyland, M.D.

## Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 1105 Terminal Way #301 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 688-2559

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am employed by Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on  $12^{th}$  day of June 2014; I served a filed copy of COMPLAINT, PATIENT DESIGNATION & FINGERPRINT INFORMATION, via USPS e-certified mail to the following:

> George Vagujhelyi, M.D. 5250 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014.

Angelia L. Donohoe Legal Assistant